The meeting was called to order by Stephanie at 5:32 pm.

Morris moved that the May 14 agenda be approved as tabled. Seconded by Fedoski. Vote on Motion 4/0/2 CARRIED

Fedoski moved that the April 29th minutes be approved as tabled. The motion was seconded by Gruhlke. Vote on Motion 4/0/2 CARRIED

None

None

ERC Surveys:
Option 1: Take ERC Surveys as is and take the data. Make recommendations based on the survey data. Get the surveys from Nicole and Analyze them. Start next meeting just going over surveys. Harley will come up with an excel sheet where people can start logging in information.

CRO Report 2013/2014 – Still haven’t received it at the date of the meeting.

7. NEW BUSINESS

8. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS

**Why ERC-**

Fedoski – Felt that the elections were messy and wants to make the process better.

Gruhlke – Wants to stream line FA Elections and ensure that there is a

Morris – Had done ERC last year and loved it and thought we made lots of
strives to make it more feasible and viable as an SU Committee. Wants to
review the DIE Board rulings and use them to make solutions.

Christensen – Last year to CRO didn’t come to any meetings and this year he
wants to see what’s up. He was the Faculty DRO for Pharmacy. Hopes to
make things easier candidate wise.

**Strategic Plan-** How do we want to accomplish our goals?

Morris – Take some time to think...

Gruhlke – A lot of what I want to do revolves around bylaw reviews and
somehow mandating proper communication between CRO and Faculty
DROs.

Fedoski - A good election depends on a good CRO…looking at the job
description of the CRO… Keeping good CROs and giving them more/less to
do.

Gruhlke & Fedoski – We both want to look at the CRO job

Fedoski – Would a two year system be based on a review after a year

Morris – Yes, we don’t have to power to change that but we can make
recommendations. Maybe link ERC and the CRO.

**DIE Board Rulings –**

Morris – Possibly each taking a DIE Board ruling and looking at bylaw to see
what we could change in bylaw to make sure that they don’t happen again.

Gruhlke - Ie. The Lister Issue clarification.

Morris – Also issues of third party advertisement.

Gruhlke – Also want to deal with the endorsement issue. Third party status?
Does the candidate get held responsible?

Christensen – It was a ruling that Wayne made with a lot of thought because it
is an important issue.

Morris – Lets talk about Die Board 2013-06 Woods vs. CRO. Everyone read
it for next meeting and think about possible bylaw changes that could help
mitigate these issues.
Bylaw Review –
Morris – We can do this a couple ways. There are 5 bylaws that are under ERC purview. We can each take a bylaw and look at that bylaw. It could be boring but it will be quick.
Morris 2200
Fedoski 2100
Gruhlke 2300
Kwan 2400
Banister 2500

9. REPORTS None

10. CLOSED SESSION None

11. NEXT MEETING Wednesday May 28, 2014 5:30 pm

12. ADJOURNMENT Morris moved that the meeting be adjourned.
The motion was seconded by Gruhlke.
Vote on Motion 4/0/2
CARRIED
Meeting adjourned at 5:55pm.