Bylaw Committee MINUTES 2011-2012 #4

Date: June 22, 2011 Time: 6:02 PM

In Attendance: Woods, Sumar, Gould, Iskander, Karuvelil

Excused Absence: Johnson, Eslinger

Others in Attendance:

1. CALL TO ORDER:
The meeting was called to order by WOODS at 6:02 pm.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
WOODS moved to amended agenda to include Bylaw Committee goals
Seconded by Iskander
Vote on Motion 5/0/2
CARRIED

Sumar moved that the June 22, 2011 agenda be approved as amended.
Seconded by Iskander
Vote on Motion 5/0/2
CARRIED.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
WOODS motioned that the minutes be amended to strike two sentences that were misheard.
Seconded by Iskander.
Vote on Motion 5/0/2
CARRIED.

Sumar moved that the June 1, 2011 minutes be approved as amended.
The motion was seconded by Gould.
Vote on Motion 5/0/2
CARRIED.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS
This meeting will be about going over Impeachment Bylaw according to what has been heard from DIE Board and the lawyers. The document from
the lawyers given to the committee will help immensely with Impeachment Bylaws.

5. REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS

6. OLD BUSINESS

7. NEW BUSINESS Bylaw committee goals need to be discussed.

WOODS moves that the bylaw committee makes our committee goals that:

1. We have the first reading of the impeachment bylaw by the end of summer.
2. We work diligently on anything council assigns us.
3. We fix any errors in Bylaw to the best of our ability.

Seconded by ISKANDER

Vote on Motion 5/0/2
CARRIED

8. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS

DIE Board Protocol Discussed to establish how the Impeachment bylaws will be integrated with it.

Appeal section of the DIE Board Mandate is the only area that seems to work with the Impeachment Process the Bylaw Committee is proposing.

Issues will arise where the individual has broken Federal or Provincial Laws.

Everybody present is in favour of changing the phrase, “Automatic referral to the DIE Board” to, “Everybody being impeached has the right to an appeal.”

Discussion of the Benefits of having an Appeal process.

Discussion of when the person can be considered Impeached.

Use of the words Insolence and Insubordination Discussed.

Addition of Fiduciary Duties Discussed

What would happen if DIE Board reversed the ruling after first reading discussed.

Pros and Cons of in camera sessions discussed.
Discussed two points of Section 4 in the lawyer’s document.

Discussed what happens if the person appeals a provincial or federal law breach.

Discussed the transparency of going in camera for impeachment.

WOODS will take the Impeachment document and make the changes discussed today for the next meeting. He will send out before the next meeting.

9. REPORTS

10. CLOSED SESSION

11. NEXT MEETING

   June 29, 2011 6:00PM

12. ADJOURNMENT

   Sumar moved that the meeting be adjourned.
   The motion was seconded by Gould.
   Vote on Motion 5/0/2
   CARRIED.
   Meeting adjourned at 6:47 PM.