CAC MINUTES 2011 - 2012 # 20

Date: February 07, 2012  Time: 5:10pm

In Attendance:
FERGUSON (chair), ESLINGER (for BELLINGER), LIANG, LUIMES, MILLS, ZHAO

Excused Absence:

Others in Attendance:

1. CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order by FERGUSON at 5:10pm.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
MILLS moves to approve the agenda as tabled.
The motion was seconded by ZHAO.
Vote on Motion 5/0/0
CARRIED.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
LIANG moves to approve the minutes as tabled.
The motion was seconded by ZHAO.
Vote on Motion 5/0/0
CARRIED.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS
FERGUSON Executive and BoG Rep Nomination packages are out! Check the elections website, www.su.ualberta.ca/elections, for more information, they’re due on February 17th at 5 pm.

5. REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS

6. OLD BUSINESS
CMP Advertising strategy
FERGUSON moves to table until the next meeting.
The motion was seconded by ZHAO.
Vote on motion 5/0/0
CARRIED.
7. NEW BUSINESS

**Rules for Skyping into meetings**

*FERGUSON* This has been levied as a concern of the Speaker, if only for the inconvenience caused by individuals notifying him far too late if they’re Skyping in, as well as the logistical concerns.

*LIANG* I get the concern, sometimes votes aren’t counted because people send them in too late, but that’s their issue since the ability to Skype in is provisional.

*ESLINGER* It’s difficult to hear, as well as the issue with presentations not being made available.

*MILLS* I Skyped in during the summer, and it was really hard to hear people, so if that could be improved that would be great, but I’m not sure how – any ideas? Also, a camera for presentations would be helpful since you have no idea what’s going on screen.

*ZHAO* I think that there should be a time limit on when you can give notice to Skype in to make it easier for the Speaker to keep track, such as the same time that people need to get their proxy notices in.

*MILLS* What do other SUs do?

*FERGUSON* I’ve looked, only two in my research (approximately 20 SUs) mention the ability to teleconference in, the University of the Fraser Valley and one out East, and they’re either vague or too restrictive in terms of voting, so they don’t really help us here.

Committee agrees to revisit this after some thought.

**Election of Chief Returning Officer – what Bylaw 2100 says**

*FERGUSON* So there’s some issue between Bylaw 100 and 2100, and essentially we have to work in conjunction with the CRO Selection Committee so that we’re not forced to interview applicants twice. When the Panel is assembled later in the month, we’ll either send someone from CAC as a non-voting observer, or have a member of the Panel come to CAC and report to us. Sound good?

*LIANG* I’m going to get my Britney Spears song.

Nods of approval.

8. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS

9. REPORTS

10. CLOSED SESSION **NIL**
11. NEXT MEETING  
*February 17, 2012 @ 4:00pm*

12. ADJOURNMENT  
*ESLINGER* moved that the meeting be *adjourned*. The motion was seconded by *FERGUSON*.

Vote on Motion 5/0/0  
*CARRIED*.

Meeting adjourned at 5:50pm.