Tuesday July 11, 2006
Council Chambers 2-1 University Hall

ORDER PAPER (SC 2006-06)

2006-06/1 SPEAKER’S BUSINESS

2006-06/1a Announcements – The next meeting of Students’ Council will take place on Tuesday July 25, 2006.

2006-06/2 PREsentations

2006-06/3 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

2006-06/3a Executive Committee – July 4, 2006

Please see document SC 06-06.01

2006-06/4 QUESTION PERIOD

2006-06/5 BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

2006-06/5a Discipline, Interpretation and Enforcement Board – Ruling # 15: Hirji, Kirkham and Jones vs. Students’ Council.

Please see document SC 06-06.02

2006-06/5b Budget and Finance Committee – June 20, 2006

Please see document SC 06-06.03

2006-06/6 GENERAL ORDERS

2006-06/6a Eruvbetine MOVED THAT Students’ Council create a Political Policy with the following:

- The Students’ Union should negotiate with individual faculties to ensure a universal co-op or internship program accessible by all students’ within the faculty.
- The Students’ Union should lobby the University to ensure the equality in admission to faculty-specific co-op or internship programs.

2006-06/6b Cournoyer MOVED THAT Students’ Council read the Political Policy “Affordable Universal Transit Pass” a second time.

Please see document SC 06-06.04
INFORMATION ITEMS

2006-06/7a  David Cournoyer, Vice President (External) – Report
Please see document SC 06-06.05

2006-06/7b  Amanda Henry, Vice President (Academic) – Report
Please see document SC 06-06.06

2006-06/7c  Chris Cunningham, Vice President (Operations & Finance) – Report
Please see document SC 06-06.07

2006-06/7d  Votes and Proceedings – June 27, 2006
Please see document SC 06-06.08
1. The following motions were passed at the June 27, 2006 Executive Committee meeting:

   POWER/CUNNINGHAM MOVED TO allow CJSR to book the Alumni room on Sunday, July 9 during the day.
   VOTE ON MOTION 5/0/0 CARRIED

   POWER/YUSUF MOVED for approval of the Mural outside Aboriginal Students Services.
   VOTE ON MOTION 4/0/1 CARRIED (VPOF)

2. The following motions were passed at the June 29, 2006 Executive Committee meeting:

   CUNNINGHAM/POWER MOVED for approval of the pump for the SU.
   VOTE ON MOTION 5/0/0 CARRIED

3. The following motions were passed at the July 4, 2006 Executive Committee meeting:

   YUSUF/POWER MOVE TO supply coffee, tea, and cookies from L’Express for International House orientation.
   VOTE ON MOTION 4/0/1 CARRIED (VPOF)
Style of Cause: Hirji v. Students’ Council (re. U-Pass)

Ruling # 15

Date heard: June 15 2006.

Appearing for the D.I.E. Board:
   Presiding Chair: Kanchana Fernando
   Tribunes: Dane Bullerwell, Alan Cliff

Appearing for the Applicant: Mustafa Hirji

Appearing for the Respondent: Jason Morris, Speaker of Students’ Council

Case summary:
   The Universal Bus Pass Referendum had an expiry date of April 30 of this year. As this date has gone by without the bus pass being established, Mr. Hirji claims that Students’ Council is in breach of the referendum. The panel finds that Council’s obligations under the referendum extend merely to exercising all reasonable measures, rather than actually ensuring implementation.

   Mr. Hirji also claims that failure on the part of the Students’ Union to contemplate the use of subsidization from operating funds constitutes a violation of Council’s obligations. The panel agrees with the respondent that contemplation is required neither by the referendum nor by previous DIE board rulings.
SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

Mr. Hirji alleges that the failure of the Students’ Union to establish a Universal Bus Pass program by May 1st constituted a violation of its obligations under the Universal Bus Pass Referendum of March 2004. Hirji claims that the D.I.E. Board Reference Re. Universal Bus Pass Referendum Question of November 18th 2004 (U-Pass Ruling #1) established that the Students’ Union was obliged to establish a Universal Bus Pass program by April 30.

Mr. Hirji further claims that the fact that the Students’ Union has not considered subsidizing the U-Pass from operating funds is evidence of a failure to pursue all reasonable means in implementing the referendum.

FACTS

The Universal Bus Pass Referendum was approved by the members of the Students’ Union during the campus-wide executive election of March 2004. The referendum read as follows:

“Do you support the establishment of a Universal Bus Pass subject to the following conditions:
1. That the pass would provide unlimited access to participating municipal transit systems during the Fall and Winter terms
2. That the pass would cost each student a maximum of $60.00 per Fall or Winter term
3. That the pass would be mandatory for all undergraduate students except:
   a) Students not residing in a municipality served by a participating municipal transit system;
   b) Students, who are for an entire term, not attending courses on the University of Alberta campus;
   and
   c) Students who, by reason of disability, are unable to make use of ordinary transit services
4. That this referendum would bind the Students’ Union to enter into an agreement on the terms outlined in this referendum as soon as possible; and
5. That this referendum would expire April 30, 2006.”

On May 1, 2006, Students’ Union advocacy director Don Iveson stated in an email message to the applicant that no U-Pass agreement had been settled with the municipal transit systems, and that it would not be implemented by September of 2006.

On May 26, 2006, Students’ Union president Samantha Power stated in an email message to that applicant that subsidization of the U-Pass from operating funds had not been considered.

RELEVANT LEGAL PROVISIONS

Bylaw 2400 s.2.(e) establishes that a referendum vote is “[l]egally binding upon the Students’ Union.”

Bylaw 1500 s.4.(a) grants the D.I.E. Board jurisdiction over actions pertaining to “[c]ontravention of Students’ Union legislation.”

D.I.E. Board ruling Re. Universal Bus Pass Referendum Question (U-Pass Ruling #1) of November 18 2004 answered four questions referred by the Students’ Union Environmental Coordination Office of Students. In response to the first of these, asking which requirements must be met by the Students’ Union by April 30, the Board wrote that:
“[a] referendum must be interpreted in light of what a reasonable student would expect the referendum to mean. Respecting the present referendum question, a reasonable student would expect the Students’ Union to take all reasonable measures to establish a Universal Bus Pass under the criteria in the referendum question.”

On January 18, 2005, the D.I.E. Board issued a second ruling, *Re. Universal Bus Pass Referendum* (U-Pass Ruling #2) in response to three questions referred by Mr. Hirji. The first of these asked whether the S.U. would be obligated to subsidize the U-Pass with operating funds in the event that it was necessary to establish a the pass by April 30, 2006. The Board found that “[i]n the event that the cost of the Universal Bus Pass exceeds $60, the Students’ Union would be required to fund the excess cost of the bus pass to an extent that would be reasonable.”

**ANALYSIS**

The applicant argues that U-Pass Ruling #1 interpreted the referendum to require the Students’ Union to actually establish the pass by April 30. He bases this interpretation on the second sentence of the Board’s answer to ECOS’ third question, which states that “[t]he referendum requires the establishment of the Universal Bus Pass by April 30, 2006.”

The respondent submits that the earlier finding in the same ruling that “[a] reasonable student would expect the Students’ Union to take all reasonable measures to establish a Universal Bus Pass under the criteria in the referendum question” supersedes the actual-establishment requirement. Under the respondent’s interpretation, U-Pass Ruling #1 requires not actual implementation, but merely that the Students’ Union take all reasonable measures in that direction. The panel concurs, for a number of reasons.

The all-reasonable-measures requirement is laid out directly in response to the first question of U-Pass Ruling #1, “[w]hat requirements are set out by the referendum that must be met by April 30 2006?” On the other hand, the quotation cited by the applicant in support of his interpretation is an incidental statement found in the midst of a largely unrelated response to the third question, about whether “further referenda [are] necessary […] to maintain a Universal Bus pass established under [the] referendum.” The panel believes that the direct response takes precedence over the passing comment. The language of the referendum question itself supports this interpretation. If the requirement for implementation were an absolute one, the referendum would not refer to establishment “as soon as possible,” and use an expiry date rather than an explicit deadline. U-Pass Ruling #2 also cites the first ruling as finding that “[t]he Students’ Union must take all reasonable measures” towards implementation. If the Board had found that the requirement were one of actual establishment rather than all-reasonable-measures, it would not have bothered to define the circumstances in which subsidization would be reasonable or unreasonable.

The applicant also claimed that the failure of the Students’ Union to consider subsidizing the U-Pass with operational funds constituted a breach of its obligation to use all reasonable measures to establish the pass. While the D.I.E. Board has found that the Students’ Union “[w]ould be required to fund the excess cost of the bus pass to an extent that would be reasonable” under some circumstances, (U-Pass Ruling #2) it is actual subsidization, not consideration of subsidization, that is deemed reasonable and therefore required. Despite the fact that email correspondence provided by the applicant indicates that the S.U. has not considered so funding the pass, that failure to consider is does not necessarily constitute a violation of its referendum obligations. Clearly, subsidization would not be a reasonable step towards implementation, and therefore would not be required by the referendum, unless it could actually lead to the establishment of a
pass. The S.U. would therefore be in violation for failing to subsidize if and only if it could be demonstrated that a reasonable amount of internal subsidization would have made it possible to implement the pass.

Despite the failure of the Students’ Union to consider subsidization, the panel has not been presented evidence that a reasonable degree of subsidization would necessarily have been useful in establishing a pass by April 30.

DISPOSITION AND REMEDY IMPOSED
The panel reaffirms that there was no requirement for Students’ Council to actually establish the U-Pass referendum by April 30, but rather to exercise all reasonable measures in pursuing establishment. Failure to so establish the U-Pass as defined under the bylaw is therefore not explicitly a violation of the referendum’s terms.

The panel found no requirement to consider subsidization in U-Pass Ruling #2. In the absence of an evidentiary basis on which to believe that a reasonable degree of subsidization would have allowed the establishment of the pass, the panel cannot establish that a transgression of the all-reasonable-measures principle has occurred in this case.

The Discipline, Interpretation And Enforcement (D.I.E.) Board functions as the judicial branch of the Student’s Union, and is responsible for interpreting and enforcing all Students’ Union legislation. If anyone has any questions regarding the D.I.E. Board, feel free to contact the interim chair, Kanchana Fernando, at ea@su.ualberta.ca.
Budget and Finance Committee

ATTENDANCE: Chapman
            Cunningham
            Lewis
            Janz (proxy for Kehoe)
            Singh

CALL TO ORDER: 5:05pm

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

OLD BUSINESS: Finalized standing orders
               Chapman/Janz
               4/0/1 (Cunningham absent for this vote)

NEW BUSINESS: Revolutionary Speakers Series budget
              Lewis/Cunningham
              5/0/0

NEXT MEETING: July 4, 2006 SUB 606

ADJOURNMENT: Lewis/Janz
              4/0/1 (Cunningham left already)
Regarding the RSS budget, there were little concerns except the way operational expenses have been allotted in the past. This year, General Rentals, Performer’s Costs, and Production Costs were budgeted separately but in the past they were conglomerated under performers costs. The way that it is done this year is better and the committee just seemed concerned with that it was done otherwise in past years.

Kehoe was unable to make it to the meeting and had Councillor Janz proxy for him. Along with Janz, he sent some written comments (in italicized are the resulting discussion of the committee). I am including these because they outline well what was discussed regarding the RSS budget.

1. As you’ll notice in the budget, the proposal plans for significantly increased revenues (up $4,000) and significantly decreased performer's costs (down $10,000). How will we make so much more money if we cannot afford to bring in higher profile speakers?

   The committee thought that the increase in revenues were most likely to match the increased external advertising which would attract more external ticket purchases.

   Regarding the decrease in performers costs, see the comment after (2) regarding the conglomeration of costs in past years.

2. Why have the general rentals and production costs jumped so high?

   These costs were conglomerated under performers costs before. The total operational expenses have not increased but have actually decreased by about $300.

3. In the past, large amounts have been budgeted for marketing, with a small portion of those amounts actually used. How will such a larger marketing budget for RSS be implemented?

   We are not sure. Please ask Sam, who is chair of the RSS Committee. Our impression is that the increased Marketing, Advertising, and Promotion costs are to increase external ticket sales to offset costs as that most of the increase is on External Advertising-Media. As well, there has been an increase in External Printing (my guess is for better posters).

4. The proposed RSS budget has, for both this next year and all years since the program has existed, virtually zero staff costs.

   The staff that work on this project are paid on a salary basis not hourly. Their income comes out of a different budget. No matter how many hours they work on this or that, their pay doesn’t change. However I do agree with Mr. Kehoe that this process does not well represent the total costs of providing this (or other) events.

5. Alternative Programming 713 basically contains the SU Christmas Party for Kids (SUCPK/ICKCP) and the RSS. Of the two programs, the former is a one day event that is largely driven by the APVSL/SAC, and the former relies heavily upon the Operations Manager--Venues. The full version of 713 does contain significant staff costs, and I cannot believe that they are all attributed to the SUCPK and none to the RSS. Are zero staff costs a realistic presentation of the resources required to run the RSS, or are they being hidden elsewhere?

   See above comment regarding (4).

In future, I think that BFC should invite the staff member responsible for the preliminary budget to come to the meeting so that questions can be asked and appropriate adjustments can be more possible.
Budget & Finance Committee

Standing Orders

1. The first meeting of the Committee shall be coordinated through the Office of the Speaker or the Administrative Assistant of Council prior to the first meeting of Students’ Council.

2. All subsequent meetings will
   (1) Be scheduled on a trimesterly basis, in advance, with the trimesters being
      (a) May to August,
      (b) September to December, and
      (c) January to April
   (2) Be called by any of the voting members, provided that members are given 72 hours’ notice or if there is unanimous consent of members.
   (3) Begin directly at the scheduled time or as soon as quorum is reached, whichever is later.

3. The Committee must have a written record of its votes and proceedings. In addition, the committee will make a report to Council:
   (1) The Chair shall, after each meeting of the committee, submit to Students’ Council a report including
      (a) any decisions made by the standing committee acting under authority delegated to it by Students’ Council,
      (b) any recommendations made by the standing committee to Students’ Council,
      (c) any standing orders adopted by the committee, and
      (d) a document titled “Summary of Proceedings” summarizing the activities of the standing committee at the meeting in question

4. Quorum
   (1) This committee has 7 members and a quorum of 4 members

5. This Committee Derives its Authority and Mandate from Students’ Union Bylaw and therefore
(1) shall recommend budgets to Students’ Council as may be required by Students’ Union legislation;

(a) Form of Operating Budget – see Bylaw 3000

(b) Budget Process – see Standing Orders of Council

(2) shall make recommendations to Students’ Council on alterations to the Students’ Union operating budget;

(3) shall make recommendations to Students’ Council on expenditures of funds from the Projects Allocation; and

(4) shall make recommendations to Students’ Council on legislation dealing with Students’ Union finances as it considers appropriate or as required by Students’ Council.

6. The Chair will be responsible for the following

(1) Producing an agenda prior to each meeting and email it to all members a few days prior

(2) Securing a location for meetings;

(3) When necessary, moderating debate;

(4) Facilitating the Committee’s ability to achieve its mandate;

(5) Delegating such responsibilities to Committee members as are appropriate for its legislated mandate.

(6) Recommend to the committee changes to Standing Orders when they are in conflict with higher Legislation

(7) Subsection (6) does not restrict any other member of the committee from recommending changes to the Standing Orders at any time

7. Failure of the Chair to adequately perform his/her duties can result in dismissal. This requires a majority vote on the part of the Committee membership excluding the Chair.

(1) If such a motion passes, a new Chair will be immediately selected from the standing committee membership.

(2) If the Chair vacates his/her position as a member of the Committee, the position of Chair will also be considered vacant.
8. These standing orders may be amended as needed by a simple majority vote. They must be reviewed at the first meeting of the Committee annually. Any changes must be submitted to Students’ Council for information.
WHEREAS a clear majority of Students’ Union members voted in March of 2004 to support a Universal Transit Pass through a campus-wide referendum;

WHEREAS a Universal Transit Pass will present undergraduate students with significantly more affordable access to public transit;

WHEREAS the Universal Transit Pass will improve the student experience and better serve students’ needs;

WHEREAS Universities and Students’ Unions across Canada and the United States have successfully implemented affordable Universal Transit Pass programs;

WHEREAS in addition to the tangible improvements for access and affordability, a Universal Transit Pass can help reduce reliance on automobiles and thus reduce greenhouse gases and free up campus parking areas for academic or green purposes.

BE IT RESOLVED that the University of Alberta Students’ Union negotiate with the regional municipalities and transit services and the University of Alberta to establish the terms of an affordable Universal Transit Pass for Students’ Union members subject the following conditions:

a) the municipalities must cover all capital costs associated with the program;

b) the cost of additional transit service to accommodate new transit users be subsidized by the normal amount of other municipal transit services;

c) a fair annual cost-escalator provision will be created;

d) the municipalities and the university will contribute financially to the project on an ongoing basis commensurate with benefits to the wider and campus communities, and the transit system;

e) that the final cost brought forward in a Students’ Union referendum be the direct cost per-student, plus optionally an inflation indexed amount per-term to cover a subsidy program and administrative costs, if necessary.

Policy History:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/Vote</th>
<th>Board/Committee</th>
<th>Date of Council Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Created</td>
<td>EPC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I hope everyone had a great Canada Day long-weekend!

**CAMPAIGNS PLANNING & ACTION COMMITTEE:** CPAC is a volunteer group which will be involved in planning and implementing successful SU campaigns over the next year – if you’d like to get onboard, or know someone who does – let me know!

**CAUS:** Sam and I will be at the University of Lethbridge for a CAUS meeting on July 13. Topics will be our reaction to the New Tuition Policy Consultations, the upcoming Student Finance Board retreat, and fall campaigns.

**HIGH SCHOOL LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE:** SU AVPX Pam Aranas is looking for volunteers to help out with the SU’s High School Leadership Conference in November – if you’re interested, feel free to contact Pam at avpex@su.ualberta.ca.

**PIA PSE TASKFORCE:** On June 29, I met with members of Public Interest Alberta’s PSE Taskforce to discuss plans for fall campaigns. I will have more information in the coming months.

**TUITION POLICY CONSULTATIONS:** Advanced Education will be accepting submissions for Alberta’s new tuition policy until August 4. UASU is planning to submit a joint submission with our CAUS partners.

At our meeting with the Minister on June 23, we learned that regardless of the upcoming consultations, the Minister has already accepted CPI as an annual increase and that the status quo levels of tuition will be kept – breaking the Premier’s promise that Alberta will have the most affordable tuition in Canada. These actions leave me questioning the entire point of a consultation process in the first place.

**U-PASS:** Negotiations continue with the Cities of Edmonton and St. Albert, Strathcona County and their transit services, as well as the U of A Administration for a Universal Transit Pass for U of A Students. President Power and I have been meeting with City Councillors over the past week to discuss the U-Pass.

Our meetings so far, with Councillors Linda Sloan, Karen Leibovici, Dave Thiele, and Ed Gibbons, have been positive.

**Upcoming:**
- July 6: Meeting with Councillors Janice Melnychuk, Jane Batty, Michael Phair, and Kim Krushell.
- July 7: Campus Campaigns Retreat
- July 10: Senate Honorary Degree Committee Meeting
- July 10: Meeting with Councillor Terry Cavanaugh
- July 11: Edmonton Transport & Public Works Meeting (U-Pass)
- July 25: Student Finance Board Retreat
- July 27: VARGO Committee Meeting
End of Nov  High School Leadership Conference

If there are any questions or concerns regarding this report or any other issue, feel free to let me know in person, by phone (492.4236), or by email (vp.external@su.ualberta.ca).
Amanda Henry, Vice President (Academic)
Report to Students’ Council
July 7th, 2006

Begin July: 2 months in and already counting down.
Counting Down What, you ask?
How many more of these I have to put together (19…).

Updates
1. **Law Fee**- I’ll keep you posted if anything changes. Expect to see me in September with a full accounting of proceedings. Edited for sexiness, etc.
2. **New Faculty Orientation** – UTS wants to put together a panel of students to attend new faculty orientation at 4pm on August 14th. 2 volunteers to the good (not counting me). Wish me luck in blackmailing students into coming at vp.academic@su.ualberta.ca.
3. **Academic Plan Submission** – Only one person requested a copy. Ha! I knew no one reads this thing. I think I might do the next one as a poem, just to see. A dirty poem (the report, not the Academic Plan Submission. I’ll have you know that particular document might have generated some glory that needs basking in from our illustrious staff, maybe even including me. We’ll keep our fingers crossed, at any rate).

Stuff you Expect to See
1. **Meetings** – I attend them. Nothing sufficiently scandalous has occurred to pique your interest. Just the usual covering students’ ass…ets in the most mundane ways I can conceive of. No really, I sit in my office and try and think of ways I can make this job seem less interesting. That way, I can take a quick nap during question period.
2. **Advocacy**- Continues its progress and happens to be scanadal-icious. We’re thinking about having cake at our next meeting. Ooooh. Aaah.
3. **Advocacy**- Seriously, it’s spicy stuff. You should try some. Almost as delicious as cake.
4. **Office Work** –Organizing, budgeting, reading. Boring, but necessary. The Audit Committee will be happy to know that I have coded my Visa bills. The rest of Council will not care that I have organized my filing system (with a great deal of most appreciated help from admin staff) and cleaned off my desk. I have also generated over 20 pages of electronic data in the form of internal memos and reports in the past few days. I have also read an additional 50+ pages of memos and reports since we spoke last, making me a well-read (if not well-educated) VP Appointments, Disappointments, and Reading Stuff over the Summer to Prepare for September and all the Good Times Waiting For Me Then, Especially Disappointments. Good thing I like my job, or I might’ve added something in bad taste there.

Anyway, Peace Out.
Good evening Council,

**Powerplant**
Slightly new course of action, we are now entertaining the thought of closing the bar portion of the Powerplant Monday through Wednesday if the University would be willing to give us a significant break on our rent. The buffet and coffee shop would remain open during those days, while the bar portion would act as a relaxation/study space. Thursday through Saturday the bar will be flowing with beer once again. This particular proposal is now in the hands of Don Hickey (VP Facilities and Operations) and David Bruch (Executive Director Ancillary Services) and we eagerly await their reply. Apart from that, things are progressing well and we hope to be fully operational by fall.

**Random**
It’s not necessarily that it’s been a slow couple of weeks but, very little has happened on my end that any of you would be interested in. I’ve been keeping myself busy with the increasingly stressful job of getting the Powerplant ready for the fall and of coarse my daily responsibilities – primarily signing cheques, POs and answering e-mails. It’s fun stuff. I realize this is a pretty short report, but I can’t imagine anyone wanting to hear about the random conversations, meetings, activities, and field trips… yes field trips that have plagued my last couple of weeks.
The meeting was called to order at 6:13 pm

2006-05/1a **SPEAKER’S BUSINESS**

Cameron Lewis resigns from the Budget and Finance Committee, Bylaw Committee and APIRG Board of Directors.

2006-05/1a (ii) John Chandler resigns from the Council Administration Committee.

**CHAPMAN/SCHNEIDER MOVED THAT** the order paper be amended to add item 5d (i) from the late additions.

Point of Order: Lewis – “Would the chair say this meets the requirements for a late additions motion?”

Speaker: Point of Order not well taken, it is up to the Council to decide.

Speaker’s List (am): Chapman, Lewis, Schneider, Lewis, Chapman

**SHAMANNA MOVED THE** previous question.

Motion to move the Previous Question: CARRIED

Amendment to the Main Motion: CARRIED

**SCHNEIDER/PAYNE MOVED TO** amend the order paper by adding a motion of nominating one member to the Council Administration Committee as item 6 F.

Amendment to the Main Motion: CARRIED

**LEWIS MOVED THAT** Students’ Council appoint one (1) member to the Budget and Finance Committee.

Chapman nominates Trayner; declines
Trayner nominates Le; declines
Chapman nominates Payne; declines
Payne nominates Janz; declines
CHAPMAN MOVED TO close nominations.

Motion to close nominations: CARRIED

LEWIS MOVED THAT Students’ Council appoint one (1) member to the Bylaw Committee.

Ye nominates Bugler; accepts

LEWIS MOVED TO close nominations

Motion to close nominations: CARRIED

Councillor Bugler acclaimed to the Bylaw Committee.

LEWIS MOVED THAT Students’ Council appoint one (1) member to the APIRG Board of Directors.

Trayner nominates Power; declines
Cournoyer nominates Cunningham; declines
Schneider nominates Chapman; accepts

Councillor Chapman acclaimed to the APIRG Board of Directors.

YUSUF MOVED THAT Students’ Council appoint two (2) members to the ECOS Development Task Force.

Henry nominates Mathewson; declines
Schneider nominates Janz; declines
Trayner nominates Chapman; declines
Power nominates Shamanna; declines
Mathewson nominates Bugler; accepts
Chapman nominates Ye; declines
Lewis nominates Schneider; declines
Power nominates Nearingburg; accepts

Councillors Bugler and Nearingburg appointed to the ECOS Development Task Force.

SCHNEIDER/PAYNE MOVED THAT Students’ Council appoint one (1) member to the Council Administration Committee.

Mathewson nominates Le; accepts
Mathewson nominates Samuel; declines
Cournoyer nominates Yusuf; declines

Councillor Le appointed to the Council Administration Committee.

QUESTION PERIOD

BOARD AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

HUSSEIN/JANZ MOVED THAT Students’ Council, under the recommendation of the External Policy Committee, adopt the Political Policy entitled “Affordable
Universal Transit Pass”.

Speaker’s List (mm): Samuel

**CHAPMAN MOVED TO** amend the main motion by adding the word “to” after “benefits” and before “the” in point d.

Amendment to the Main Motion: CARRIED

Main Motion: CARRIED, unanimous

**2006-05/5d (i)**

LEWIS/CUNNINGHAM MOVED THAT upon the recommendation of the Budget and Finance Committee, Students’ Council approve a transfer not to exceed $15,652.00 from the 2005/2006 Special Project Reserve to the Alternative Programming budget to fund the Revolutionary Speakers Series. This will be done in accordance to the budget for the Revolutionary Speakers series that was reviewed and approved by the Budget and Finance Committee and included in the Council Agenda.

Speaker’s List (mm): Lewis

Main Motion: CARRIED

**2006-05/6**

**GENERAL ORDERS**

**2006-05/6a**

CHAPMAN MOVED THAT Students’ Council read Bill #4 a first time.

Bill #4 – Retreat Remuneration (sponsor; CHAPMAN)

Principle (first reading)
1. Missing one day of Council Retreat will count as missing one meeting of Council for purposes of Council Remuneration.
2. This bill is not retroactive.

Speaker’s List (mm): Chapman

**CHAPMAN/SCHNEIDER MOVED THAT** Bill #4 be referred to the Bylaw Committee.

Speaker’s List (ref): Chapman

Point of Order: Lewis – “This is a bill that affects Standing Orders rather than bylaw. Our laws are that you can’t use a bill to change standing orders”.

Speaker: Point of Order not well taken

Speaker’s List (ref): Shamanna, Chapman

**CHAPMAN MOVED TO** withdraw the motion to refer.

Motion to withdraw: CARRIED

**SCHNEIDER/LEWIS MOVED TO** postpone the motion indefinitely.

Speaker’s List (pp): Chapman, Lewis

**TRAYNER MOVED THE** previous question.
Motion to move the previous question: CARRIED

Motion to Postpone Indefinitely: DEFEATED.

Speaker’s List (mm): Shamanna, Janz, Schneider, Kehoe

LEWIS/PAYNE MOVED TO amend the main motion by striking the bill in its entirety and replace with “MOVED THAT Students’ Council direct the Council Administration Committee to look into and recommend legislations regarding Council Retreat”.

Speaker’s List (am): Henry, Power, Payne, Shamanna, Chapman

Amendment to the Main Motion: CARRIED

Main Motion: CARRIED

LE/PAYNE MOVED TO adjourn.

Motion to Adjourn: CARRIED

Attendance (2006-05):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>Samantha Power</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Academic</td>
<td>Amanda Henry</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP External</td>
<td>David Cournoyer</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Operations &amp; Finance (&amp; Executive VP)</td>
<td>Chris Cunningham</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP Student Life</td>
<td>Omer Yusuf</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Board of Governors Rep</td>
<td>Chris Samuel</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Faculty Representation (42 voting seats)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department (Faculty)</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argic/Forest/HomeEc</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argic/Forest/HomeEc</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Chandler, John</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Janz, Michael</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Lewis, Cam</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Richardson, Brock</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Schneider, Tim</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Sumar, Al-Amyn</td>
<td>Y (p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>Kustra, Bryce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augustana (Faculty)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Payne, Ryan</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Cook, Michael</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Gamble, Eamonn</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Semenuk, Harold</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Y (p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Eruvbetine, Prem Peterson, Avis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Kirvan, Paul Hansen, Rebecca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Mathewson, Kory Hill, Dan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>Nearingburg, Ben</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>Tieman Jonathan</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine &amp; Oral Health Sciences</td>
<td>Ye, Carrie</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Studies</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Studies</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Education</td>
<td>Gray, Darren</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehab Medicine</td>
<td>Engineering vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint-Jean (Faculty)</td>
<td>Bugler, Brittney</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Chapman, Theresa</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>German, Greg</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Hussein, Bayan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Hussein, Nassrein</td>
<td>Y (p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Kehoe, Justin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Le, Christopher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Shamanna, Sylvia</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Singh, Alamjit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Singh, Jagjit</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Trayner, Brendan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leitch, Brandon</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ex-Officio Members (2 non-voting seats)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Y (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Morris, Jason</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>Smith, Bill</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>