ORDER PAPER (SC 2006-23)

2006-23/1 SPEAKER’S BUSINESS

2006-23/1a Announcements – The next meeting of Students’ Council will take place on Tuesday, April 3, 2007.

2006-23/2 PRESENTATIONS

2006-22/2a Student Groups Services Review: Draft Document, presented by VP Student Life, Omer Yusuf

2006-23/3 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

2006-23/4 QUESTION PERIOD

2006-23/4a CHAPMAN – Question for the President or Vice President External.

With the release of the Federal Budget, can you please summarize how this Budget will impact Post Secondary Education currently and in the future (if at all)?

2006-23/5 BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

2006-23/5a Bylaw Committee – February 27, 2007

Please see document SC 06-23.01

2006-23/5b(i) Bill 10 a – Audit Committee Mandate

Principles (second reading)

Audit Committee’s mandate shall be edited such that:

1. Audit Committee shall:

   a. Shall monitor the use by AUFSJ of the funds allocated to it by the Students' Union;
   b. Shall investigate breaches of Contract made by the Students' Union;
   c. Shall review for compliance funding agreements and contracts between the Students Union and any Dedicated Fee Unit or Faculty Association;
   d. Have the authority to require before it, in a reasonable period of time, any members of the Executive.
   e. Not oversee the Students' Union External Audit but shall:
i. Review the Auditor’s final report and cause to have it tabled on the Council agenda;
ii. Be able to contact the SU External Auditor with any questions and/or concerns and shall report important communications to Council;

2. The number of organizational units that the committee is required to review monthly shall be removed;

3. Bylaw 100(16)(2) shall be edited and condensed for sake of clarity and conciseness while not changing any principals other than the ones listed above.

Please see document SC 06-23.02

2006-23/6 GENERAL ORDERS

2006-23/6a BLAIS MOVED THAT Students’ Council adopt a Political Policy based on the following principles:

1. Teaching evaluations are an important resource for students to help them select courses taught by excellent instructors.

2. Universal Student Ratings of Instruction (USRI) provide students with the opportunity to provide anonymous, confidential, and helpful feedback to instructors.

3. Date(s) of USRI distribution and other teaching evaluations (if any) must be included on the course syllabus. If this date is changed, instructors shall notify students a minimum of two weeks in advance. This would allow students to prepare their comments before the date of the teaching evaluation.

4. Numerical results of USRI must be made viewable to students in written and electronic format within 6 weeks of the end of a course.

5. Instructors are encouraged to solicit mid-course feedback from their students. This allows the instructor time to react to such feedback before the completion of the course and the subsequent formal assessment of the instructor’s performance.

6. Teaching evaluations are an important component for determining promotion, tenure and rewards for faculty and the University should endeavour to ensure that they are considered as such by all University units and personnel.

7. The University should encourage faculties to include additional USRI questions when the addition of these questions is appropriate to further the purpose of USRI.

2006-23/6b POWER/HENRY MOVED THAT Students’ Council adopt a Political Policy based on the following principle:

Attendance at the U of A should be based on academic merit alone, and not the financial status of a prospective student. Currently, the maximum allowable tuition increase is the annual average of Alberta July-June CPI – decision to increase tuition lies with the BoG. The Students’ Union holds that the current level of tuition is too high and will advocate to the Board of Governors that
tuition be rolled back to a level based on what students can earn, on average, in the summer months. Furthermore, the Students’ Union holds that increases serve as ever mounting barriers to beginning and completing an education, and will not vote in favor of any increase in tuition.

2006-23/6c COURNOYER MOVED THAT Students’ Council adopt a Political Policy based on the following principles:

That the University of Alberta Students’ Union advocate to the Government of Alberta to:

1. Provide provincial funding to pay residence property taxes to municipalities, or close the loophole allowing municipalities to assess those taxes, and diverting those funds (some $900,000 per year at the U of A) to preserving affordability.

2. Provide a large-scale one-time infusion of capital funds to address deferred maintenance in existing residence facilities.

3. Provide capital funds toward the development of additional student housing stock.

Please see document SC 06-23.03

2006-23/7 INFORMATION ITEMS

2006-23/7a David Cournoyer, VP External- Report

Please see document SC 06-23.04

2006-23/7b Law Faculty Student Fund Committee Report as prepared by the chair, Caylee Rieger, VP Academic of the Law Students’ Association.

Please see document SC 06-23.05

2006-23/7c Votes and Proceedings- March 13, 2007

Please see document SC 06-23.06
Bylaw Committee

Tuesday February 27, 2007

1. CALL TO ORDER
   The meeting was called to order by BUGLER at 5:40 pm.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
   NEARINGBURG/BUGLER moved that the Agenda be approved.

Motion to add items 5 (a) and (b) to New Business

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
   3/0/0 CARRIED

4. OLD BUSINESS
   3/0/0 CARRIED

   a. Bill 10A – Audit Committee Mandate
      i. Change July 31 to August 31 of each year and points to 16 (2) (f) from Bylaw 6000.
      ii. Amend Bylaw 6000, Section 2 (3) to “four (4) weeks of compliance, whichever is
          later”.
      iii. In Bylaw 100, Section 16 (2) (6) strike out on or before July 31 of each year.

   TIEMAN/BUGLER moved that Bylaw Committee recommend to Students’ Council the
   second reading of Bill 10 A.  
   3/0/0 CARRIED

   b. Tabled due to Amanda’s absence.

5. NEW BUSINESS
   3/0/0 CARRIED

   a. BUGLER/NEARINGBURG moved that Bylaw Committee recommend to Students’ Council
      the removal of Councillor Mathewson from the Committee and that Students’ Council appoint
      a new member to the Committee.
      3/0/0 CARRIED

6. NEXT MEETING
   TBA

7. ADJOURNMENT
   BUGLER/TIEMAN moved to adjourn at 6:44 pm
   3/0/0 CARRIED
Bylaw 100
17 (2) The Audit Committee

(a) has authority to select the Students’ Union auditor;
    shall review any DFU or Faculty Association for compliance with any funding
    agreements and contracts held with the SU;
(b) shall select the Students’ Union auditor annually;
    shall investigate breaches of contract made by the Students’ Union;
(c) Shall oversee the Students’ Union external audit;
    shall monitor the use of all Faculty Association Membership Fees and Faculty
    Membership;
(d) shall review the Students’ Union’s audited financial statements in advance of
    their presentation to Students’ Council;
    shall monitor the use of funds allocated by the Students’ Union to the AUFSJ;
(e) shall review all alterations made to the Students’ Union’s budget for the purposes of
    verifying compliance with Students’ Union legislation;
    shall monitor the Grant Allocation Committee’s allocation of the Campus Recreation
    Enhancement Fund, the Eugene L. Brody Fund, the Golden Bear and Panda Legacy
    Fund and the Refugee Student Fund;
(f) shall each month review, for appropriateness and compliance with the Students’
    Union’s budget, the transactions of at least two Students’ Union organizational units;
    shall review the proposed uses and make a decision on the disbursal of the CJSR-
    FM88 Fund, the Student Legal Services of Edmonton Fund, the Alberta Public
    Interest Research Group Fund and the Gateway Student Journalism Fund;
(g) shall review all expenditures made on Students’ Union credit cards;
    has the authority to approve disbursal of the CJSR-FM88 Fund, the Alberta Public
    Interest Research Group Fund and the Gateway Student Journalism Fund;
(h) shall investigate any inappropriate transactions or significant variances against the
    Students’ Union’s budget;
    shall annually select the Students’ Union auditor
(i) has the authority to require to appear before it, in a reasonable period of time, any
    Students’ Union employee;
    shall oversee the Students’ Union external audit and include it with the Committee’s
    report on the audit to Council;
(j) Shall review the proposed uses and make a decision on the disbursal of the CJSR-
    FM88 Fund, the Student Legal Services of Edmonton Fund, the Alberta Public Interest
    Research Group Fund and the Gateway Student Journalism Fund;
    shall review the Students’ Union’s audited financial statements in advance of their
    presentation to Students’ Council;
(k) has the authority to approve disbursal of the CJSR-FM88 Fund, the Alberta Public
    Interest Research Group Fund and the Gateway Student Journalism Fund;
    shall review all alterations made to the Students’ Union’s budget for the purposes of
    verifying compliance with Students’ Union legislation;
(l) shall monitor the Grant Allocation Committee’s allocation of the Campus Recreation
    Enhancement Fund, the Eugene L. Brody Fund, the Golden Bear and Panda Legacy Fund
    and the Refugee Student Fund;
Shall review for appropriateness and compliance with the Students’ Union’s budget, the transactions of the Students’ Union organizational units;

(m) shall monitor the use of all Faculty Association Membership Fees and Faculty Membership;

shall review all expenditures made on Students’ Union credit cards;

(n) shall investigate any inappropriate transactions or significant variances against the Students’ Union’s budget;

(o) has the authority to require to appear before it, in a reasonable period of time, any Students’ Union employee and/or member of the Executive;
Students and the Housing Crunch
Submission to the Alberta Affordable Housing Task Force
February 21, 2007

Post-secondary students, and university students in particular due to the high cost and length of their programs, are adversely affected by the housing crunch. Because earning power is limited by the demands of study, it follows that students are not able to increase their buying power at the same rate as the market. Further, students do not enjoy the same mobility options as other actors in the housing market because of the centralization of learning institutions and limited affordable, effective transportation options. Students deserve special consideration in your thinking as Edmonton strives to become a leading destination for learners, especially given that U of A’s 36,562 students are already equivalent to roughly 3.5% of the greater Edmonton area’s estimated population.

This fall, only 58.7% of freshmen full-time undergraduates had home addresses from Edmonton; 24.5% of new enrollments came from elsewhere in Alberta; 9.5% came from elsewhere in Canada and 7.3% came from other countries. Other new undergraduates, primarily from transfer programs, were even less likely to come from Edmonton: 37.4% originated here; 37.2% from elsewhere in Alberta; 17.3 from elsewhere in Canada and 8.2 from abroad.¹ Put another way, last fall over 3,800 new undergraduate students arrived in the city, primarily from other parts of Alberta, all needing housing of one kind or another.

We submit that there is an opportunity to relieve general market pressures by specifically addressing the housing needs of post-secondary students, a defined constituency with an existing, successful housing program: student residences.

STUDENTS IN THE EDMONTON RENTAL MARKET

Edmonton vacancy rates fell to 1.2% by fall of 2006 according to the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC), an alarming 3.3% drop from 4.5% the year before.² Of even greater concern, from a student perspective, are the CMHC findings for the areas immediately proximate to the University itself, where vacancy rates are critically low:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vacancy by Area</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3 (University)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 7 (South West)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1 (Downtown)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>-3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From looking at the vacancy data it should come as no surprise to find also that the monthly rental rates in areas proximate to the university are among the highest in the city:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apartment Rent/mo</th>
<th>Bach</th>
<th>1Bdr</th>
<th>2Bdr</th>
<th>3Bdr</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3 (University)</td>
<td>$621</td>
<td>$695</td>
<td>$883</td>
<td>$825</td>
<td>$737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 7 (South West)</td>
<td>$656</td>
<td>$748</td>
<td>$864</td>
<td>$999</td>
<td>$826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1 (Downtown)</td>
<td>$592</td>
<td>$715</td>
<td>$911</td>
<td>$1022</td>
<td>$758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton CMA avg.</td>
<td>$561</td>
<td>$666</td>
<td>$808</td>
<td>$902</td>
<td>$727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This would seem the result of a “perfect storm” of rising demand and shrinking supply: our surging economy is attracting an in-migration of people who often need transitional and affordable housing, and in the background fast-rising purchase costs are making it harder for people to transition from renting to owning; meanwhile, we have seen an absolute reduction of supply after a net loss of 533 rental units in the Edmonton area (a 0.8% loss in inventory) primarily as a result of rental to condominium conversion and slowing rental unit construction. CMHC notes that as a result of the confluence of market constructions, rental rates rose 9.8% in 2006. Troublingly, the CMHC predicts a general increase of between 12 to 15% in 2007, and further that citywide vacancy to submerge under 1.0%.
RESIDENCES: A SAFE, RELATIVELY AFFORDABLE ALTERNATIVE

University residences are meant to provide an affordable, proximate housing option for students; they also add value to the university experience for the students through peer interaction and support, and improve the campus-wide sense of community; students in residence tend to perform better academically as well; most of all, residences are a safe place for families to send their children when they leave home to attend university.

According to the University of Alberta, there are currently 3,919 Edmonton residence bed spaces, or enough for roughly 11% of the Fall/Winter corps of enrollment (36,562 in Fall of 2006)\(^3\). This includes all the residences on the main campus and the 100-bed facility at Campus Saint Jean.

The U of A wrapped up its Residential Capacity Task Force in May of 2000 by, among other things, setting a short-term goal of increasing that ratio to 13.5%, the mid point of the Canadian average of 12.5-15%, and a long-term goal of reaching 15%. At the time, the following universities bested the U of A in housing ratios: UBC at 16.3%, the University of Western Ontario at 17.1%, and Queen’s University at 21.4%.\(^4\) Reaching the long-term goal will require a minimum of 1400 more spaces at current enrollment, and yet more spaces should enrollment continue to rise.

For the most part students are satisfied with the facilities, and vacancy rates are very low at 1.2% overall, illustrating the need for more housing. This coming year will be a challenging one as the University’s Board of Governors has approved a 10% increase in rental rates effective May 1\(^{st}\). The increase is partly inflationary (3.6%) and partly to deal with accumulating deferred maintenance issues in the residence facilities approaching to $50 million. Students opposed the supplemental rent increase on the basis of the injury to ongoing affordability and the fact that these deferred maintenance challenges are part of an institution-wide capital challenge that can only realistically be addressed with government funds.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Government of Alberta consider providing provincial funding to pay residence property taxes to municipalities, or close the loophole allowing municipalities to assess those taxes, and diverting those funds (some $900,000 per year at the U of A) to preserving affordability.

2. The Government of Alberta consider providing a large-scale one-time infusion of capital funds to address deferred maintenance in existing residence facilities and protect affordability.

3. The Government of Alberta consider providing capital and favorable financing toward the development of additional student housing stock:
   - Affordable student residence facilities well-connected by public transit could conceivably serve students from multiple campuses, as the MacEwan residence currently does.
   - Additional residence spaces would immediately relieve demand pressure on the general market, especially in the critically low-vacancy areas around the University.
   - Building residences may be a superior alternative to generic public housing because student housing does not carry the same stigma.

---


UPDATES

U-PASS:

84%!

With over 7000 of our members casting their vote, the $75 a semester U-Pass was approved with 84%!

The approval of the U-Pass is a huge political victory for our Students' Union and one that couldn't have been accomplished without the 5+ years of hard work of many Students' Union Executives and our Advocacy Department.

The U-Pass will now be implemented for September 2007!

We received a substantial amount of media coverage from CBC Radio, Radio Canada, CBC TV, Global TV, the Edmonton Journal, CTV, 630 CHED, and the St. Albert Gazette.

DOLLANSKY! Congrats to current Science Councillor and Vice President External-Elect Steve Dollansky for his crushing victory in last week’s SU Executive Elections. I’ll be spending time over the next month and a half transitioning the soon-to-be-minted VPX (Also, congrats to the other members elected!).

ALBERTA LEGISLATURE: The Alberta Legislature reconvened for the Spring Session on March 7 with the Speech from the Throne. Sam, Advocacy Director Don Iveson, and I were all there to listen to and then respond in the Rotunda following the Speech.

PSE received more attention in the Speech than I had predicted it would, so that was positive. As the PSE references focused mainly on access, my concern lies in the fact that the Tory Government has not resolved the affordability issue. We will continue to advocate to the Minister to address the affordability issue. We received media coverage from CBC, CityTV, the Calgary Herald, and the Edmonton Journal.

CAUS held its lobby conference with MLA’s from March 19 to 22. In our meetings, we focused our discussions on the need for increased affordability through a fully-funded tuition rollback, student finance reforms, increased funding for University base-operating budgets, and affordable student housing! Overall, CAUS was received well by members of all the parties.

For the record, CAUS met with:
Hon. Doug Horner, Minister of Advanced Education & Technology
Hon. Ken Kowalski, Assembly Speaker
Hon. Dr. Lyle Oberg, Minister of Finance
Hon. Rob Renner, Minister of the Environment  
Hon. Lloyd Snelgrove, President of the Treasury Board  
Cindy Ady (PC-Calgary Shaw)  
Moe Amery (PC-Calgary East)  
Dan Backs (Ind-Edmonton Manning)  
Wayne Cao (PC-Calgary Fort)  
Harry Chase (Lib-Calgary Varsity)  
Alana DeLong (PC-Calgary Bow)  
Clint Dunford (PC-Lethbridge West)  
Mo Elsalhy (Lib-Edmonton McClung)  
David Eggen (ND-Edmonton Calder)  
Heather Forsyth (PC-Calgary Fish Creek)  
Thomas Lukaszuk (PC-Edmonton Castle Downs)  
Brian Mason (ND-Edmonton Highlands Norwood)  
Dr. Bruce Miller (Lib-Edmonton Glenora)  
Rick Miller (Lib-Edmonton Rutherford)  
Dr. Raj Pannu (ND-Edmonton Strathcona)  
Bridget Pastoor (Lib-Lethbridge East)  
Dave Taylor (Lib-Calgary Currie)  
Maurice Tougas (Lib-Edmonton Meadowlark)  
David Swann (Lib-Calgary Mountain View)  
Len Webber (PC-Calgary Foothills)  
Gene Zwozdesky (PC-Edmonton Mill Creek)

**FEDERAL BUDGET:** Federal Finance Minister Jim Flaherty announced that the new federal government will create a dedicated transfer for post-secondary education, but will hold off increasing funds for it by $800 million until 2008-2009.

These moves are baby steps by the federal government which was once a significant player in funding post-secondary education. In order to make up for the federal government cutbacks in the 1990s, the government needs to commit an additional $1.2 to $4 billion in funding immediately.

Much of the other education funding announced yesterday is directed at research activity and graduate students as opposed to undergraduate quality and affordability.

It is absolutely critical that we re-establish the balance between research and teaching at our institutions and yet this budget continues the trend of favouring research over students.

Some of the federal budget included the following announcements:
- Beginning in 2008-09, $800 million per year to the Canada Social Transfer earmarked for post-secondary education.
- $15 million a year to the Indirect Costs of Research program.
- Eliminate the $4,000 limit on annual contributions to registered education savings plans (RESPs), increase the lifetime contribution limit to $50,000 from $42,000, and extend RESP eligibility to more part-time studies.
- Increase the maximum annual Canada Education Savings Grant to $500 from $400.
- A review of the Canada Student Loans Program.
**PUBLIC INTEREST ALBERTA:** I participated in a Press Conference for the release of PIA’s PSE vision document “Where to from here?” Both Sam and I were involved in the creation of the document and PIA will be travelling across Alberta with the document to broaden the discussion on the need for leadership by our provincial government on PSE! Let me know if you’d like a copy of the document!

**CAMPUS CAMPAIGNS:** Our Postcard Campaign is moving along smoothly! We are planning a **FINALE EVENT AT THE ALBERTA LEGISLATURE!** Details to be release soon.

If want to volunteer to be part of SU campus campaigns – let me know!

**Upcoming of Interest:**
- March 22 - WUSC Dinner
- March 23 - Dollansky Meeting
- March 26 - U-Pass Meeting
- March 29 - U-Pass Meeting
- April 2 - SU Awards Night
- **April 10** - Meeting with Hon. Ray Danyluk, Minister of Municipal Affairs & Housing
- April 12 - U-Pass Meeting
- - Senate Reception
- April 13 - Senate Plenary Session
- April 14 - Public Interest Alberta Conference
- **April 19** - Provincial Budget

Please don’t hesitate to contact me if you have any questions, concerns, or ideas. Let me know in person (2-900 SUB), by phone - 492.4236, or by email vp.external@su.ualberta.ca.
I. INTRODUCTION

1. The SFFC held its annual meeting March 7th, 2007, the operation of the Student Faculty Fund.

2. The Committee members were strongly of the view that the Career Services Office plays a valuable role in the law school and fully approves the collection of the fund for the operation of the Career Services Office.

II. SFFC Members

i) Faculty/Staff
   - Neil Buddel (non – voting member)
   - Robert Bechtel (non – voting member)

ii) VP Academic
    - Caylee Rieger (Chair & voting member)

iii) LSA President
     - Mark Facundo (Voting Member)

iv) Articling Committee
    - Nigel Forster (Voting Member)
    - Aaron Lightman (Voting Member)
    - Ryan Sharp (Voting Member)
    - Sim Harry (Voting Member)
    - Matt Howard (Voting Member)
    - Mark Bickford (Voting Member)
    - Brennan Clarkson (Voting Member)

III. MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE


IV. MEMBERS ABSENT

1. None
V. HISTORY OF THE SFFC

1. The SFFC was created to oversee the administration of the Student Faculty Fund.

2. The annual meeting was held on March 7th, 2007. Members in attendance were asked to provide comments regarding the satisfaction of the student body with the administration of the Student Faculty Fund.

3. When the Student Faculty Fund was originally created the goal was to help cover the salary and costs of running the Career Services Office. Today the Career Services Office requires more funding than the Student Faculty Fund recovers.

4. The total operation cost of the Career Services Office for 2006-2007 academic year was approximately $90,000.00. The $50,000.00 recovered from the collection of the Student Faculty Fund fully goes to the operation of the Career Services Office.

VI. SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE CAREER SERVICES OFFICE

1. The SFFC reviewed the services offered by the Career Services Office made available through the Student Faculty Fund.

2. Services offered include meeting with students, answering students’ questions, various seminars including a Resume Seminar, ‘Match Program’ Seminar, CPLED Seminar etc. Furthermore, several other services are offered including firm receptions, Small Firm Day, Public Law Day, and mock interviews.

3. In addition various other services from couriﬁring resumes to answering students’ questions are made possible by the Student Faculty Fund.

VII. REVIEW OF THE STUDENT FACULTY FUND

1. A motion was made by C. Rieger, to put forward a vote on continuing the collection of the Student Faculty Fund. The motion was seconded by M. Facundo.

2. The vote was passed unanimously with the nine voting members all in favour. There were no abstainees.
VIII. CONCLUSION

1. In general the SFFC feels the student body is satisfied with the collection of the Student Faculty Fund.

2. The Committee will meet annually to review the satisfaction of the student body with the Student Faculty Fund as well as the administration of the fund.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the Committee,

______________________________
Caylee M. Rieger, Chair
Tuesday, March 13, 2007
Council Chambers 2-1 University Hall

Votes and Proceedings (SC 2006-22)

2006-22/1 SPEAKER'S BUSINESS

Announcements- The next meeting of Students' Council will take place on Tuesday, March 27, 2007.

Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Chapman “I was just wondering if we are going right into the debate that we were having last week, or if we are starting the way that we would start any council meeting with presentations and questions period and motions? How does that work?

Speaker: “The way it works is an adjourned meeting doesn’t have any opening business except for a reading of minutes. That’s if the minutes from the previous meeting are available. However; under our standing orders we don’t read our minutes, so we are going straight into where we were last time.”

Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Lewis “A motion was passed that I would like to add to the agenda at last night’s meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee; however the minutes of the BFC are not in late additions. Is it still in order to add that motion to the agenda?

Speaker: “Does the motion have to do with the budget principles?”

Lewis: “No it’s a removal of councillor Sing from the committee.”

Speaker: The motion is in order.

LEWIS/CHAPMAN MOVED TO add the removal of Councillor Alamjit Singh from BFC to the agenda.

Speaker’s List (mm): Lewis

Motion: CARRIED TO ITEM 5A(ii)

COUNCILLOR MOVED THAT Student Council appoint one (1) member to Budget and Finance Committee.

Motion: CARRIED TO ITEM 5A(iii)
2006-22/5

**BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORTS**

2006-22/5a

Budget and Finance Committee

2006-22/5a(ii) **LEWIS/CHAPMAN MOVED** to remove councilor Singh from Budget and Finance Committee.

Speaker’s List: Chapman

Motion: CARRIED

2006-22/5A(iii) **COUNCILLOR MOVED THAT** Student Council appoint one (1) member to Budget and Finance Committee

COUNCILLOR nominates LEWIS: accepts

CUNNINGHAM MOVED TO close nominations

Motion: CARRIED

Councillor Cam Lewis is acclaimed to the Budget and Finance Committee.

2006-22/5a(i) **CUNNINGHAM MOVED THAT** Students’ Council approve the proposed Budget Principles.

**CHAPMAN/CUNNINGHAM MOVED** to amend the main motion by adding “There will be an average margin increase on non-academic materials of no more than 10%“ to Section 6 (Business)

Speaker’s List (am): Cunningham, Power, Chapman

Amendment: CARRIED

**LEWIS/CHAPMAN MOVED TO** amend the main motion by adding “Total operating and capital expenditures will not exceed the amount of total operating and fee revenues by no more than $25,000 for the 2007-2008 fiscal year only. In future years, there will be the intent that total operating and capital expenditures will not exceed the amount of total operating and fee revenues” to Section 1 (General)

Speaker’s List (am): Lewis, Shamanna, Chapman, Lewis, Schneider, Tieman, Dollansky, Thevenaz

**SCHNEIDER MOVED THE** previous question

Motion: DEFEATED

Speaker’s List (am): Lewis, Gamble

Amendment: DEFEATED

**CHAPMAN MOVED TO** amend the main motion by adding “A margin increase of 10 % for non-academic materials” to Section 9 (Changes from 06/07 Budget Principles)

Amendment: CARRIED
CHAPMAN/ BUGLER MOVED TO amend the main motion by adding “The CRO’s renumeration shall be increased by 25%” to Section 9 (Changes from 06/07 Budget Principles)

Speaker’s List (am): Chaman, Schneider, Lewis, Shamanna, Dollansky, Chapman, Cunningham

CHAPMAN/SHAMANNA MOVED TO amend the amendment by replacing “25%” with the words “an amount set by CAC”.

Speaker’s List (am to am): Lewis

CHAPMAN WITHDRAWS HER AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT

LEWIS/BLAIS MOVED TO amend the motion by removing “by 25%”

Amendment: CARRIED

Motion: CARRIED

SCHNEIDER/NEARINGBURG MOVED TO amend the main motion by adding “Students’ Union membership fees will increase” to Section 9

Speaker’s List (am): Councillor, Lewis, Chapman

LEWIS/THEVENAZ MOVED TO amend the amendment to read “The Students’ Union membership fee shall increase by no more than the amount required such the total operating and capital expenditures will not exceed the amount of total operating and fee revenues.”

Point of Order: Schneider “What it’s going to accomplish is already being done.”

Speaker: Point of Order is not well taken

SCHNEIDER APPEALS THE DECISION OF THE CHAIR

Shall the decision of the chair by upheld? DEFEATED

Speaker’s List (am to am): Shamanna

POWER MOVED TO recess

Motion: CARRIED

2006-22/5a(i) CUNNINGHAM MOVED THAT Students’ Council approve the proposed Budget Principles

Meeting called to order at 7:40 PM

Speaker’s List (am to am): Kehoe, Power, Shamanna, Gamble, Dollansky, Chapman, Kehoe, Lewis, Bugler
Point of Order: Schneider “I don’t feel that it is germane to the debate.”

Speaker: Point of Order is not well taken

Speaker’s List (am to am): Tieman

TIEMAN MOVED TO amend the amendment to the amendment

Speaker: “An amendment to an amendment is out of order.”

Point of Order: Chapman “I don’t think that this achieves what its supposed to achieve.”

Speaker: Point of Order is not well taken

Speaker’s List: Dollansky

SCHNEIDER MOVED THE previous question

Amendment to amendment: DEFEATED

CHAPMAN MOVED TO amend the amendment by adding “by no more than 2% above CPI.” to Section 9

Speaker: In the absense of a seconder the sub-amendment falls down

TIEMAN MOVED TO amend the amendment to read as such “All attempts should be made to create a balanced budget without a fee increase. If a balanced budget is not achievable than Students’ Union membership fees will increase.”

Speaker: The sub-amendment is Out of Order

Speaker’s List (am): Dollansky, Schneider, Lewis, Kirvan, Chapman, Shamanna

DOLLANSKY/LEWIS MOVED TO amend the amendment by adding “no more than 4% in addition to CPI” to the end.

Speaker: “Is there any objection to the councilor withdrawing his amendment to the amendment and replacing it with one that would read: to append the words “no more than 7.9%”

Speaker’s List (am am): Schneider, Shamanna

TARYNER MOVED THE previous question

Amendment to amendment: CARRIED

Point of Order: Janz “Is it too late to motion for a role call vote?”

Speaker: “Because I had declared the result of the vote it would not be in order”

Amendment to amendment: DEFEATED

THEVENAZ MOVED THE previous question on the amendment

Amendment: CARRIED 13/5/5
POWER/CHAPMAN MOVED TO reconsider
Speaker’s List: Power, Thevenaz, Lewis
Speaker: “The councilor is out of order”
Speaker’s List: Lewis, Chapman, Kirvan
THEVENAZ MOVED THE previous question on reconsideration

Motion to reconsider: DEFEATED

TIEMAN/BLAIS MOVED TO amend the Budget Principles by adding “All attempts should be made to creat a balanced budget without a fee increase through service cost containments.” to Section 1 (General)

Speaker’s List: Tieman, Schneider
Amendment: DEFEATED

CHAPMAN/COUNCILLOR MOVED TO amend the Budget Principles by adding “Funding be provided for training of standing committees of council” to Section 9

Speaker’s List: Chapman, Tieman

DOLLANSKY MOVED THE previous question
Amendment: DEFEATED

JANZ/DOLLANSKY MOVED TO amend the main motion by adding “Create a new part-time student position whos duties would include scholarship recruitment.”

Speaker’s List: Janz, Dollansky, Lewis, Tieman, Janz, Schneider
THEVENAZ MOVED THE previous question

Motion: DEFEATED

Main Motion: CARRIED

THEVENAZ/BLAIS MOVED TO adjourn

Motion: CARRIED