1. CALL TO ORDER:
The meeting was called to order by WOODS at 5:05 pm.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
WOODS amended agenda to include second reading impeachment in discussion period and to take out impeachment petition from New Business.
SUMAR moved that the October 4, 2011 agenda be approved as amended.
Seconded by GOULD.
Vote on Motion 4/0/0 CARRIED.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Goulds “took meetings at the minutes before”.
KARUVELIL moved to approve the September 7, 2011 minutes as tabled.
Seconded by GOULD.
Vote on Motion 3/0/2 CHEEMA and SUMAR abstain CARRIED.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS
Meeting schedule is going to be every off-council Tuesdays @ 5:00pm.

5. REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS
6. Old Business

7. New Business

8. Discussion and Information Items

**Second Reading Impeachment**

WOODS Robert’s Rule of Order didn’t really add anything to 8. CHEEMA has everyone had a chance to read through the text? WOODS let’s read and I’ll scroll. CHEEMA so were essentially determining if it captures the same ideas as the first reading only differently formatted? WOODS yes so we won’t motion on this today. #10 should be changed to “responded”.

Grammatical errors are corrected. Formatting is changed for consistency. CHEEMA “passage” means died, I have a dictionary.

**Replenishment Bylaw**

WOODS now currently in Bylaw 100 the only mention of BoG is here (reads section). This is fresh so we need to start from the beginning. So the first thing that comes to my mind, would we be able to hold a by-election fast enough if impeachment of a president, vice-president or BoG rep happens? CHEEMA in recent memory, what happened was the CRO prepared a report on the feasibility of doing an election. Then it was left off to the council. Just a bit of historical knowledge.

WOODS what did the CRO have to say? CHEEMA there’s a cost component which weighed against it and the time it takes to make an election, so you get a situation where you get election saturation and voter confusion. There was a committee struck, I don’t remember but what they did was provide a short list to council.

SUMAR I think the first thing we should do would be to ask the CRO of what he/she would do.

GOULD and go with what the committee recommends. WOODS so should I seek out the CRO? CHEEMA it would probably be a good idea because a CRO is the expertise in elections. WOODS so obviously this is going to be a two part process. KARUVELIL What I see happening is a VP taking over, if a VP has to get replaced it won’t be a two-step process right? WOODS right. I agree we have to look at it from an unbiased perspective. I guess the big concern is the domino effect starting from by-elections. CHEEMA I think I would like to see all possible scenarios, without constraining council. There are three types of positions that are susceptible. ESLINGER the worst chain is vacant positions. WOODS how about a VP can’t replace a VP?
KARUVELIL what if we say you can’t unless council approves of it?
WOODS how can you have an election if there are 2 or 3 persons are to be replaced? With a possible chance that they won’t be? Obviously we want to be democratic. I will email the CRO to see if he will come to the next meeting.

9. REPORTS

10. CLOSED SESSION NIL

11. NEXT MEETING October 18, 2011 @ 5:00pm

12. ADJOURNMENT KARUVELIL moved that the meeting be adjourned.
The motion was seconded by SUMAR.

Vote on Motion 4/0/1 CHEEMA abstains CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 5:49 pm.