Tuesday, November 22, 2016
Council Chambers, University Hall

We would like to acknowledge that our University and our Students’ Union are located on Treaty 6 Territory. We are grateful to be on Cree, Saulteaux, Métis, Blackfoot, and Nakota Sioux territory; specifically the ancestral space of the Papaschase Cree. These Nations are our family, friends, faculty, staff, students, and peers. As members of the University of Alberta Students’ Union we honour the nation-to-nation treaty relationship. We aspire for our learning, research, teaching, and governance to acknowledge continuing colonial violence and respect Indigenous knowledges and traditions.

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS (SC 2016-15)

Meeting called to order at 6:03 PM.

2016-15/1 SPEAKERS BUSINESS

2016-15/1a Announcements - The next meeting of the Students’ Council will take place on Tuesday, December 6, 2016 at 6:00 PM in the Council Chambers.

2016-15/2 PRESENTATIONS

2016-15/2a University Tuition and Budget Consultation, Presented by the University Provost and VP Finance & Administration. Sponsored by President Rahman.

Abstract: Each year the University's Provost and VP Finance & Administration presents to Students’ Council on the plans for tuition in the upcoming year, as well as what the university budget is looking like. This year the Alberta Government has frozen both tuition and MNIFs for domestic students, and MNIFs for international students, but not international student tuition. Plans for international student tuition will be outlined in the presentation.

RAHMAN MOVES to extend presentation until 7PM.

COUNCILLOR FLAMAN TO PROVOST:
Question regarding CPI increases

PROVOST’S RESPONSE:
CPI generally tends to be lower than API, reflects different drivers of inflation, it’s at least a decade long process. Not unique to what’s seen across the company.

**COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO PROVOST:**
When you wanted to add $4000 to the cost of tuition to make it more “marketable.” What does “marketable” mean regarding international student cost?

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
It’s only for graduate students so it’s not a real increase. Regarding international graduate students, if you’re an international student and you’re trying to shop around for an institution for where you want to study and you don’t know much about the University but you can find levels of tuition and interestingly enough, it’s an indicator of perceived quality. Our hope is by not being at the bottom of this pool, we hope that they want to look at the quality of programs. We hope to be contenders when international students are deciding on a university. It’s just marketing, it looks like we have a more valuable product. It’s counterintuitive but we have data showing price and desirability is correlated.

**GUEST TO PROVOST:**
Is the tuition increase passed on to international student also applied to incoming students?

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
We go through general process of reviewing tuition so it would apply to incoming students. We would have to manually adjust tuition and cost of that would offset financial benefit of increasing it all so we’ve taken an across the board approach to reflect the fact that all students receive the same services.

**GUEST TO PROVOST:**
With the increase in international tuition, will the university provide more resources such as bursaries/scholarships for undergrads?

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
The grad students are also getting 3.02%. It’s intended to cover a fraction of inflationary costs so we’re not bringing new net resources. This does not bring a pot of money to provide new sources of financial support but not to say that we don’t have financial support sources that will be maintained. We’re looking to look at solutions eg. increasing donations. Along with tuition freeze, the minister announced a process to review a
tuition fee regulation framework so that will be finished by April/May. This allows new regulation to be in place by Fall.

**GUEST TO PROVOST:**
The bursaries that international student currently receive are limited. There are weekly emails to see the bursaries you can apply to but only available to Canadian students so it’s frustrating that he can’t apply to them. International bursaries are also very competitive.

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
Re component of all international differential; a fraction of that is dedicated for financial support so may need to revisit.

**COUNCILLOR MONDA TO PROVOST:**
Are there plans for making international increases more predictable because it seems random, which is disruptive. It isn’t a good selling point because they don’t know how much their degree is going to cost. Is there a plan to limit their tuition increases?

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
Our position is that our API inflation rate that allows us to cover cost. That is the predictable rate. It is proactive - looking forward, our predictability depends on collective agreements for 2-3 years. 3.02% is what we would expect next year.

**VP FINANCE’S RESPONSE:**
The other aspect is the ceiling of 5%, it gives a higher degree of predictability.

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
Also floor of 0%

**COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO PROVOST:**
RE online comment: concern about fee. He’s also concerned about what we’re using to cover revenue shortfall instead of subsidizing. How is it fair for international students and how are they going to explain to international students that they’re going to over shortfalls.

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
We’ve only asked international students to only cover a fraction of the increase that applies to them. We hope that increases for domestic students are covered by the government by a backfill grant. If that happens, the burden added by increases in cost get divided instead of domestic students being paid by government. It allows us to cover increases and maintain quality of our programs. We don’t want to cut, it
starts degradation spiral that doesn’t serve anyone in the province. Only looking for sustainability, just to cover our inflationary cost so next year, the labs/TAs are maintained. We want to make sure there isn’t an erosion of our ability to deliver programs.

**VP PACHES TO PROVOST:**
What strategy do you have in relating information to students? Re predictability, is there a strategy to communicate this to students or is API being calculated every year?

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
Part of communication strategy are venues like this [SC Meetings], once approved, there is a standard set of processes that the registrar has in communicating tuition to those here. Those that are not here, we’ll be updating websites re expected costs. There will be an explanation for what the API is about. It could be 2.9, 3.1 but won’t be 4.0 next year.

**COUNCILLOR KIANI TO PROVOST:**
Will increase fee for international students affect how much money we’ll get from the government?

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
Approximately 2.5M from international fee increase. He doesn’t expect an impact on what we’ll get from govt. Government is aware of 23M problem that we’re trying to bridge so he doesn’t expect any impact on the funding decision in the March budget. We’re doing this now because tuition proposals are at the same time as the university budget in March, argument made by students is that it needs to be done earlier so the conversation needs to be focused on tuition rather than budget. It’s also for recruitment, international students start shopping earlier so their questions about tuition is what they’re worried about now more so than domestic students who look at a smaller # of universities, most students come from the greater Edmonton area so the need is less acute because they’re not shopping as broadly.

**VP BANISTER/MONDA MOVE** to extend presentation by 10 minutes.

**COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO PROVOST:**
RE asking the govt to cover revenue shortfalls and why we aren’t taking the harder path to not ask students to cover these shortfalls.

**PROVOST’S RESPONSE:**
We’re advocating to the govt to allow us to keep tuition at a minimum by increasing our grant. Problem is they’re not increasing the grants at the same rate as tuition. In Ontario, there’s a deliberate transfer of burden of
responsibility of paying for education from government to student so while we try to argue for funding it’s a hard argument. We're among the top among Canada in terms of tuition funding.

VP BANISTER TO PROVOST:
At the Academic Planning Committee, the burden falls on student reps to deliver information. What projections will be available to students and how will it be distributed?

PROVOST’S RESPONSE:
At APC, no one has the authority to say tuition will be at a certain level until the Board has approved it and they approve is annually. The best we can do is say what the numbers have typically been and provide some examples used in planning. But the Board determines how much tuition will be. Re communication - it's generally straightforward to communicate expectations around undergrad students. The recruitment vehicle is a lot different. People go to department websites in graduate studies so info from those websites is less standardized so that's a challenge. There's 72 departments that have a different person responsible for maintaining it so there are inconsistencies.

COUNCILLOR CHRISTENSEN TO PROVOST:
What is going to be done about the 20M shortfall?

PROVOST’S RESPONSE:
The biggest single source of revenue is the provincial grant but it won’t be over 2%, could be 0 or negative but if 2% happens then it is 12M so we’re 8M short. If we get a backfill then it’s half of that, then we have 4M to find and that may have to boil down to belt tightening. We unfortunately end up in the same place every year, we need to find % of efficiency every year but it’s difficult to do. However, revenue generating activity may help us with the shortfall.

The International Students’ Association has been active on campus for a few years now, starting in 2013 – 14 when the university proposed the 5% increase to the international differential fee on their tuition. They aim to build community, provide services and advocate for international students at the University of Alberta. They will present some of their thoughts on the proposed tuition plan by the University and give an overview of the work they are taking on this year.
COUNCILLOR FLAMAN TO ISA:
What was the total # of international students?

ISA’S RESPONSE:
5,188 undergrad international students.

COUNCILLOR FLAMAN TO ISA:
So with that number in mind. Would you consider that a successful reach?

ISA’S RESPONSE:
No, we don’t have a representative status, if we did, people would come to us and students would know that we can advocate for them. Cultural differences; international students here for 4-5 years are less likely to get involved in external matters. They’ll get their degree and go but they want international students to get more involved.

COUNCILLOR FLAMAN TO ISA:
There’s a lack of representation because there’s no seat for international students but all councillors represent everyone in their faculty.

ISA’S RESPONSE:
But when you’re treating them as one group then all tuition increases should apply to everyone not just international students.

COUNCILLOR MONDA TO ISA:
You can email councillors for your faculty and all SU execs so discuss this issue more in depth. What do you think are the top 3 issues facing international students other than unpredictable tuition increases?

ISA’S RESPONSE:
International students are here only to study and then go home, doesn’t get involved with student groups and student life so don’t know about SU council, most of them don’t vote. They had a presentation about tuition hikes but only 1 person showed up. They’re not here to socialize, don’t want to miss a class, really value education. Other issues include mental health. Where he comes from, they don’t talk about mental health. It’s perceived in a negative way so try to deal with it themselves, LGBTQ - most international students are not out, preferred not to be out, if we can educate them more about the issue. Social life is not focused on due to too much working and studying.

COUNCILLOR FAROOQ:
Thinks representation idea is warranted. He’s a domestic student but doesn’t understand what they’re going through so it’s important for us to be reaching out more to international students to determine what they
need. We need to address all these issues that students go through. We need to give you guys more support, would like to hear from you more. Thinks it would be beneficial for us to look into a systematic way for services available for international student. Thinks that grandfathering tuition conversation doesn’t change.

COUNCILLOR LARSEN:
Understands idea for representation. What can SU do in the next few months to get international students’ opinions on tuition increases? How can we support your group in the next couple months? What position would you prefer the SU make on the IDF, what would be your recommendation to the SU?

ISA’s RESPONSE:
Understand budget concerns that need to be addressed we’d like to see a predictability tuition increase, may indicate API in offer letter to help them plan their budget. Re what councillors can do: they couldn’t find # of students/faculty if that’s available, then maybe the Councillors can send an email. Thinks it’s more manageable if done by faculty. We try to make a survey for international students as suggested by President Fahim. In previous years, ISA wasn’t really advocating so with this year’s exec, we’re trying to do more than before and hoping to build a base for upcoming exec. He doesn’t have many ideas to face all this. It’s his first year with the ISA, working w/ SU exec, having them suggest ideas, showing them how to do things in the proper way. He would love to work with SU this year to properly advocate for international students. He thinks all international students should be treated equally, doesn’t support grandfathering tuition fees for int students just because some students were already here.

COUNCILLOR BROPHY TO ISA:
Imp that ISA to speak on international students’ behalf but also argues for other representatives on council. It seem predicated on the uniqueness of the experience that international students have. However, seems like a lot of domestic students have your experience of working 2 jobs. He knows a lot of international students who are involved on campus. If your argument is fundamental difference in experience between the 2 groups, the he doesn’t see evidence there.

ISA’S RESPONSE:
He just wants others to see his perspective. He wants every student group even staff members of UA to be involved in voting, be in student clubs, greek life, etc.

2016-15/2c Augustana Students’ Association FAMF Presentation
Presented by ASA President, Benjamin Curry.
The Augustana Students’ Association (ASA) is a Campus and Faculty Association located in Camrose. The ASA represents around 1,020 students at the Augustana Campus and we collect the Faculty Association Membership Fee to provide for student needs at Augustana. The FAMF accounts for up to 60% of our budget or approximately $130,000.00. This money, along with revenue generated from services and events, is used for staffing, service delivery, programming, and social events. In accordance with SU By-law, the ASA is bringing forward its proposal to hold a referendum to re-affirm collection of the ASA’s Faculty Association Membership Fee which expires on August 31, 2017. We seek approval from SU Council to proceed with the referendum during elections in March of 2017.

**FLAMAN/RAHMAN** moves to suspend standing orders to continue meeting so presentation item 2c and then proceed to recess.

**Vote for item 2c:**
18/7/0 CARRIED

**COUNCILLOR FLAMAN TO ASA PRESIDENT:**
Was there any consideration given to Part-Time students?

**ASA PRESIDENT’S RESPONSE:**
They held fall a AGM where we asked students if they have questions, spent countless hours campaigning so they can easily find us and voice their opinions.

**COUNCILLOR MONDA TO ASA PRESIDENT:**
Where does your revenue come from that’s not from the fee?

**ASA PRESIDENT’S RESPONSE:**
Some revenue comes from student engagement fun, some from the newspaper, events where we sell tickets at the door but most just cover general costs, so much just under 2% of carry over.

**VP BANISTER TO ASA PRESIDENT:**
What’s the withdrawal rates? When campaigning to get students to vote for this to be passed, what’s your strategy to see a high voter turnout?

**ASA PRESIDENT’S RESPONSE:**
will get back to her re dropout rate. Re campaigning, started handing out pamphlets, cost breakdown, campaigning on campus to engaging students and voicing their opinions, w/in the next semester, give classroom presentations.
VP BANISTER:
What’s your contingency plan if students don’t vote for this?

ASA PRESIDENT’S RESPONSE:
If we don’t get this fee referendum passed, we will not be able to run events so everything that happens will be through volunteers. Most of what we do that’s extra will have to come out of student pockets. Contingency is not really there.

2016-15/3 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT
Francesca Ghossein, Vice President (Student Life) - Report.
Fahim Rahman, President - Report.
Mike Sandare, Vice President (External) - Report.
Robyn Paches, Vice President (Operations and Finance) - Report.
Marina Banister, Vice President (Academic) - Report.

2016-15/4 BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORT
CAC - Report.
Board of Governors - Report.
Bylaw Committee - Report.
Audit Committee - Report.
CJSR - Report.

2016-15/5 OPEN FORUM

GUEST TO ALL FACULTY OF ARTS COUNCIL MEMBERS:
Will you be voting Y/N on BA renewal and why?

COUNCILLOR LARSEN’S RESPONSE:
He will attend on thursday, will make decision then, contingent on academic advising. If online is a solution to advising vs commitment to hiring, pathways are not as clear. Has a lot of things to cover, might change mind by then.

COUNCILLOR KIANI’S RESPONSE:
Re 1st proposal which took out most requirements. English requirement was huge, essay writing, foreign language requirement that had lots of opposition in that dept. The dept would suffer financially but also gain a lot of skills from learning a foreign language. New proposal says 3* in ENGL/WRS, 6* in foreign language. Faculty of Art does have one of the highest credit requirement compared to all the other universities, so will be voting in favor to make it easier for students from other faculties/institutions to transfer in.
MR. BONDARCHUK FOR COUNCILLOR ANGUS:
Councillor Angus will be voting no due to removal of Faculty of Arts requirement/second language.

COUNCILLOR LARSEN: Faculty of Arts’ OASIS President is also very knowledgeable about this so please ask her.

2016-15/6 QUESTION PERIOD

2016-15/6a COUNCIL ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE TO VICE PRESIDENT PACHES:
Can an explanation as to why council discount cards, if or if not provided on Nov. 22nd, where delayed?

2016-15/6b COUNCIL ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE TO STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS (COUNCILLORS DEJONG, LARSEN, HAMMOND, CHRISTENSEN, AND VPS BANISTER, PACHES AND PRES. RAHMAN):
Can you commit/continue to commit, in your capacity as chair, to submitting reports to Students Council after meetings of the committee, as outlined in Bylaw 100 section 16, until such a time that the bylaw may be reviewed?

COUNCILLOR CHRISTENSEN’S RESPONSE:
As Chair of Bylaw Committee, I continue to commit to adhering to Bylaw 100(16) at all times and, as such, will commit to providing written reports until which time section 16 has been abolished.

COUNCILLOR BANISTER’S RESPONSE:
Thank you Councillor Larsen for the question. Nominating Committee does not meet on a regular basis and when we do, the matters we discuss are largely in-camera. That said, moving forward I would be happy to provide brief written reports after our meetings which can be supplemented by an oral update.

COUNCILLOR DEJONG’S RESPONSE:
I commit, in my capacity as chair of the Policy Committee, to submitting reports to Students’ Council after meetings of the Policy Committee. I’d like to note that the Committee has not met since our last Students’ Council meeting, therefore I will not submit a report until the next meeting of Students’ Council.

COUNCILLOR LARSEN’S RESPONSE:
As Chair of CAC I will continue to fulfill duties as outlined in Bylaw 100 until such bylaw can be reviewed.
PRESIDENT RAHMAN’S RESPONSE:
Thanks for the question. All executives have been submitting their reports as members of the Executive committee in a timely manner, so I believe that we are still compliant with the current SU Bylaws.

COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO VICE PRESIDENT PACHES AND/OR VICE PRESIDENT GHOSSEIN:
Can council be provided with, in an appropriate amount of time, (1) a tentative schedule as to the update of the Students Union website to reflect ongoing discussions in Students Council (2) the average number of unique visitors the site receives on a any appropriate basis (daily, weekly, monthly, etc.) (3) the actions being taken to update and expand social media reach and (4) measures as to the reach of the Students Union on the appropriate mediums (Twitter interactions, Facebook & Instagram post reach, Youtube video views, etc.)?

COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO VP PACHES:
Good to see hard dates, website revamps, new visitors to the website. Re #4 - can’t help but be disappointed with reach online and ability to connect with students in this manner due to limited budget. On our FB page and connection online being important to engage with students, ages 18-24, if this could a higher priority and same hard dates given to website revamp and how to if hiring persons, can we ensure that we actually have hard steps in this direction?

VP PACHES’ RESPONSE:
Agrees that SU can do better with social media. We’re working on it. This is data for UASU only. We see all FB pages for SGS, SafeWalk as strength. UASU will be for general SU messaging, experimenting with it. Re having single person in charge is currently in place. As we go through all these initiatives, highly encourage council in please share/like/tag friends. Strength is in the ppl so for us to be successful, we need everyone’s help.

COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO VICE PRESIDENT SANDARE:
Can council be provided with a follow up to the Minimum Wage discussion; as in what were the Vice President’s actions in his following meetings in regards to the subject?
[It is the Councillor’ understanding that an Executive Report may have been given but they have not been able to locate such]

COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO VP SANDARE:
What was the response from other CAUS members re minimum wage?
VP SANDARE:
The came to a consensus. We recognize that there are a lot of students that exist on both sides of conversations, grants, mental health funding, all things that we as student advocates should focus on.

**COUNCILLOR LARSEN TO VICE PRESIDENT GHOSSEIN:**
Can council be provided with a follow up to the previous question on providing public meeting minutes from the meetings of Lister Representatives?

**ORAL QUESTION PERIOD**

**COUNCILLOR CHRISTENSEN TO VP BANISTER:**
In respect to the GFCSC and the discussion with the changing requirements to FoMD program?

**VP BANISTER’S RESPONSE:**
Recently, FoMD has now been approved to change prereqs to get into FoMD, previous if you had a high enough GPA you could get in before finishing your undergraduate degree, now you HAVE TO HAVE a undergrad degree to apply. MSA wrote letter of opposition to motion including a number of questions pertaining to financial issues, losing very bright/competitive students to other institution. Associate dean’s answer to that letter was that this was a loss they were willing to take. I did speak and vote against this motion but I was the only one that did so motion did pass. Students will have an undergrad degree to get into FoMD.

**MR. BONDARCHUK TO VP BANISTER:**
Do you believe annual tradition of increasing international tuition year after year is justified?

**VP BANISTER REDIRECTS TO PRESIDENT RAHMAN:**

**PRESIDENT RAHMAN’S RESPONSE:**
I don’t think it’s fair. They have definitely seen their fair share of increases, and I think we are doing our future alumni a disservice by increasing their fees year after year.

**PRESIDENT RAHMAN TO VP GHOSSEIN:**
Please finish your report.

**VP GHOSSEIN RESPONSE:**
Today we had the second of two meetings with the Dean of Students, the GSA, other Dean of Students’ staff, and campus/community rec staff
member where we presented how we want student groups to be administered and managed. We proposed very important changes in two areas, one is recognition and the other is how student groups are disciplined. We had a great reaction from the Dean of Students’ that was refreshing. Let me know how Council would like to learn more about it. Finally, update on mental health. After discussion with a variety of students, we realized that some our mental health focused services as an institution sometimes tend to make our international students or some other minority groups feel alienated. So I would like to perhaps have some focus groups so we can hear back from those students on what they would like to see.

**COUNCILLOR FAROOQ TO VP BANISTER:**
Can you expand on what was the rationale for the changes made to the requirements to the FoMD program?

**VP BANISTER’S RESPONSE:**
I’m not sure as they were never clear themselves. I can only guess that it might be due to the maturity levels presented in the younger applicants versus students who might have finished an undergraduate degree.

**COUNCILLOR CHRISTENSEN TO VP BANISTER:**
Thank you very much for fighting for that. Part of the concern is that it is a drastic change. Was there any mention on when these new requirements would begin to be applied?

**VP BANISTER’S RESPONSE:**
From my memory, the timeline was not mentioned but I would have to refer back to my notes to double check. I believe it would apply to the following fall term.

**COUNCILLOR MONDA TO VP GHOSSEIN:**
You mentioned in your report of student group administration had been moved from SU to the university administration. Is there any reason why this vision wasn’t presented to Council for feedback before it was presented to University administration?

**VP GHOSSEIN’S RESPONSE:**
This has been in the work for a while and we kind of rolled the ball on it due to the arrival of the new Dean of Students. We cannot make any presentations without the approval of the SU staff including research analysts from the political affair, students group services, etc. We wanted to see the reaction of the University administration to this change before we presented it to Council.
PRESIDENT RAHMAN’S RESPONSE:
We are not sure on how to implement it or how receptive the Dean of Students’ will be to it. Once we’ve heard back from the Dean of Students’ and they have agreed to it, I think that will be the time to present something to Council as we would then have the agreement of the Dean of Students’.

COUNCILLOR WARRIOR TO GOVERNOR CHAMPAGNE:
I was wondering his stance on the increase on International Students’ tuition.

GOVERNOR CHAMPAGNE’S RESPONSE:
I cannot vote for this based our political policy so the question will be if I will be voting either opposed or abstains. I’m not sure of my decision yet but I find our ambiguity troubling. We’ve see today that we don’t have the data coming from the International Students’ telling us what they want. I will be consulting international students in future. Students’ Council needs to ask themselves how exactly we will treat international students at this institution because currently they are not subsidized. While I can be opposed and argue against this, the argument raised against me would be how will we then subsidize them? I believe we need to data on what the International Students’ want so we can present this to the board with our reasoning.

BANISTER/ SUNDAY move to extend Question period by another 15 minutes.

Vote in favour to extend Question period:
15/0/10
MOTION FAILS.

2016-15/7
BOARD AND COMMITTEE BUSINESS

2016-15/7a
COUNCILLOR LARSEN/SUNDAY on recommendation of COUNCIL ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE moves to recommend that all standing committees of council place an agenda item in their next available meeting to update their standing orders to reflect Students Council Attendance Regulations policy found in Bylaw 100 section 21.

Councillor Larsen introduces the motion and discusses how CAC would like each committee to discuss attendance in relation to their own committee structure as long as it’s reflected of Bylaw 100 section 21. Councillor Larsen further mentions that this is a recommendation from CAC for committees to initiate the discussion of attendance. Councillors
expresses approval of the discussion of attendance however expressed concern over allowing each committee to deal with attendance as they choose. Mentioned that they would like to see contingencies put in place so that it is consistent across the board.

MONDA/BANISTER moves to send this motion back to the CAC committee for further discussion.

Councillors express approval to sending this back to CAC however would be interested in organizing a meeting of the Committee of Chairs in order to receive their input.

**Vote for those in favour of sending motion back to CAC:**

17/5/2

*MOTION CARRIES*

**2016-15/8 GENERAL ORDERS**

**2016-15/8a**

LARSEN/BANISTER moves to appoint two members of Students’ Council to the DIE Board Tribune Appointment Committee.

Vice President Banister introduces the motion, discusses the DIE Board and explains the responsibility of the position. Councillor Farooq asks for the dates of the meetings. Vice President Banister says that have yet to be set but will occur in the next few months.

Councillors Farooq, Christensen, Brophy, Sunday, and Governor Champagne were nominated.

**Councillor Sunday and Governor Champagne were appointed to the DIE Board Tribune Appointment Committee.**

**2016-15/8b**

PACHES moves to appoint two members of Students’ Council to the ONECard Student Advisory Group for the Fall 2016 and Winter 2017 terms.

Vice President Paches introduces the motion. Councillors question Paches concerning responsibilities of the position, eligibility and meeting schedule.

Councillors Monda, Flaman, Angus, Farooq were nominated.

**Councillors Flaman and Angus were appointed to the ONECard Student Advisory Group for the Fall 2016 and Winter 2017 terms.**
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