ORDER PAPER (SC 2007-24)

2007-24/1 SPEAKER’S BUSINESS

2007-24/1a Announcements – The next meeting of Students’ Council will take place on Tuesday, April 1, 2008.

2007-24/2 PRESENTATIONS

2007-24/2a Student reaction to credit card issue- Presented by Andrea Michaud, Alena Manera, and Dustin Miller. Sponsored by Basil Bansal and Patrick Wisheu.

2007-24/3 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

2007-24/3a Executive Committee- March 11th and March 18th, 2008

Please see document SC 07-24.01

2007-24/4 QUESTION PERIOD

2007-24/4a BANDALI- For the Chair of the Council Administration Committee:
Mr. Chair, are you prepared to give Students’ Council an update concerning reviews of the Standing Committees?
Thank you,
Councillor Bandali

2007-24/5 BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

2007-24/5a Discipline, Interpretation and Enforcement Board Report

2007-24/5a(i) DIE Board Ruling #6- March 14, 2008 (Reference: CRO-Slate question)

Please see document SC 07-24.02

2007-24/5b University Policy Committee – Report

Please see document SC 07-24.03

2007-24/5b(i) University Policy Committee Standing Orders- February 14th, 2008

Please see document SC 07-24.04
**2007-24/6**  
**GENERAL ORDERS**

**2007-24/6a**  
**SUMAR/ERUVBETINE MOVED THAT** Students' Council approve a political policy based on the following principles:

The Students’ Union shall lobby the University of Alberta to increase the number and value of scholarships awarded to continuing undergraduate students to be consistent with the number and value of entrance awards.

The Students’ Union shall lobby the University of Alberta and the Government of Alberta to increase the proportion of scholarships and bursaries that are awarded based on the applicants’ financial need and/or involvement.

The Students’ Union shall lobby the University of Alberta to ensure that scholarships and bursaries are distributed in an equitable manner among students in all faculties.

**2007-24/7**  
**INFORMATION ITEMS**

**2007-24/7a**  
Beverly Eastham resigns from University Policy Committee

Please see document SC 07-24.05

**2007-24/7b**  
Votes and Proceedings- March 4, 2008

Please see document SC 07-24.06
Executive Committee Report to Students’ Council March 25, 2008

1. The following motions were passed at the March 11, 2008 Executive Committee meeting.
   a. GAMBLE/DOLLANSKY MOVED THAT the Executive Committee approve the proposed changes to Operating Policy 11.07, Advertising in SUB.
      VOTE ON MOTION 4/0/0 CARRIED
   b. DOLLANSKY/LE MOVED THAT the Executive Committee instruct the CRO to investigate the logistics of holding an election forum at Augustana.
      VOTE ON MOTION 4/0/0 CARRIED

2. There were no motions passed at the March 18, 2008 Executive Committee meeting.
D.I.E. Board

Report to Council

*Discipline, Interpretation and Enforcement (D.I.E.) Board*

*Ruling of the Board*


Date Heard: March 14, 2008

Appearing for the D.I.E. Board:
Presiding Chair: Jason Morris, Assistant Chief Tribune
Tribunes: Alexander Witt, Tribune
Brandon Mewhort, Tribune

Appearing for the Applicant: Craig Turner, CRO

Case Summary:
The CRO requested a clarification of the meaning of ss. 48(2-4) of Bylaw 2000, which govern the financing of slate campaigns in General Faculties Council and Students’ Council elections. Specifically, Mr. Turner submitted that Bylaw 48(4) contradicts itself, and has no clear meaning. The Board held that for the purpose of ensuring clarity:

1. The words “slate or” should be read out of ss. 47(4) and 48(4); and
2. In the phrase “including both slate and slate campaign expenses” the word “campaign” should be read as “candidate” in ss. 47(4) and 48(4); and
3. The words “be spend” should be read as “be spent” in s. 48(3).

SUMMARY OF REFERENCE

The CRO asked DIE Board to interpret Bylaw 2000 to determine definitively how much a slate may accrue in campaign expenses, and whether individual candidates of that slate may accrue additional expenses, and in what amount.

RELEVANT LEGAL PROVISIONS

Bylaw 2000 s.2(o) states:
“slate” shall be any two (2) or more candidates each running for a different position who choose to run under the guidelines for slates as opposed to the guidelines for individual candidates;

Bylaw 2000 s.47(3) states:
At least 10% of campaign expenses must be spent on both slate and slate candidate campaigns.
Bylaw 2000 s.47(4) states:
No slate or slate candidate’s campaign budget shall accrue more than five hundred and fifty dollars ($550) in expenses, including both slate and slate candidate expenses, all of which shall be paid by the Students’ Union.

Bylaw 2000 s.48(3) states:
At least 10% of campaign expenses must be spent on both slate and slate candidate campaigns.

Bylaw 2000 s.48(4) states:
No slate or slate candidate’s campaign budget shall accrue more than thirty dollars ($30.00), plus six dollars ($6.00) for every one thousand (1,000) students’ in his or her faculty beyond three thousand (3,000) in campaign expenses, including both slate and slate candidate expenses, all of which shall be paid by the Students’ Union.

ANALYSIS

Section 48(4) is Unclear

DIE Board concurs with the CRO that the meaning of these bylaws is very unclear. In particular, the CRO submitted that Bylaw 2000 s.48(4) has no obvious intent whatsoever. DIE Board is inclined to agree that in its current form s.48(4) is virtually unintelligible. Given that, DIE Board sought to examine the literal meaning, and the contextual clues of the Bylaw, and attempted to ascertain the reasonable intent of the drafters. It then sought to instruct on the reading of this bylaw that would give effect to that reasonable intent with the least derivation from the existing text.

Section 48(4) Sets Out Expenditure Limits for Slate Candidates Exclusively

There were a number of contextual clues that DIE Board considered. First among them was the definition of “slate” in Bylaw 2000 s.2(o) which reads in part, “candidates … who choose to run under the guidelines for slates as opposed to the guidelines for individual candidates.” Another contextual clue was a historical draft of the election bylaw of the Students’ Union, specifically Bylaw 2100 as it was at the time of DIE Board ruling #5 of 2004. In that bylaw, two sections with different marginal notes set out “Campaign Expense Limits (Individuals)” and “Campaign Expense Limits (Members of Slates”).

It was the opinion of the Board supported by the submission of the CRO that these clues indicate that s.48(1) of Bylaw 2000 does not apply to members of slates, and that s.48(4) was intended exclusively to set the expenditure limits for individual members of slates.

Intent of the Bylaw is to Limit the Monetary Advantage from Slates

Based on his research and reading of the matter, the CRO submitted that the intent of the bylaw was to monetarily disadvantage slates in compensation for the advantage gained by operating as a slate, and thereby increase the likelihood of the election of independent candidates for SU offices.

The Board disagrees slightly. In the Board’s reading of the current Bylaw, the intent seems to be to limit the monetary advantage gained by operating as a slate. The distinction is slight, but real. These Bylaws do not provide a monetary penalty for operating as a slate. By way of
comparison, the Bylaw 2100 referred to above gave two independent candidates $1000 total, where two candidates running as a slate received only $625. That is a clear monetary penalty. There is no equivalently clear provision in the existing Bylaw.

The Board believes that the intent of these Bylaws is to limit the monetary advantage that might be gained from candidates on a slate who were running unopposed transferring a large portion of their expense limit to a slate campaign in assistance of candidates on the same slate who were running for a contested position.

The Board notes that the bylaws as written do not seem to achieve that objective very well. Indeed, for the vast majority of individuals to whom this bylaw would apply, they would be required to spend only $3 on their own campaigns. However, the effectiveness of a legislative measure does not go to its purpose. To suggest otherwise is to suggest there are no ineffective legislative measures, only legislative measures with the purpose of ineffectiveness.

Meaning of Bylaw 2000 s.48(3)

With regard to literal meaning, the Board examined s.48(3), which states “At least 10% of campaign expenses must be spent on both slate and slate candidate campaigns.” Specifically, the Board considered the difference between “slate and slate candidate campaigns.” The Board came to the conclusion that in order for the difference between the two to be meaningful, “slate candidate campaigns” would have to be understood as “individual slate candidate campaigns.” Were that not the case, 10% of the slate’s budget would have to be spent on each individual campaign, which would artificially limit the number of candidates in a slate to 9. The Board can see no reason for implying that intent in the bylaw, and so interprets the bylaw as meaning that individual candidates receive funding first, and then that funding is distributed between the individual candidate and that candidate’s slate, where between 10% and 90% of the funding may be assigned to the slate.

Meaning of Bylaw 2000 s.48(4)

The literal meaning of s.48(4) was more difficult to ascertain. First, it contains the words “both slate and slate campaign”. The Board with the agreement of the CRO believes that this is a typographical error, and that the phrase was intended to read “slate and slate candidate”.

That, however, creates another problem. Section 48(4) begins by suggesting that its limitations apply to both slate’s and slate candidates’ budgets separately, but closes by saying that both the slate and slate candidate’s expenses should be counted in each application of the rule. Furthermore, slates and slate candidates are collectively referred to with the words “his or her faculty” later in the bylaw. Slates do not have gender, nor do they necessarily have a faculty.

Furthermore, as written, 48(4) purports to place a maximum limit on the expenditures of a slate, while setting a minimum limit on the amount of the individual candidates’ expenditures that must be transferred to the slate. In the case of slates of 11 or more people, it would become impossible for candidates to comply with both bylaws. Transferring the entire 10% would put the slate above its maximum, and transferring less than 10% would violate the 10% requirement. Again, the Board did not feel that the intent of the legislation was to by deep implication limit the sizes of slates to 10. Nor did the Board feel that the intent of the legislation was to ensure that for slates of more than 10 individuals the effective total campaign limit per candidate would drop.
Those issues are resolved by reading the words “slate or” out of the start of s.48(4). This seems to meet the minimum objective clear from the contextual clues, that s.48(4) is intended to at a minimum provide funding to individuals, and the implication from s.48(3) that the allocation to individuals was intended to happen first. With the reading out of the words “slate or”, the bylaws would operate as follows:

1. There is a set limit on the campaign expenditures of a slate candidate, determined by the size of that candidate’s faculty, and which includes both the money spent on their own campaign and the portion of that limit transferred to the slate for expenditure on the slate’s campaign.

2. The candidate must indicate to the CRO in advance what portion of that money is being transferred to the slate. That amount must be between 10% and 90% of the total.

For greater clarity, in this reading of the Bylaw, the slate receives no funding directly, and the only absolute limit on slate expenditures is 90% of the spending limits of all of its members.

Additional Typographical Error

For completeness, the Board also holds that the word “spend” in s.48(3) should read “spent” as it does in the equivalent s.47(3).

Applicability of Analysis to s.47

The Board, with the CRO’s agreement, believes that many of the issues raised by the inadequate drafting of s.48 are raised equally in the equivalent sections of s.47, which deals with Executive Elections. The Board therefore has decided to make recommendations with regards to both sections of the Bylaw.

ANSWER TO REFERENCE QUESTION

Bylaw 2000 s.47(4) should have the words “slate or” read out, and in that section the words “slate campaign expenses” should be read as “slate candidate expenses.”

Bylaw 2000 s.48(3) should have the word “spend” read as “spent.”

Bylaw 2000 s.48(4) should have the words “slate or” read out, and in that section the words “slate campaign expenses” should be read as “slate candidate expenses.”

Bylaw s.48(4) and s.47(4) set an expenditure limit only on individual slate candidates based on the given formulae. Bylaw 2000 s.47(3) and s.48(3) require slate candidates to transfer between 10% and 90% of that expenditure limit to their slate.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Board highly recommends that greater care be taken in the drafting of bylaws, specifically bylaws that are so likely to be adjudicated in a contentious election environment. The Board has
reason to believe that these problems were created by an injudicious use of “cut and paste.”

The Board notes that while the purpose of the Bylaw seems clear, the Bylaw seems unlikely to achieve or even significantly promote that purpose given its current configuration. The Board recommends that the Students’ Council consider redrafting the Bylaw if that impression is shared.

The Discipline, Interpretation, and Enforcement (D.I.E.) Board functions as the judicial branch of the Students’ Union, and is responsible for interpreting and enforcing all Students’ Union legislation. If anyone has any questions regarding the D.I.E. Board, feel free to contact the Chief Tribune, Guillaume Laroche at ea@su.ualberta.ca.
University Policy Committee

Hello Council,

Some updates regarding the work of UPC:

- The VPA and VPSL have continued to keep UPC up-to-date on their activities through regular reports.
- UPC has made changes to its standing orders, mostly to come into line with bylaw. The changes should be included in the Council agenda with this report.
- Principles for a potential political policy on scholarships and bursaries were discussed and approved at the last UPC meeting. The principles were then sent to EPC, where they were further discussed and amended. The motion to approve these principles should be on the Council agenda tonight.
- We hope to have the English proficiency policy drafted and approved in time for the next Council meeting, though I can’t make any guarantees.

The next UPC meeting will take place on Thursday, March 27th at 6:00 PM, and should include implementation plan updates from the Execs. If you’re craving some discussion on student issues at the university, you should definitely come out!

Cheers,

Al-Amyn Sumar
University Policy Committee Standing Orders

Mandate
In accordance with Bylaw 100 of the University of Alberta Students’ Union, the University Policy Committee:

a) shall make recommendations to Students’ Council on Students’ Union political policy respecting the business of entities based at the University of Alberta;
b) shall not make recommendations to Students’ Council on Students’ Union political policy respecting undergraduate tuition at the University of Alberta; and
c) shall receive reports from the Students’ Union Executive Committee on its on-campus non-tuition-related advocacy activities and evaluate their effectiveness.

Meetings
1. Quorum of the University Policy Committee shall be four voting members.

2. The University Policy Committee shall hold a meeting, coordinated through the Speaker of Students’ Council, before the first meeting of its Students’ Council in order to:
   a. elect a chair from within its voting membership,
   b. set initial standing orders, and
   c. set a meeting schedule for its first trimester.

3. The University Policy Committee shall establish a meeting schedule on a trimesterly basis, in advance, with the trimesters being:
   a. May to August,
   b. September to December, and
   c. January to April.

4. Additional meetings of the University Policy Committee may be called by either the Chair or four voting members of the Committee, provided that:
   a. every member of the Committee has received at least seventy-two hours notice of the meeting, or
   b. every member of the Committee consents to the meeting taking place.

5. Meetings of the University Policy Committee may be cancelled by:
   a. a simple majority vote of the Committee to amend the meeting schedule, or
   b. the unanimous consent of all voting members to the cancellation.

6. Meetings of the University Policy Committee shall occur in person and are open to the public, unless the Committee moves in camera.

Records
7. The Chair of the University Policy Committee will record attendance at each meeting of the Committee.

8. The Chair of the University Policy Committee shall assign the task of recording the minutes at each meeting to one of the members of the Committee.
University Policy Committee Standing Orders

9. Members of the University Policy Committee may amend their comments in the minutes when the minutes are being approved by the Committee.

10. The Chair of the University Policy Committee shall, after each meeting of the Committee, submit to Students’ Council a report including:
   a. any decisions made by the Committee acting under authority delegated to it by Students’ Council;
   b. any recommendations made by the Committee to Students’ Council;
   c. any standing orders adopted by the Committee; and
   d. a summary of all motions passed by the Committee at the meeting in question.

Membership

11. Should a vacancy on the University Policy Committee occur, then the Committee shall recommend the nomination of additional member(s) to Students’ Council at its next meeting.

12. Should the Chair of the University Policy Committee leave the voting membership of the Committee, the position of chair shall be considered vacant and a new chair shall be elected from within the voting membership of the Committee at the next meeting.

13. Any voting members of the Executive Committee involved in University advocacy who are not selected to be voting members of the University Policy Committee shall be considered non-voting members of the Committee.

Chair

14. The Chair of the University Policy Committee shall:
   a. arrange for the booking of a meeting room, when necessary, for meetings of the Committee;
   b. arrange for the preparation and distribution of the Committee agenda;
   c. moderate debate at meetings of the Committee;
   d. respond to the questions of members of the Committee in a timely fashion;
   e. assign duties to members of the Committee, such duties pertaining to the fulfilment of the mandate of the Committee;
   f. maintain the Standing Orders of the Committee; and
   g. submit reports to Students’ Council, as required.

15. Should the Chair of the University Policy Committee be absent from a meeting of the Committee, the Committee shall then elect an interim chair from within its voting membership for the duration of the meeting.

16. The Chair of the University Policy Committee may be removed from the post of chair by a simple majority vote of the Committee, whereupon a new chair shall be elected from within the voting membership of the Committee.

17. The Chair of the University Policy Committee shall not be a member of the Executive Committee.
Responsibilities of Members

18. Prior to the end of each Councillor remuneration instalment period, the University Policy Committee shall review its attendance records for all voting members of the Committee.

19. The University Policy Committee may recommend to Students’ Council, by a simple majority vote, the removal of any voting member who is not carrying out his/her duties in contributing to the fulfilment of the mandate of the Committee.

20. Any member of the Executive Committee who is named as a member of the University Policy Committee may be reported to Students’ Council, by a simple majority vote, if he/she does not fulfill the reporting requirements outlined in the standing orders of the Committee.

Proxies

21. To appoint a proxy to the University Policy Committee, the member thereof must provide a written notice to that effect to the Chair of the Committee:
   a. stating the name of the eligible member of Students’ Council who will serve as proxy,
   b. indicating the duration of the appointment, and
   c. delivering the notice no later than two hours prior to the Committee meeting.

Legislative Process for Political Policies

22. When Students’ Council refers a political policy to the University Policy Committee:
   a. the Chair shall, by the time of the next Committee meeting, assign a member of the Committee who is also a member of the Executive Committee to draft a political policy based on the principles passed in first reading by Students’ Council;
   b. the assigned member of the Executive Committee shall submit the draft political policy to the Committee in a timely fashion; and
   c. the Committee will not recommend to Students’ Council any political policy that is outside the scope of the principles approved in first reading by Students’ Council.

Rules of Order

23. The Chair of the University Policy Committee will use his/her discretion in administering an informal style of Robert’s Rules of Order to conduct the business of the meeting.

Structure of Sessions

24. The Order of Business for the University Policy Committee shall be:
   a. Approval of the Agenda
   b. Approval of the Minutes
   c. Presentations
   d. Executive Committee Reports
   e. Question Period
   f. Old Business
   g. New Business
   h. Discussion
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Orders of the Day
25. Any voting member of the University Policy Committee may submit Orders of the Day to be considered by the Committee.

26. Orders of the Day must be submitted to the Chair of the University Policy Committee and the Administrative Assistant to Students’ Council by noon on the business day prior to the Committee meeting.

27. The agenda package for the University Policy Committee will be published in the following manner:
   a. an electronic copy will be e-mailed to the Committee members by the day before the meeting, and
   b. paper copies will be provided at the Committee meeting.

28. The Order of Business notwithstanding, the Chair of the University Policy Committee may designate any Order of the Day a Special Order.

Presentations
29. Each member of the Executive Committee who is named a member of the University Policy Committee shall provide:
   a. a basic introduction of his/her goals for the year by June 1, and
   b. a comprehensive presentation of all goals relevant to the mandate of the Committee by June 30.

30. Each member of the Executive Committee to which a political policy of the University Policy Committee pertains must submit to the Committee:
   a. an implementation plan for each pertinent pre-existing political policy by June 30,
   b. an implementation plan for any new political policy within one month of its date of approval, and
   c. a comprehensive update on the progress of these implementation plans once during each of the fall and winter terms.

Executive Committee Reports
31. Each member of the Executive Committee who is named a member of the University Policy Committee shall provide a written report at each meeting of the Committee on his/her University advocacy activities and those of the entire Executive Committee, and may provide an oral supplement to accompany this report.

Standing Orders
32. The Standing Orders of the University Policy Committee may be amended by a simple majority vote of the Committee, with such changes being reported to Students’ Council.

33. The Standing Orders of the University Policy Committee do not expire, but shall be reintroduced at the Committee’s first meeting.
March 10, 2008

I would like to resign from the University Policy Committee.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Beverly Eastham
Tuesday, March 11, 2008
Council Chambers 2-1 University Hall

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS (SC 2007-23)

2007-23/1  SPEAKER’S BUSINESS

2007-23/1a  Meeting called to order at 6:06 pm

2007-23/3  EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

   Oral report VP Student Life

2007-23/4  QUESTION PERIOD

   SPEAKER MOVED THAT a nomination for University Policy Committee be added to the agenda as item 2007-23/5b(ii)

   No objection from chair sponsoring the motion

2007-23/5b(ii)  SUMAR/DOLLANSKY MOVED THAT Students’ Council appoint (1) member to the University Policy Committee

   Samuel nominates Braga; accepts

   LE MOVED TO close nominations

   Motion: CARRIED

   Braga appointed to University Policy Committee

2007-23/5  BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

2007-23/5b  University Policy Committee

2007-23/5b(i)  JANZ/ERUVBETINE MOVED THAT Students’ Council, upon the recommendation of the University Policy Committee, approve a political policy based on the following principles:

   The Students’ Union should lobby the University of Alberta and its faculties and departments to strengthen their communications requirements for all instructors and teaching assistants, with a special emphasis on oral skills.

   The Students’ Union should lobby the University of Alberta and its faculties and departments to provide free support and resources for all existing instructors
and teaching assistants who struggle with their language abilities.

Speaker’s list: Janz

Point of Order: Samuel “Did we just jump into the motions by skipping question period?”

Speaker: Point well taken

JANZ MOVED TO table item 2007-23/5b(i)

Motion: CARRIED

2007-23/4 QUESTION PERIOD

2007-23/5b(i) JANZ/ERUVBETINE MOVED THAT Students’ Council, upon the recommendation of the University Policy Committee, approve a political policy based on the following principles:

The Students’ Union should lobby the University of Alberta and its faculties and departments to strengthen their communications requirements for all instructors and teaching assistants, with a special emphasis on oral skills.

The Students’ Union should lobby the University of Alberta and its faculties and departments to provide free support and resources for all existing instructors and teaching assistants who struggle with their language abilities.

Speaker’s list: Janz

Motion: CARRIED (Friendly)

Referred to University Policy Committee for drafting

2007-23/6a ERUVBETINE/ ZHANG MOVED THAT Students’ Council read BILL #10 a first time

Principles Bill #10:

a) The University Policy Committee and the External Policy Committee shall be able to make editorial changes to all political policy assigned to them.

b) Political Policies shall expire, but may be renewed by a motion to renew by Students’ Council

Speaker’s list: Sumar

Motion: CARRIED

Referred to Bylaw Committee for 2nd reading

2007-23/6b STEDMAN MOVES THAT Students’ Council read Bill #11 a first time

Principles Bill #11:

Student Faculty Associations shall be allowed to institute mandatory, opt-out health and dental plans in their faculties, provided that:
a) a proper referendum be conducted under University bylaws;

b) the faculty association be permitted by contract with the service provider to discontinue offering this service should the SU institute an undergraduate health and/or dental plan at a later date;

c) the faculty association agrees to properly consult with stakeholders within the university who may have an interest in the fee itself, or in the process of instituting the fee; and

d) and the opt out for such a plan may be limited to those who can provide proof of coverage.

Speaker’s list: Stedman

STEDMAN MOVED TO amend Point (a) so that it reads: “a proper referendum be conducted under relevant Students’ Union and University legislation”

Amendment: CARRIED (Friendly)

Speaker’s list: Dollansky

STEDMAN MOVED TO amend Point (d) by adding “other current” before the word ‘coverage’

Amendment: CARRIED (Friendly)

Speaker’s list: Gamble, Sumar

SPEAKER MOVED THAT Students’ Council move into informal consideration

Motion: CARRIED

Speaker’s list: Sumar, Stedman

Main Motion: CARRIED

Referred to Bylaw Committee for 2nd reading

2007-23/6c GAMBLE/ESPOSITO MOVE THAT Students’ Council approve the 08/09 Budget Principles

Speaker’s list: Gamble

JANZ/NICOL MOVED TO amend the motion by adding a budget principle such that it reads:

“To pursue full membership in the Canadian Alliance of Student Associations contingent upon approval by the 08/09 Students’ Council that increased revenue from business operations will supply the membership fee and additional conference costs.”

Speaker’s list: Janz, Dollansky

DOLLANSKY MOVED TO amend the amendment by replacing
“To support increased advocacy initiatives at the federal level” in Point 9; with

“To pursue full membership in the Canadian Alliance of Student Associations contingent upon approval by the 08/09 Students’ Council that increased revenue from business operations will supply the membership fee and additional conference costs.”

Amendment to amendment: CARRIED (Friendly)

Speaker’s list: Nicol, Campbell, Bandali, Sumar, Nicol, Dollansky, Jackson, Gamble

GAMBLE MOVED IN-CAMERA

Point of Order: Nicol “I think this is becoming out of scope of the debate that is supposed to be held in first principles”

Speaker: Point well taken

GAMBLE/DOLLANSKY MOVED IN-CAMERA

Motion: CARRIED

GAMBLE/COUNCILLOR MOVED EX-CAMERA

Motion: CARRIED

JANZ/NICOL MOVED THE previous question

Motion: CARRIED

COUNCILLOR MOVED THE call for division

Motion: CARRIED

Amendment: CARRIED 22/2/2

GAMBLE MOVED TO amend the motion by replacing “To support increased advocacy initiatives at the federal level” in Point 4; with

“To pursue full membership in the Canadian Alliance of Student Associations contingent upon approval by the 08/09 Students’ Council that increased revenue from business operations will supply the membership fee and additional conference costs.”

Amendment: CARRIED (Friendly)

Main Motion: CARRIED

NICOL/VANDERSLUIS MOVED TO adjourn

Motion: CARRIED

No objection to role call being used as 2nd attendance

Meeting adjourned at 7:27 pm
## Councillor Remuneration 2007-2008

|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|

### Council Seats

**Ex-officio Members**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Proxies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>Michael Janz</td>
<td>Y Y Y LOA Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Academic</td>
<td>Bobby Samuel</td>
<td>Y Y Y LOA Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP External</td>
<td>Steven Dollansky</td>
<td>Y Y Y 0.5 LOA Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Operations &amp; Finance</td>
<td>Eamonn Gamble</td>
<td>0.5 Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Student Life</td>
<td>Chris Le</td>
<td>0.5 Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Board of Governors Rep</td>
<td>Paul Chiswell</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Faculty Representation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Proxies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Fawn Jackson</td>
<td>Y Y Y 0.5 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Jacqueline Geller</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Basil Bansal</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Beverly Eastham</td>
<td>Y Y Y LOA Y(p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Caitlin Schultz</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Jo Chan</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y(p) Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Leslie Stitt</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Naveed Bandali</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Patrick Wisheu</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts (Faculty)</td>
<td>Makrina Scott</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Andrew Esposito</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Scott Nicol</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Hayley Shannon</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Keith Vandersluis</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Rachel Dunn</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y(p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Abdul Doctor</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y(p) LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Mark Hladly</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y(p) LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Nigel Parker</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y(p) Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Prem Eruvbetine</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Scott McKinney</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>Ian Stedman</td>
<td>N Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine &amp; Dentistry</td>
<td>Bayan Hussein</td>
<td>Y(p) Y(p) Y(p) Y(p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Studies</td>
<td>Stacey Lambert</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>Natale Cloitier</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Studies</td>
<td>Mark Prokopuk</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>Jay Gaute</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint-Jean (Faculty)</td>
<td>Aden Murphy</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Douglas Owoo</td>
<td>Y Y Y 0.5 Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>John Braga</td>
<td>Y Y Y(p) Y LOA Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Jordan Zhang</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Kyle Friedt</td>
<td>N Y(p) Y(p) Y(p) Y(p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Lindsey Campbell</td>
<td>Y(p) Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Mark Hnatiuk</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Bridget Casey</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y LOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Tanner Doerges</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Terence Fung</td>
<td>Y(p) Y Y Y Y 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ex-officio Members (2 non-voting seats)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Proxies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Amanda Henry</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>Bill Smith</td>
<td>N N N Y N N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Resigned

- Fatima Ahmed: Education May 3, '07
- David Cournoyer: CAC member May 29, '07
- Jesse Hahn: Arts May 29, '07
- Adin Vavchuk: Science, BFC member June 3, '08

### Appointments

- David Cournoyer: Arts Aug 9, '07
- Adil Atfah: Science Aug 14, '07
- Lindsay Campbell: Audit Comm. Oct 16, '07
- Michael Jare: BFC, Oct. 16, '07
- Ryan Payne: Business Jan. 9, '08; Grant Allocation Comm. & Audit Comm. Dec. 4, '07; Effective Jan. 15, '08
- Shamee Fathar: Science Feb. 5, '08
- Kyle Friedt: External Policy Comm. Feb. 5, '08
- Jo Chan: FACRA Feb. 5, '08
- Caitlin Schultz: Audit Comm. Feb. 5, '08
- Beverly Eastham: University Policy Comm. Mar 11, '08