Policy Committee

Date: October 1st, 2013
Time: 6:00PM
Location: SUB 608

1. Approval of the Agenda

2. Approval of the Minutes
   (Please review the attached minutes from September 17, 2013)

3. Chair’s Announcements

4. Presentations

5. Executive Committee Reports
   Written/Oral Reports
   a. VP Student Life
   b. VP Academics
   c. VP External
   (See attached for submitted reports)

6. Question Period

7. Old Business
   a. Health and Wellness – Progress and Action Plan
      (See attached)
   b. Internationalization – Progress
   c. Scholarships and Bursaries – Progress

8. New Business

9. Discussion
   (If you have an item to discuss, please be prepared to open discussion and bring it to the table)

10. Confirmation of Next Meeting Date
    Next meeting is scheduled for October 15th 2013 at 6:00 pm
Date: September 17, 2013
Time: 6:10 pm

In Attendance:
Kareema Batal (Chair)
William Lau
Dustin Chelen
Adam Woods
Colin Champagne
Kelsey Mills
Dylan Hanwell

Excused Absence:
Braiden Redman
Natalia Binczyk

Others in Attendance:

1. CALL TO ORDER:
The meeting was called to order by BATAL at 6:10 pm.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:
MILLS moved that the September 17 agenda be approved as tabled.
Seconded by WOODS.
Vote on Motion 7 / 0 / 0 CARRIED.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:
LAU amended minutes to change “a requirement to graduate” to “not a requirement to graduate” in p.5.

BATAL amended minutes to change “BIFRT The SU will advocate for self help during introductory courses” to “BIFRT The SU will advocate for self health to be incorporated into introductory courses” in p.4.

BATAL moved that the August 20 minutes be approved as amended.
The motion was seconded by LAU.
Vote on Motion 5 / 0 / 2   
CARRIED.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS

BATAL announces that the committee will be using Lower Level Meeting Room for the whole semester.

BATAL notifies the committee that CAC requested a policy report the night before. She refused based on the lack of time. But CAC needs it so she sent CAC a brief report.

WOODS adds that there is a requirement for doing this as the committee acts as a whole.

CHELEN comments that the CAC standing order has the timeline at the end of each trimester. And the committee should have expected the policy report.

MILLS states that CAC only need something brief.

5. PRESENTATIONS

BATAL did not arrange any presentation because the committee could not make a decision on the presentations in the last meeting. It is better to discuss them in detail in this meeting.

6. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

a. VP Student Life

LAU mentions one of the issues in Affordable Housing. One residence is somewhat outside their scope of view – that is Missioner Park. Most of the residents are mature students. Half of them are graduate students, some of them are undergraduate students, and they have family. Not much communication with them was conducted until late last week for the meeting today. Their rent rates are quite high but the spaces they are renting are not up to standard. LAU wants to look into this issue.

b. VP Academics

WOODS reminds the committee about election campaign on October 17th. The voting station will be on Alumni room. There is difficulty in moving the couches in the room. Training will be on October 12th. He met with some MPs and had a day with a lot of travel but they were good meetings. He met with MP Blaine Calkins in Ponoka and gave a dinner presentation with Alberta Caucus. He also met with MP Michael Chong in Calgary, talking about pre-budget submission, which is getting attraction now.

WOODS explains that when people lobby they will provide federal advocacy pre-budget submission. Interest group on federal level will provide 3 asks for the government: this is what we want, this is what they cost, this is how you do to implement them. It is essentially a quick guide for the government to look at how to implement these things.
CASA has 3 asks. First, setting work exemption. Currently if a student has student loan and has a part-time job, the earning from the job will be exempted from the student loan. The issue is that the liars are rewarded as they will get the money but the honest ones are the ones being punished. Second, vehicle exemption. If a student has a vehicle worth around $5000, the amount will be exempted from student loan. The amount of RSP saving and certain amount of parents’ income will also be exempted from the loan. Third, setting weekly loan limit. Weekly loan limit is set at $210 per week for student loan in 2007/2008. But with inflation increase, it should be raised to $245.

7. QUESTION PERIOD

HANWELL asks WOODS if there is a goal for the election. WOODS answers that he only has one goal – that is the election works well, such as good crowd control, good volunteers, efficient polling station running throughout the day. He is trying to find confident individuals who can commit and take it seriously. The city is excited and will do whatever to accommodate the election. This is a pilot project. Furthermore, there will be CROs with federal or provincial jurisdiction to watch over, so this will be a test for voting on campuses across Alberta. If it goes wrong, the blame will be on SU.

BATAL asks about advertisement for the election. WOODS answers he is still working with MacEwan and NAIT, and the campaign materials will be released next week. By then the campus will be full of posters for the forum and election. The forum will be held on October 6th at 7pm at MacEwan University.

BATAL asks about volunteer recruitment. WOODS asks council and Fas, also posts on Facebook and on other social media. He now only needs two more people. Volunteers will receive $135 at the end.

MILLS asks if the lobby trips worthwhile. WOODS thinks they worth the effort. Ponoka meeting is crucial. And CASA never meets with Michael Chong before, so it is an opportunity for CASA to talk to MP who they never talks with before and see if he would like to see them in the future. Besides, he is receptive.

BATAL asks CHELEN about the Academic Materials section of his report – who is responsible of the external review of the Bookstore’s operation. CHELEN explains that the supervisor of administrative unit can request a unit review every 5 years. The Bookstore belongs to administrative unit and its supervisor the Chief Librarian requested to conduct a unit review. Due to budget situation, the unit review is on the pause. But CHELEN thinks it is worthwhile for the Chief Librarian to compare the Bookstore with the other bookstores. A couple of experts from the field of academic materials suggest
the Bookstore to look into ebooks.

BATAL continues to ask is this operation make to public. CHELEN answers that it is more on the high level, like directing how to spend the revenue, so only experts are in the view. And CHELEN adds that the Bookstore did not conduct a review since 2004.

BATAL asks about Experiential Learning section – what is proposing new leadership initiative. MILLS explains the idea of leadership college. It is to take 144 students from various faculties in the university and incorporate them into a college. There will be a residency and so they will have a building unit. They will have graduate student mentors and principal of the college. It will be in self-sustaining funding model, it comes out from the operating grant of the university, from sponsorship and fund-raising. Professors from different faculty will teach courses on leadership to these students or modify their courses containing leadership training. It is an admission programme for second year university student, they either in the faculty or being nominated but nothing was decided about the requirement. So it is an elite leadership school where its student can afford to live on campus. They are thinking of what the business school is currently doing, like the leadership cohort and leadership certificate, but bigger in scale. It is an expensive initiative and they want to name it after Peter Law, like Peter Law College of Leadership.

CHAMPAGNE asks what they expect to come out of this leadership college. CHELEN says they want students to have access to special leadership opportunity, leadership courses, residence, mentors, networking, study aboard, and better living experience. It is a more restrictive and formalize programme of leadership. It is a lot of investment.

8. OLD BUSINESS

a. Health & Wellness Policy

BATAL attaches the drafted principle; it was drafted by BATAL, BINCZYK and LAU out of scratch. She wants to hear the opinion of the committee.

WOODS comments that the principles are all fine.

CHELEN recommends it is better for the Council to know what they will expect from the second reading. He recommends some specific directive to the Exec.

BATAL explains that what they want to do is to take the WHEREAS clause and adjust them to read as a principle. She would like the suggestion from the committee. MILLS suggests thinking about something like second reading then generalizes and simplifies them.

BATAL says when they went back to the records when this policy was passed in Policy Committee and in Council 2 years ago. There were no
principle, all were BIFRT and WHEREAS clauses passed in the first reading. This is strange as it is not the way Craig instructed to do it. So she is confused.

WOODS points out that not everyone wants to be educated in health and wellness.

HANWELL and MILLS suggest including the financial implications of eating healthy. LAU suggests adding a principle that the SU shall advocate for promoting a health option. MILLS suggests showing people how to have a more health option.

BATAL asks the committee to look into last year’s Health and Wellness Policy and asks if it is sufficient. CHELEN comments that it is specific in some cases.

MILLS wants to talk about the finances. She addresses her concern on student debt and wants to show student how to manage their money so that they are not stressed and can actually focus on school.

BATAL explains that the executives execute these action items. From her perspective, when talking about health and wellness it is from a holistic approach. And this policy lacks the holistic view as it touches on certain things but misses certain things. She does not want the policy to be lengthy but get to the point.

LAU wants to keep the principle somewhat general, but he realizes there are some specific asks that they have to include in the principle, but not personal project ideas.

WOODS comments on last year’s policy – they are not specific enough. He defines good policy as specific enough but not too specific that it tells the VPs exactly how they do it, so they can achieve it whatever way they want to.

LAU will review the more specific but not too specific action items that could be typed in the principle, especially incorporating accessibility and financial health.

b. Internationalization

WOODS had made edits from External point of view and wants to discuss it with the committee. He made additional WHEREAS and BIFRT clauses in the policy.

WOODS states that the multiple entry visas and off-campus working permits are the two biggest injustices. These are the two lobby asks CASA working on. And he wants to discuss it with the committee.
LAU comments that he does not see the harm in allowing all international students to have off-campus working permits but he sees the benefit of current system of maintaining GPA 2.0 before they could work. He talked with 3 international students and asked how it has been trying to get working permits. And they answered that it is easy, just to maintain GPA 2.0.

WOODS brings up the conservatives argument that the international students are taking jobs from Canadians. But it is not the case.

MILLS also sees the benefits of the GPA requirement. And she suggests to find something more definitive, like the application materials for the permit before the committee start the discussion on this issue.

WOODS’ addition of the clauses mainly focuses on tuition and differential rates, multiple entry visas. He further explains that some students in certain countries can a multiple entry visa which allows him to come and go the country as he pleases. But the visa is not issued to some nations, so students from these nations have to pay $132 every time they come to Canada. Another issue is that it is discriminatory. Especially when students who cannot get a multiple entry visa are the ones who have hard-enough time to finance education.

LAU with CHELEN’s support is trying to figure out the stat of International Student Services and what SU wants with them. Most of their work has been around what action should they take with ISS, the International Centre, and what international students are asking from them.

BATAL asks if it is appropriate for the executives or committee like Policy Committee to have a focus group discussion with some random international students and ask what are their issues and what do they face, to give them the idea. CHELEM supports this idea. He recommends to collect bigger data from international students.

BATAL thinks they need to gather the right data to make sure they have the right information to present to the Council. She asks WOODS to send the edits he has, to call it the first draft; and LAU if he has edits, to call the second draft. And discuss the information in the next meeting.

9. NEW BUSINESS

a. Scholarships & Bursaries
CHELEN is currently in the Student Financial Task Force for the past 6-8 months, meeting with Registrar Office about student awards and scholarships. They drafted 5 recommendations a month ago.

CHELEN concerns that the task force never decide to tell him that in August/September when they did not put the application online for
scholarship competition. Also, currently the Registrar Office spends 85% of its money on new students versus 15% of its money on the other three year of students. It is difficult for old students to get scholarships.

BATAL points out that if the last BIFRT clause CHELEN mentioned is going to be outdated, why not change the word “pursue” to “support.” CHELEN recommends to keep it for now.

CHAMPAGNE suggests the scholarships to be awarded by different faculties. It is better for each faculty to handle their own scholarships. CHELEN agrees the current system is confusing.

LAU suggests to change the centralized office to a single point of entry. It can be something like a website.

BATAL suggests during the transition period, it might be helpful there is some body to help student to refer them to the right station where they can summit application.

BATAL asks what happen if the committee not have any changes in the policy but let it expire in their term. CHELEN suggests to make some changes to the policy based on focus group structure.

10. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS

WOODS announces that if anyone in the committee able to spend some time on 12th and 17th of October helping him to run the polling station, he will be appreciated. And he only needs two more people.

11. REPORTS

12. CLOSED SESSION

13. NEXT MEETING October 1st, 6 p.m.

14. ADJOURNMENT CHELEN moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded by MILLS.

Vote on Motion 7 / 0 / 0 CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 7:38 pm.
# POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

## SUMMARY REPORT TO COUNCIL

**Date:** September 17, 2013  
**Time:** 6:10 pm

### Motions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: September 17, 2013</th>
<th>Time: 6:10 pm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. **MILLS** moved that September 17 agenda be approved as tabled.  
   CARRIED 7/0/0

2. **BATAL** moved that August 20 minutes be approved as amended.  
   CARRIED 5/0/2

3. **CHELEN** moved that the meeting be adjourned.  
   CARRIED 7/0/0
Hello Policy Committee,

Below is a report on my progress toward political policies delegated to my portfolio. As well, as is required by our Standing Orders, you’ll find my plans for the recently approved Students in Governance political policy.

I. Academic Materials

I had a meeting with the new Chief Librarian, Gerald Beasley. We had an exciting conversation around the future of libraries, and briefly touched on textbooks and other academic materials. I’ve also continued to hammer home the need for an updated inventory management system with the University’s IT unit, but it’s currently not in their plans. The Bookstore Advisory Group has yet to meet this academic year, so I’ve followed up to see what the plans are.

Next Steps:

- Request for an external review of the Bookstore’s operations, to inform their strategic planning process.
- Gather more data on the Bookstore’s financial performance through the Bookstore Advisory Group.
- Make the minutes of the Bookstore Advisory Group public so students are aware of advances made toward reducing academic material costs.
- Advance the Bookstore’s communication with primary purchasers – instructors – through a redeveloped online materials ordering process for instructors. This process should include information on the cost of textbooks that professors are ordering.
- Advocate to the VPIT’s office for a more comprehensive product management system that gives more data to instructors.
- Build a close working relationship with the new Vice Provost and Chief
II. Experiential Learning

I have developed a response to the University’s proposed leadership initiative. While I think that an elitist 144 person college is a poor resourcing decision, I’m hopeful the University will commit greater funding to experiential learning initiatives, and perhaps work toward consolidating them under one roof.
Next Steps:

- Build a rapport with the Director of CAPS
- Write to University Advancement thanking them for their support of student experiential learning opportunities, and publicly thank donors through a blog post.
- Work with leaders of experiential learning services to gauge their interest in collaboration.
- Meet with SSDS to discuss the barriers that they perceive to experiential learning opportunities like CSL and Undergraduate Research.
- Work with the Dean of Students to expand the scope of the Academic Accommodation policy.

III. Internationalization

No new progress on this policy from my portfolio, although I have reviewed and provided feedback to a first draft of the ISA Constitution.

Next Steps:

- Better understand barriers to international students on campus, perhaps through a focus group.
- Work with Extension and the RO to expose more international students to Canadian culture.

IV. Quality Instruction

The University hosted “Celebrate: Teaching, Learning, Research” which celebrated excellent students and professors. Dr. David Percy was the winner of the University Cup this year. I’ve done some background work on teaching evaluation this past two weeks in advance of CLE striking a subcommittee to continue to look at teaching evaluation.

Next Steps:

- Involve the SU in long-term planning for the Centre for Teaching and Learning.
- Actively participate in TLEF allocation discussions.
- Advocate for continued funding for TLEF grants, even in tough budget times.
- Review the University vision for teaching through the rescission of GFC policy 111 and the development of a new teaching strategy or teaching evaluation policy.
- Every day, find ways to encourage and celebrate excellent teaching on campus.
- Provide a higher level of visibility for excellent teaching through a re-developed teaching and learning webpage.
V. Research

No new progress.

Next Steps:

- With the Director of URI, advocate for a consolidated and easy to access database of undergraduate research opportunities.
- Advocate for greater online presence of the Undergraduate Research Initiative.
- Develop information on integrating teaching and research at research-intensive universities.
- Continue to encourage applications for tri-council undergraduate research awards, Kule Institute for Advance Study grants, Roger Smith Undergraduate Researcher Awards, and the undergraduate researcher stipend.

VI. Scholarships and Bursaries

After substantive lobbying, the University has added a second scholarship competition this year for students to apply to. I’m tremendously proud of the students and staff who asked questions about the University’s plans. While the RO communicated this as being their real “final decision”, I think that the previous plans would have existed if students did raise such a ruckus.

In better news, a final report went to the Provost advocating for streamlined student award applications and a better data system, which should hopefully mean that more students will be able to apply for and receive awards. I think this is a major win for students, and am hopeful that it will receive a positive response.

Next Steps:

- Ensure that there is policy in place that mandates the Registrar’s Office to provide a percentage of need-based aid.
- Continue to collect data on the impacts of continuing scholarships on student retention.

VII. Students in Governance

Advocating for Students in Governance has always been top-of-mind for me. I think it’s essential to have empowered student leaders who can help shape the University to be more responsive to student needs. If it doesn’t it will start to lose its relevance among its primary consumers: students.

There’s a lot of work going on behind the scenes, primarily by Discover Governance, to support student representatives. Below is a list of initiatives that I intend to take on to promote the efficacy, wellness, and inclusion of student representatives.
Next Steps:

- Develop a report to senior administrators that highlights the role and value of the Students’ Union and student representatives.
- Consult with student representatives on the barriers they face through focus groups.
- Write a comprehensive report on the state of academic governance at the University of Alberta, with an eye to student issues.
- Connect more student representatives with Discover Governance and University Governance through email contact.
- Defend against unfriendly proposals from the President to change how GFC works.
- Work with the Dean of Students to expand the scope of the academic accommodation policy.
H&W Policy Task Force Action Plan

1. We need to understand why we have this policy and why we need to amend it. What was it missing? why was it missing that? what has changed to make us include what we are proposing to include? - this could include an environmental scan of what we have that addresses the issues we are highlighting as advocacy-worthy. What do we have on campus already? what don't we have that we should? We could also conduct some community consultations with key stakeholders: Those who have a hand in our campus health and wellness, which would require identification.

2. What has this policy achieved in this past two years? have the advocacy efforts of your predecessor, William, been fruitful? If advocating for what is in this policy not gotten us anywhere, why? What more do we need to advocate for/or stop advocating for?

3. Based on these answers, we need to establish the principles and build a recommendation report to support (evidence) what we are proposing in this policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By October 4th, 2013</td>
<td>Task Force meeting and action plan confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By October 11th, 2013</td>
<td>Community Consultations in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By October 21st, 2013</td>
<td>Environmental Scan and Report Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By October 28th, 2013</td>
<td>First Principles drafted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By October 29th, 2013</td>
<td>First Reading Principles &amp; Report approved in PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By November 5th, 2013</td>
<td>First Reading Principles Presented to Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By November 19th, 2013</td>
<td>Second reading presented to Council (best case) OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First Principles adjusted and re-presented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERNATIONALIZATION
Edited version from September 17th

WHEREAS international student differential represents a serious threat to affordability and accessibility;

WHEREAS international students should not bear the burden of institutions’ financial deficits;

WHEREAS institutions may charge international students more than the cost of their education.

WHEREAS the University of Alberta clearly recognizes the importance of international students;

WHEREAS the Government of Alberta does not require educational institutions to charge international student differential fees;

WHEREAS the Public Post-Secondary Institutions’ Tuition Fees Regulation makes no provisions for international student differential fees;

WHEREAS international student differential limits the geographic diversity of our international students;

WHEREAS the federal government only issues multiple-entry visas to international students from a select list of nations

WHEREAS the federal government restricts the ability of international students to work off campus.

WHEREAS the Students’ Union endorses the right of international students to earn their own education while studying in Canada.

WHEREAS international students may work in Canada for up to three years after completion of their program and may also apply for landed immigrant status;

WHEREAS a diverse student body and institutional internationalization is a
fundamental feature of a high-quality university education;

WHEREAS studying abroad is a unique and highly valuable experience that all University of Alberta students should be able to access;

WHEREAS the transfer credit system plays a pivotal role in enhancing the quality of internationalization at the University of Alberta;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union shall support accessible postsecondary education for international students at the University of Alberta;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union shall not support the Board of Governors of the University of Alberta increasing differential tuition for international students;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union shall fight against increasing international students tuition as a means of balancing budgets.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union shall advocate that the federal government issue multiple entry visas for all international students.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union shall advocate the federal government issue off campus work permits for all international students.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union shall advocate to the University to provide international students with the support and services needed to help them achieve success in the pursuit of a quality undergraduate experience;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Students’ Union advocates to the University for clear and transparent transfer credit processes at the faculty, Campus Alberta, national, and international levels.