Thursday, August 25, 2016
6:00PM
SUB 6-06

AGENDA (BC 2016-10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016-10/1</th>
<th>INTRODUCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-10/1a</td>
<td>Call to Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10/1b</td>
<td>Approval of Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10/1c</td>
<td>Approval of Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10/1d</td>
<td>Chair's Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-10/1d</td>
<td>Attendance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016-10/2 | QUESTION/DISCUSION PERIOD |

| 2016-10/2a | General Bylaw review - Reminder |
| 2016-10/2b | Midpoint committee planning and goal setting |

2016-10/3 | COMMITTEE BUSINESS |

2016-10/4 | INFORMATION ITEMS |

| 2016-10/4a | Fall Committee Schedule |
| Tuesday, September 27 @ 6:00 PM |
| Tuesday, October 25 @ 6:00 PM |
| Tuesday, November 29 @ 6:00 PM |

2016-10/5 | ADJOURNMENT |
Next meeting: Thursday, September 27, 2016 @ 6:00PM in SUB 6-06
Thursday, August 11, 2016
6:00 PM
SUB 6-06

ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROXY</th>
<th>PRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Christensen (Chair)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bismillah Kiani</td>
<td>Sandy Brophy</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Prochnau</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delane Howie</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilish McKinlay</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed Larsen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Paches</td>
<td>Ryan Scott</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MINUTES (BC 2016-09)

2016-09/1 INTRODUCTION

2016-09/1a Call to Order

Meeting called to order at 18:06 (6:06 PM) by CHRISTENSEN.

2016-09/1b Approval of Agenda

CHRISTENSEN amends the agenda to change 2016-09/3e to “... to approve the second reading ...”

LARSEN/HOWIE MOVE to approve the agenda.
5/0/0 CARRIED

2016-09/1c Approval of Minutes
HOWIE/BROPHY MOVE to approve the minutes from August 4, 2016. 
3/0/2 (SCOTT and LARSEN abstained) 
CARRIED

2016-09/1d Chair’s Business
Attendance

Attendance was taken. Proxies in attendance were noted above. 
The committee agreed to the fall meeting schedule which is noted 
under 2016-09/4a.

2016-09/2 QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD
2016-09/2a Election enrolment errors 2014/2015 through 2016/2017

CHRISTENSEN:
I have already got the winter numbers, but the Fall ones pose more of 
a challenge. While it was close in 2014/2015, it is quite a way off in 
2015/2016 and 2016/2017. There is a councillor in waiting for 
Engineering, who was hard done by this error. Engineering should 
have got 1 more seat, and Science should have lost 1. Councillor Alex 
Kwan is resigning. So, Science councillors would drop to 6. Councillor 
Wang has already resigned.

BROPHY:
While it’s hard for the individual who got left out, we should leave it 
be for this year. All votes were cast with people assuming a certain 
number of seats for each faculty.

CHRISTENSEN:
Technically, the election was run in contravention to Bylaw 100. So, 
there’s a problem with the election for a start. If Council doesn’t act on 
this, I’m going to chat with this student and ask him whether he’s 
going to pursue an appeal via the D.I.E. board. We should ask the 
question from ourselves on what would have happened if we were 
that person.

LARSEN:
For the discussion of Bylaw, I don’t want to consider about the person
or their feelings. The discussion should be based on the fact that it was in contravention to our own election Bylaw. If it goes to a D.I.E. board ruling, the consequences would be much larger.

HOWIE:
Wouldn't it affect the whole Council?

LARSEN:
Yes. We should change the allotment of seats and maybe ask for reasoning. But, the Council should still function.

CHRISTENSEN:
This person was hard done by the system. There’s already an article in preparation for the Gateway. We have the opportunity to follow the Bylaw and fix it. We will use the schedule in Bylaw 100 and use the old proportional system for this year. Then, the seats will be reallocated.

HOWIE:
I’m on board with it as long as it is presented in the right way.

BROPHY:
Talking about the election running in contravention to Bylaw is a scary phrase.

HOWIE:
The Gateway article would have a lot of sway on what this discussion would be like in the following meetings. The Councillor-in-waiting can go and make his own appeal to the D.I.E. Board without any of us getting involved at all. We would be more hard done that way. We can rectify the situation by getting ahead on the ball game and being proactive. It will be the right thing to do to go ahead and fix it regardless of whatever happens afterwards. Could somebody make the argument that none of us should be here?

BROPHY:
They can, but they will be less able to get popular support if we’re proactive and do the right thing. Changing the results now is not ideal, but is the best option right now.
CHRISTENSEN:
This will be going to the Council Administration Committee (CAC) on Tuesday. We need to move on this issue by August 23. That’s when the Chief Returning Officer (C.R.O.) completes the seat allocation for the by-election. So is everybody OK to move on this? The right thing to do is to fix the problem.

*General agreement from committee members*

2016-09/2b  **General Bylaw review allocation - Reminder**

CHRISTENSEN:
If you check the minutes from August 4, all of the assigned Bylaws are there. We’ll take this up on our September 27 meeting.

2016-09/3  **COMMITTEE BUSINESS**

2016-09/3a  **Bill #4 - Two member Candidates and Councillor-Designates - Second Reading**

**SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION**

HOWIE:
I had a brief conversation with Councillor McKinlay. From what she communicated with me, she feels upset with what is presented here. She said she wasn’t consulted enough. Could she make an amendment to it, or is it done?

CHRISTENSEN:
Council has approved these principles, and we have to proceed with the will of Council. Was there something specific she wanted to see?

HOWIE:
She wasn't specific. So, I guess she can submit another Bill if she has any issues. I’m comfortable with moving forward with it.

CHRISTENSEN:
We had 2 discussion items on this, the first reading, and now the second reading.

HOWIE: Would the Councillor have to submit something to Council about the appointment of the Councillor-Designate?
CHRISTENSEN: 
The principles didn’t specifically state it, but we can go ahead with that. I’m fine with transparency associated with that.

LARSEN: 
Before, the proxy had to bring written notice with them. Now, it has gone electronic. It’s OK for proxies. But, for councillor-designates, I would like a written statement put in the minutes.

CHRISTENSEN: 
I’m good with it. We’ll go line-by-line. 
*The committee went line-by-line through the Bylaw 100 regarding the proposed changes.*

LARSEN: 
We have to make sure that councillor-designates can sit on boards without signing confidentiality agreements.

HOWIE: 
In my understanding, a long-term proxy can sit on committees. So, I should say yes.

CHRISTENSEN: 
Very few committees would need to go *in camera*. A written agreement whenever they need to go in-camera should clear it up. 
I don’t understand what point 4 means in Bylaw 100.

LARSEN: 
I understand it as the proxy appointing another proxy.

BROPHY: 
I believe it says that a councillor-designate cannot appoint a proxy for themselves.

CHRISTENSEN: 
I guess we should strike it.

HOWIE: 
If I’m appointed as a councillor-designate for a semester, am I allowed to have a proxy if I can’t make it on a certain day?

CHRISTENSEN: 
According to this Bylaw, you are. It should say a councillor-designate
is not allowed to appoint another councillor-designates though.

HOWIE:
You can’t have a proxy and a councillor-designate serving at the same time.

LARSEN:
You can, if the Councillor-Designate couldn’t make it and appoint a proxy. Also, the Councillor has all the power.

CHRISTENSEN:
As a general note, we should make a note of what we are striking on the Bylaws so that we can track changes.

LARSEN:
What do you think about a councillor-designate having access to confidential documents of the Students’ Union (SU)?

CHRISTENSEN:
Anyone who has access to those would have to sign a document.

CHRISTENSEN:
We will also remove all references to two-member candidates in Bylaw 2300.

HOWIE:
We should expand on the definitions section of Bylaw 100 to include councillor-designates and proxies.

LARSEN:
It would be nice, but not really necessary because they are defined under point 9. We can do this as an editorial change at a later date?

MOTION:
HOWIE/CHRISTENSEN MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #4, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and amend Bylaw 100 and 2300 to abolish two member candidates and implement Councillor-Designates according to these first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).

5/0/0
CARRIED

2016-09/3b Bill #5 - Department Association Regulations - Second Reading
SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION
*The committee went through the proposed changes in wording.*

CHRISTENSEN:
The main thing Vice-president Banister needs feedback on is on using the word “may” instead of “shall”.

LARSEN:
Do all departments have majors and minors?

BROPHY:
In Law, we don’t have majors or minors. There are programs based on similar classes.

CHRISTENSEN:
Same in the Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry.

BROPHY:
This may remove some people who may have an interest in a certain area, but not pursuing that particular major or minor from joining the relevant departmental association.

HOWIE:
It would reduce accessibility. Is there a reason why they want to restrict people? They don’t have a mandatory fee or anything.

LARSEN:
This legislation could lead to that. I think there’s a reason why it was written this way.

HOWIE:
Am I able to self-determine my department association (i.e. self-identification)?

LARSEN:
This automatically defines you in a particular departmental association. It’s a big logistical leap.

HOWIE:
If these department associations are the primary representatives for their students, arbitrary memberships may not work. They would need a defined membership.
CHRISTENSEN:
This basically mandates the base people who are there so they could record their numbers.

LARSEN:
I have two comments on this. First, it would limit persons joining a department association. Second, it automatically enrolls individuals to a department association. That automatically validates their department association. This also doesn't step through Faculty Associations.

BROPHY:
We can make the membership of department associations be defined by faculty associations. They can be open or restrictive as they please.

HOWIE:
If you have taken a class, you should be able to self-identify with that departmental association.

LARSEN:
As per the wording, the department associations could expand or limit their membership in addition to the base membership.

HOWIE:
If that’s the case, we can leave it.

LARSEN: One thing to note is that this may become a standard.

CHRISTENSEN:
In the Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, department associations would not have a base membership as there are no majors or minors. We should say “major, minor, or program of study”.

BROPHY:
“Program of study” wouldn't be applicable for Law.

CHRISTENSEN:
Then, that department association would not have a base membership.

BROPHY:
If it’s the base membership, and they can expand their horizons, then it's OK. We may think about tabling this motion until Vice-president Banister is here.
HOWIE:
I disagree. The Bylaw should be readable without any context.

CHRISTENSEN:
“Department associations may be delegated authority ...”. I think the word “may” is better here.

BROPHY:
Not all department associations may want real authority.

CHRISTENSEN:
I like the word may here as well. The only problem is if the Faculty Association behaves in a malicious way, and keeps all authority.

BROPHY:
I think it’s unlikely that a Faculty Association would do that.

HOWIE: Also, aren’t we supposed to write bylaws in good faith.

LARSEN:
If we pass this, every department will be represented by one, and only one department association. Discover Governance would have to go through and ensure that there are no competing associations.

HOWIE:
As an individual, you can be represented by more than one. So, how does the fee collection thing work?

LARSEN:
There isn’t a way for them to collect fees yet. We will have to change bylaws for that to happen.

CHRISTENSEN:
They would be eligible to have a Student Association Membership Fee.

HOWIE:
If I’m part of multiple associations, will I have to pay several fees?

LARSEN:
They’ll have to go to a plebiscite. That’ll be difficult.

CHRISTENSEN:
The base membership would vote on it.
HOWIE:
I’m OK if you can opt-out.

CHRISTENSEN:
These organizations can collect fees on their own.

HOWIE:
Yes, you may be asked to pay fees to become a member.

LARSEN:
Number 4 places faculty associations responsible for department associations.

CHRISTENSEN: That’s how it should be.

LARSEN:
Do we have caveats on the reporting? I’d like the department associations to report to us regarding budgets etc.

HOWIE:
Wouldn’t that be in the Faculty Association’s Bylaws?

CHRISTENSEN:
I wouldn’t want to over legislate here. Faculty Associations hardly read our bylaws.

**MOTION:**
CHRISTENSEN/LARSEN MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #5, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, according to these first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).

5/0/0
CARRIED

**2016-09/3c**

**Bill #6 - CRO Responsibilities - Second Reading**

**SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION**

*The committee went through the proposed wording changes in the relevant bylaws.*

LARSEN:
I’ll have to check where things were stricken, and I’ll add it back for you guys. I’ll first go through Bylaw 2100.
I have merged the reporting so that a single report can be submitted once the election cycle happens.

CHRISTENSEN:
I think we should have a hard date there.

LARSEN:
The hard date you have picked no longer works. November 30 works.

CHRISTENSEN:
The Chief Returning Officer (C.R.O.) has to get the Fall and Winter headcounts and average them. The Winter headcount won’t be available then. The nomination package for the Executive Election can come up in November, but it cannot for the Council election. We can roll it back from February 15 to February 1.

LARSEN:
I want the nomination package to come out during Fall. Most people will plan for the elections during the Winter break. I want them to be at the same time. We can have it just by the Fall numbers.

HOWIE:
Even if the numbers don’t change a lot, there still could be an effect on the seats.

LARSEN:
It would be solved if we change the date to February 1.

CHRISTENSEN:
I think it may be too late for the Executive Election. It’s usually the first and second week of January.

HOWIE:
We can have the Executive one for December 1, and the Council one for February 1.

LARSEN:
While having them at the same time, a person running for an executive position may get other people interested in running.

HOWIE:
The whole argument for campaigning and advertising comes up only for the Executive Election. It doesn’t really matter for councillors.
CHRISTENSEN:
I think we should have 2 Deputy Returning Officers (D.R.O.s).

HOWIE:
What if they wanted 3?

CHRISTENSEN:
I changed it to “two or more”. I only want to do it if we all agree. The C.R.O. doesn’t have a lot of support, and this third person would really help.

LARSEN:
I agree. As a fact, I know that the C.R.O. office is thinking about getting 2 D.R.O.s this year.

CHRISTENSEN:
Budget wise, the C.R.O. office has ~$56,000 to run elections. It almost never goes over. There’s also an election reserve. This will be a very positive change.

We should explicitly write that the D.R.O. s shall complete the duties whenever the C.R.O. is unavailable or unable to fulfill his/her mandate.

LARSEN:
I made a broad list of duties for the C.R.O.

CHRISTENSEN:
You should also include responsibilities pertaining to faculty associations as prescribed in Bylaw 8100.

HOWIE: How is point (i) different from point (g)?

BROPHY:
That’s about posting packages, not running plebiscites.

LARSEN: It’s a general reminder.

LARSEN:
Instead of Council making a motion for our elections staff to do something, CAC would make a motion.

CHRISTENSEN:
I would like to add recommended bylaw changes regarding elections to the report that the C.R.O. provides.
LARSEN:
The section about advertising is new. The old strategy was to communicate with the student newspaper which was defined as the Gateway.

HOWIE:
Since we have two separate things now, everything should become plural such as nomination packages, deadlines, etc. We have picked a date for the release of the nominations package. Would they have the student numbers 30 days prior?

LARSEN:
It’s about the communications strategy.

BROPHY:
What if the Gateway ceases to exist in the future, or changes its name?

LARSEN:
Throughout bylaw, it’s referred to as the student newspaper, which refers to the Gateway. If it does happen, the committee at the time will have to change it. But, I’m happy with the way it is as it’s the standard. It keeps the money in-house.

BROPHY:
I agree. But, calling it the Gateway in legislation may not be the most appropriate.

CHRISTENSEN:
The C.R.O. telling Council what to do is highly unorthodox.

LARSEN:
It’s about recommendations, but we can get rid of it.

HOWIE:
It should not be legislated by bylaw.

HOWIE:
Advertising in my opinion is inherently paid for. This is in contrast to communications.

LARSEN:
This is only an advertising strategy, and not an election strategy.

CHRISTENSEN:
What does the number of remaining seats mean?

HOWIE:
It should be available seats.

BROPHY:
Why is it available seats and vacant positions?

LARSEN:
We could have vacant executive positions.

CHRISTENSEN:
The fall by-election doesn’t always happen. If there are no vacant seats, there’s no by-election.

HOWIE:
We can specify it as the Fall by-election in the wording.

LARSEN:
This wording gives us flexibility to request information.

HOWIE:
Do they have to communicate with all the faculty associations, or the ones with vacant positions?

LARSEN:
It should be to the relevant ones.

CHRISTENSEN:
What is a single posting?

LARSEN:
It’s an announcement. I want it to be a written posting. I don’t want them to decline speaking between classes. They may say that they don’t want people speaking. There’s a difference between the two.

This tells University staff that people will most likely come to classes in the 10 minute period between lectures. However, if they are strongly against candidates coming, they can inform the elections staff, and the candidates would be made aware of it. If candidates do come, make a fool of themselves, and get reported, the C.R.O. would deal accordingly.
HOWIE:
So, as a candidate, I don't have to let the teacher know beforehand.

BROPHY:
It's only during the 10 minutes period.

LARSEN:
If you were a good candidate, you'd ask regardless.

HOWIE:
The only way I'd be punished would be if that faculty member is on our list of those who don't like candidates coming and speaking.

LARSEN:
You're not going to be punished at all by this unless you are malicious, or you go into scheduled class time. If you go during the 10 minute period and start screaming at people, you can do that. This is how it used to be. Later, we changed it so that candidates have to contact the instructors. That made people angrier. It's usually better to ask for permission on the spot than doing it with emails.

BROPHY:
Professors used to get pissed due to receiving a deluge of emails.

HOWIE:
Why would you tell the professors if you were talking at a time without classes like the lunch hour? It's not a formal setting. It's not needed.

BROPHY:
Nobody would care if you talked about your candidacy in an empty classroom.

CHRISTENSEN:
I don't like the word “punish” here.

SCOTT: You can say reprimand.

LARSEN:
We'll go through amendments in Bylaws 2200 and 2300 now. The C.R.O. has been responsible in the past for all content in campaign materials. It was an overbearing thing. We only want them to be responsible for the cost and the number of materials.
HOWIE:
Why is it an estimate of the cost? If you see the posters, you can know the actual cost.

LARSEN:
You send the estimated cost in an email, and then you have to actually show your content to the C.R.O. for an added level of accountability. You can also say that all campaign materials should have the stamp of the C.R.O. office.

HOWIE:
Online materials wouldn’t fit into that.

LARSEN:
Online materials would count as a check. All materials need to have that.

HOWIE:
I’m OK with that.

LARSEN:
I struck 33-1-a of Bylaw 2200.

CHRISTENSEN:
What does a nominal value mean?

LARSEN:
For example, if you get something for free and redistribute it, it has more than a value of 0.

CHRISTENSEN:
A poster would have a nominal value to somebody else.

LARSEN:
I don’t know why that’s in there.

BROPHY:
We should change it. The way it is written is stupid.

LARSEN:
I don’t know why they have only these things listed as forbidden. There is the Universal Resource Legislation that lists things that not all candidates have access to. We can note that as a responsibility of the C.R.O. However, it’s already in bylaw somewhere.
CHRISTENSEN: This is something forbidding the C.R.O. from doing. It doesn't forbid the candidate from doing it. It's worded weirdly. We should still have the second point of 33.

LARSEN: Is distributing the nomination packages for the Executive and Council elections at the same time not possible?

CHRISTENSEN: It's not possible.

BROPHY: Why do you need the number of seats? There's at least 1.

HOWIE: As a person, I'd rather know.

CHRISTENSEN: I'm not in favor of this. I'd prefer if the seat numbers are known.

HOWIE: I see why having them at the same time is preferable, but it's not necessary.

BROPHY: While it's better to have them earlier, and it's not necessary to know the number of seats in contention, this does not have to be part of C.R.O. responsibilities. We can do this in another bill.

CHRISTENSEN: I'm in favor of pushing the Executive one earlier, but we should leave the Council one as it is.

LARSEN: It is the same thing for Bylaw 2300. We just have to change the dates.

HOWIE: Does Bylaw 2300 only apply to Council, and not the by-elections?

LARSEN: By-elections are determined *ad hoc*.

CHRISTENSEN:
I would still like to see a caveat under the duties of the D.R.O. to say that they should accomplish the C.R.O.’s duties whenever the C.R.O. is unable or unavailable.

**MOTION:**
LARSEN/CHRISTENSEN MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #6, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, to clarify and outline C.R.O. Responsibilities according to these first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).

5/0/0
CARRIED

**2016-09/3d  Bill #7 - Students’ Council Seat Distribution - Second Reading**

**SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION**
*The committee went through the proposed wording changes in Bylaw 100 and Bylaw 100 Schedule.*

SCOTT:
Shouldn't we say that the allocated seats are based on student enrollment in the Fall and Winter semesters?

CHRISTENSEN:
That’s a good point. But, it has already been defined.

HOWIE:
According to the wording, the C.R.O. can re-determine the allocation of seats before each election. But, it shouldn’t be the case.

CHRISTENSEN:
We can add a subsection saying that this can only be used for the addition of a councillor, and not the removal.

BROPHY:
It only says that the C.R.O. re-determines the allocation. It can’t change within the year.

HOWIE:
It can. What do they do if there’s a drastic drop?

BROPHY:
Then, they keep those seats for the year.
HOWIE: That’s not what this says. Why should they reallocate it if they aren’t implementing it till the next year?

LARSEN: You either do it once or do it every time.

HOWIE: We should only do it once.

LARSEN: I don’t mind them reviewing as long as they’re not re-allocating.

HOWIE: They don’t report to us every time. So, what’s the point?

BROPHY: We should remove this point.

CHRISTENSEN: We had a discussion when determining the brackets for Council seats. Vice-president Banister came up with the number 1000 for which you get an additional seat. But, where does the change happen? Do you get an additional seat when you have 1001 students? So we came up with a rounding system. As soon as you get to 1500, it rounds up to 2000, while 1499 rounds down to 1000. The only occasion where we deviate from this rounding method is when the faculties have between 1 and 499 students. We round those up to 1000 as well in order to ensure that every faculty has a seat.

MOTION: CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #7, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and amend Bylaw 100 and Bylaw 100 Schedule based on the following first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).

5/0/0 CARRIED

**SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION**
The committee went through the proposed wording changes in Bylaw 100 and Bylaw 2300.

LARSEN:
You should include “Attendance” under definitions.

CHRISTENSEN:
The removal of councillors based on inadequate attendance is only applicable after Spring/Summer, and Fall semesters. There is no reason to have it for the Winter semester.

LARSEN:
You can remove them as long as they don’t meet 50% of the attendance requirement for the Winter semester.

SCOTT:
Even if you don’t attend 1 meeting out of the first 2, you will be down to 50%.
CHRISTENSEN:
Yes. That’s why it’s calculated at the end. After Spring/Summer, we can use the by-elections, and after Fall, we can use the vacancy petitions to fill seats. I don’t want to be disenfranchising these faculties any more than they have.

LARSEN:
Why do we include the word “personal” for circumstances involving medical, emotional, or family emergencies? It may not have to be personal to you.

CHRISTENSEN:
Even if something happens to a family member, it’s still very personal.

HOWIE: I believe the word personal is redundant but good.

CHRISTENSEN:
I think that word has to be used because it’s commonly used for such situations.

LARSEN:
I would put unscheduled to refer to academic program requirements which conflict with the meetings.

SCOTT:
What’s an unscheduled academic requirement?
CHRISTENSEN:
Everything is scheduled. I want to refer to professional programs because I don’t want people to use their night classes as an excuse.

SCOTT:
I don’t get a choice as to when these things happen.

HOWIE:
If there’s a class that I have to take to fulfill my degree, and if it’s only available as a night class, what should I do?

LARSEN:
Then, you can get a councillor-designate.

HOWIE:
Well, if I don’t, I may still be removed. However, I can appeal and get my position back. I would be able to show that I had to take this class as an academic requirement.
I don’t like including the word “professional programs” because I don’t like the division. It’s not fair.

CHRISTENSEN:
I would prefer having it as an academic program requirement. Somebody should not be able to say that he/she took a particular night class because it was his/her favourite.

HOWIE: It’ll be up to D.I.E. board.

LARSEN: If you type it out, the D.I.E. board will take it literally.

HOWIE: Something may not be mandatory for your program. Anyway, as it’s written down, night classes wouldn’t count as it’s not shift work.

LARSEN:
I don’t like the word shift work there.

CHRISTIANSEN:
No non-professional program has overnight classes.

LARSEN:
There are many programs where you have to go somewhere overnight.
SCOTT:
I was opposed because there are so many confounding factors for our faculty.

CHRISTENSEN:
Even if you were doing the rotation, what are the odds that you are going to do it at the same time throughout the semester?

HOWIE:
Bylaws have to be universal for all faculties. We can’t make it shift work. While I understand your point, we should not make the decision between a professional student and a non-professional student.

SCOTT:
I believe it has to be there so that it’s not just up to the D.I.E. board to decide what’s reasonable or not.

LARSEN:
It’s a little bit different to what D.I.E. board would usually do. Usually, they don’t get to deem what’s reasonable after they’re called. For this person’s sake, it would give him/her two layers of stuff.

CHRISTENSEN:
There would also be a public shaming involved. It’s also important to ensure that the D.I.E. board has discretion.

HOWIE:
So, the only thing they’d decide is whether this is mandatory or not.

LARSEN:
Does the Speaker have to go to the D.I.E. board hearing?

CHRISTENSEN:
Yes, the Speaker would go on the Council’s side because it would be a hearing between the Council and the Councillor.

LARSEN:
Shouldn’t it say that the Speaker goes on behalf of Council?

CHRISTENSEN: No, it’s already implied.

LARSEN:
How quickly can a councillor be replaced? If we remove a councillor and refill it immediately, what would happen if the original councillor is reinstated?

SCOTT:
I like to have it where councillors are suspended pending D.I.E. board ruling.

BROPHY: I plan to have a separate bill about that in the future.

HOWIE:
I would have a clause instructing the Council to wait 3 days before refilling the seat. You have to submit an appeal within 3 days.

CHRISTENSEN:
Council should wait till the appeals process is exhausted. People can appeal the decision of the D.I.E. board to a panel of second instance. It could be up to 2 weeks. We’ll include both the 3 day appeals period and the appeals process in the wording. We also need to change the wording to include Bylaw 2300 into the nomination Package.

**MOTION:**
CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #8, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and amend Bylaw 100 and 2300 based on the following first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).

5/0/0
CARRIED

2016-09/4 **INFORMATION ITEMS**

2016-09/4a **Fall Meeting Schedule**
Members will review their Fall schedules before the August 25 Bylaw Committee meeting and provide the Chair with availability. Tentatively, the committee will meet once each month in the Fall according to the following schedule:

Tuesday, September 27 @ 6:00 PM
Tuesday, October 25 @ 6:00 PM
Tuesday, November 29 @ 6:00 PM

CHRISTENSEN: We’ll probably meet twice in January and twice in
February. It all depends on what people want to bring forward.

BROPHY: We can have extra meetings if needed.

2016-09/5  **ADJOURNMENT**

2016-09/5a  **Next Meeting:** Thursday, August 25, 2016 @ 6:00 PM in SUB 6-06

2016-09/5b  **BROPHY/SCOTT MOVE** to adjourn at 9:55 PM.

5/0/0
CARRIED

Meeting adjourned at 21:55 (9:55 PM).

**SUMMARY OF MOTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOTION</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LARSEN/HOWIE MOVE</strong> to approve the agenda.</td>
<td>5/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOWIE/BROPHY MOVE</strong> to approve the minutes from August 4, 2016.</td>
<td>3/0/2 – CARRIED (LARSEN and SCOTT abstained)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOWIE/CHRISTENSEN MOVE</strong> to approve the second reading of Bill #4, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and amend Bylaw 100 and 2300 to abolish two member candidates and implement Councillor-Designates according to these first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).</td>
<td>5/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHRISTENSEN/LARSEN MOVE</strong> to approve the second reading of Bill #5, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, according to these first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).</td>
<td>5/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LARSEN/CHRISTENSEN MOVE</strong> to approve the second reading of Bill #6, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, to clarify and outline C.R.O. Responsibilities according to the these first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).</td>
<td>5/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE</strong> to approve the second reading of Bill #7, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and amend Bylaw 100 and Bylaw 100 Schedule</td>
<td>5/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the following first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #8, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and amend Bylaw 100 and 2300 based on the following first principles (See specific changes on Google Drive).</th>
<th>5/0/0 – CARRIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BROPHY/SCOTT MOVE to adjourn at 9:55 PM.</td>
<td>5/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>