Tuesday, October 25, 2016
6:00 PM
SUB 6-06

ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROXY</th>
<th>PRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Christensen (Chair)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bismillah Kiani</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Prochnau</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delane Howie</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilish McKinlay</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed Larsen</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Paches</td>
<td>Fahim Rahman</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MINUTES (BC 2016-12)

2016-12/1 INTRODUCTION

2016-12/1a Call to Order

Meeting called to order at 18:06 (6:06 PM) by CHRISTENSEN.

2016-12/1b Approval of Agenda

HOWIE/LARSEN MOVE to approve the agenda.

6/0/0
CARRIED

2016-12/1c Approval of Minutes

RAHMAN/MCKINLAY MOVE to approve the minutes.

6/0/0
CARRIED

2016-12/1d  Chair’s Business

Attendance was taken. Proxies in attendance were noted above. VP Banister was also in attendance for ½ the meeting.

2016-12/2  QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD

2016-12/2a  SU Council Referenda and Plebiscites - Discussion

CHRISTENSEN:
We are going to start the D.F.U. (Dedicated Fee Unit) questions today. Vice-president Paches and I have been in correspondence about the UPASS referendum. Hopefully, we’ll have it by the next meeting. If you know of others that are planning referenda, put them in touch with me so that we can plan the timeline out. There’s a deadline in January.

HOWIE:
So, would there be 5 referendum questions on the ballot? It seems like a lot to me.

RAHAMAN:
It’s a question for the C.R.O. (Chief Returning Officer).

BANISTER:
It depends on whether it’s a D.F.U. for all the students like the Gateway or something like a F.A.M.F. (Faculty Association Mandatory Fee) which is faculty specific.

HOWIE:
So, will these questions here definitely be on the ballot?

CHRISTENSEN:
In my understanding, these are the only ones.

BANISTER:
There are some F.A.M.F. s up for renewal, and I was under the impression that the Bylaw Committee reviews those questions too.

CHRISTENSEN:
Do they give a presentation to Council first?

BANISTER:
Yes. As the first step, they have to send me a letter saying they want to renew it. I have already gotten one letter from Augustana. Two more are on the way; from Nursing and Science.

HOWIE: There will be a lot of questions on this ballot!

CHRISTENSEN:
Those will be faculty specific. I’m excited about the Upass referendum which will be discussed next week. I like to do things proactively.

BANISTER:
Getting the F.A.M.F. questions on time depends on how organized the F.A. (Faculty Association) is.

2016-12/3

COMMITTEE BUSINESS

2016-12/3a Editorial #14 - Bylaw 8200

MCKINLAY:
There’s nothing much to say. I made my changes in the comments.

CHRISTENSEN:
Most of the stuff seems OK, nothing dramatic. I’m not sure whether “dispersed” or “disbursed” is the most appropriate word.

MOTION:
McKINLAY/HOWIE MOVES to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 8200 as listed on Google Drive.

6/0/0
CARRIED

2016-12/3b DFU Plebiscite Question #1 - APIRG

ORIGINALLY PROPOSED QUESTION:
The Alberta Public Interest Research Group (APIRG) seeks to foster positive social change and empower students with the tools to become active, engaged citizens. Annually, APIRG distributes $30,000 in direct granting to student and community-led social initiatives.
Do you support a fee of $3.50 per term per full-time student and $1.75 per part-time student to fund APIRG?

The conditions of the funds will be such as:
1. Students shall have the option to opt out via the SU website at the beginning of each term and receive a full refund of their fee.
2. The fee will be assessed only in the Fall and Winter Terms.
3. APIRG continues to function as a student-run non-profit organization in accordance with its mandate of “research, education, advocacy, and action in the public interest” as per Schedule 6000 of the Students’ Union Bylaws.

CHRISTENSEN:
The goal here is to make sure these are not worded in a biased manner.

HOWIE:
Is there currently a D.F.U. for APIRG?

BANISTER:
Yes.

HOWIE:
What’s the current amount?

CHRISTENSEN:
I don’t believe it’s increasing.

MCKINLAY:
If they are going to increase the fee, they have to do a referendum, not a plebiscite.

RAHMAN:
It’ll be good to have a link to the APIRG website.

BANISTER:
In the University calendar, there are links and hyperlinks.

MCKINLAY:
It’s fair that students should know what they are voting for.

HOWIE:
If I understand correctly, this question along with any link would appear in the ballot right? So, students will have to go to another page while they are filling out the ballot to learn about the organization. While it’s awesome to have more information, the ballot may not be the best place.
LARSEN:
It's also APIRG's responsibility to ensure that students know about their services, and are comfortable. So, it's not up to us to advertise for them.

CHRISTENSEN:
Their mandate as written in the question seems non-specific.

HOWIE:
It's what they do.

MCKINLAY:
I'm curious to know what they actually do. Every Alberta University has an APIRG.

PACHES:
That's one of their biggest challenges. Actually, every Canadian University has a _PIRG where the first letter would be the respective province's initial letter.

BANISTER:
They have a board in which we sit on, and 2 staff people. They give grants to people for things that would otherwise be looked over by other granting bodies. Their focus areas are very intersectional, and they aim to fund more obscure areas which may not get included elsewhere. They are also considered a resource for students.

LARSEN:
What's in the proposed plebiscite question is not the actual wording of their mandate. They have used different language.

*Reads the mandate.*

PACHES:
The actual wording seems too much. Also, while the fee itself is approved by plebiscite, the mandate is Council's responsibility.

BANISTER:
It's the responsibility of both the Council and the board.

PACHES:
Yes, that's why the mandate isn't written in its entirety.

PROCHNAU:
If I didn’t know their mandate, I wouldn’t get it from their first sentence.

CHRISTENSEN:
The question doesn’t follow the bylaw properly either. It has the purpose and the amount per student. It doesn’t show the composition of the committee or board overseeing the administration of the fee. Furthermore, it doesn’t include the provision for the appointment of minimum one Students’ Council member by Students’ Council to the board or committee overseeing administration of the fee, as a voting member. It has never been done before, but that’s how it’s worded.

Reads Bylaw 6100 Section 3.5.

RAHMAN:
I think we should be looking at Section 4.

CHRISTENSEN:
Yes, but Section 4-2 says: “The plebiscite question shall be drafted in the same manner as the original petition question, as outlined in Section 3.5 of this bylaw”. We can make it into the format we want, and send it back so they can fill the necessary voids.

PACHES:
The purpose of this coming to the Bylaw Committee early is to make sure we get all the proper information, and make sure everything’s right.

LARSEN:
We need to know how the fee will be administered to part time, Augustana, and off campus students.

PROCHNAU:
Augustana students are exempt. Off-campus students are not exempt. The question should reflect these according to Bylaw 6100.

BANISTER:
Shouldn’t we say who an off-campus student is?

FAHIM:
It’s basically a student on a work term who is registered.

PROCHNAU:
Reads the definition of an off-campus student at the University of Alberta from the University Calendar.

CHRISTENSEN:
We can say “off-campus students as defined by the University Calendar”.

BANISTER:
We can also give a hyperlink to that.

LARSEN:
You should talk to the C.R.O. about it.

MCKINLAY:
Putting a hyperlink may distract students from filling out the ballot.

LARSEN:
We don’t get to decide about hyperlinks in the Bylaw Committee. We are only assuming it’s possible.

CHRISTENSEN:
We can approve this, and add additional things later if necessary.

HOWIE:
Is this the last time we look at this?

CHRISTENSEN:
It has to be approved by Council too.

HOWIE:
So, after we send it to them, they’ll send it back with the amendments. Within this time, we can ask the C. R. O. about the hyperlinks.

CHRISTENSEN:
We have to send it to Council by December 1st. Does it say what happens during Spring/Summer? They already say that students can opt-out.

HOWIE:
Yes, it says it only applies for Fall/Winter.

CHRISTENSEN:
So, they have to include the provision for the appointment of minimum one Students’ Council member by Students’ Council to the
board or committee overseeing administration of the fee, as a voting member and the composition of the committee or board overseeing administration of the fee. Part (g) of Bylaw 6100 3.5 is not necessary.

RAHMAN:
The Council member is already on the board. So, the provision is unnecessary.

PACHES:
It says the Bylaw Committee will approve within 14 days of receiving the petition question. Isn't this about the petition of creating a D. F. U.?

CHRISTENSEN:
But, it says again that the plebiscite question will be drafted in the same manner as the original petition question.

PACHES:
A petition question does require all this information, but a plebiscite question does not. I haven't seen these points in a plebiscite question before.

LARSEN:
But, we do have to go back and include those things as per what's written in the bylaw. It's unfortunate. We can make a motion to strike it and take to Council.

CHRISTENSEN:
How many members are in their board anyway?

BANISTER:
I think it's 8.

CHRISTENSEN:
Why don't we tentatively approve this? If we approve it during our November 29 meeting, the following Council meeting would be after December 1. As such, we will miss our deadline.

HOWIE:
Why can't we move the meeting up?

PACHES:
If it takes an extra meeting to meet a deadline, it's fine to have one.
**EDITED QUESTION:**
The Alberta Public Interest Research Group (APIRG) seeks to foster positive social change and empower students with the tools to become active, engaged citizens. Annually, APIRG distributes $30,000 in direct granting to student and community-led social initiatives.

The conditions of the funds will include:
1. Students shall have the option to opt out via the SU website at the beginning of each term and receive a full refund of their fee;
2. The fee will be assessed only in the Fall and Winter Terms;
3. APIRG continues to function as a student-run non-profit organization in accordance with its mandate of “research, education, advocacy, and action in the public interest” as per Schedule 6000 of the Students' Union Bylaws.
4. Composition of board: [please include the general titles of those who sit on the board, e.g. 8 elected members and 1 Students' Councillor]
5. Augustana Students are exempt.
6. Off-campus students, as defined by the University Calendar, are included.

Do you support a fee of $3.50 per term, for each of the Fall and Winter semesters, for full-time students and $1.75 per part-time students to fund APIRG?

**MOTION:**
CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE to send the edited question above to the APIRG Board for clarification and addition of pertinent missing details.

6/0/0
CARRIED

**ORIGINALLY PROPOSED QUESTION:**
The Student Refugee Program has been helping bring refugee students to the University of Alberta for over 25 years. It has aided these peoples fleeing from fear of their countries to have access to this life changing program. Through the power of education, we are able to change the world. A levy of 0.48 cents per student per year supports the Student Refugee Program to sponsor one student every year at the University of Alberta. Do you support the Student Refugee Program 0.48 cent per student per year levy in the Students' Union Fees for the
purpose of sponsoring one refugee student per year through the World University Services of Canada (WUSC)?

HOWIE:
Can we opt-out of this?

CHRISTENSEN:
That's a good question we don't have the answer in the proposed plebiscite question.

LARSEN: I don't think they have listed any of the points required as per bylaw.

CHRISTENSEN:
Let's create the template and send it back.

PROCHNAU:
Can we change it from 0.48 cents to $0.48?

CHRISTENSEN:
Yes. Good point.

MCKINLAY:
Do we edit the question for them?

PACHES:
My recommendation would be to edit it for them based on personal experience.

HOWIE:
So what does WUSC do? Do they co-ordinate/run/fund the student refugee program?

RAHMAN:
I guess administer would be a good word.

LARSEN:
It should be the student refugee sponsorship program.

MCKINLAY: Each chapter of WUSC might collect $0.48 and it may be going to a parent organization.

LARSEN:
That's not how they do it here.
PACHES:
We collect WUSC’s fee on their behalf, and then hold it in a reserve account on their behalf. We then write down a check so that the money goes to a student.

HOWIE:
So, it’s not administered by WUSC.

PACHES:
The selection of the student and the caretaking is done by WUSC. We administer the fund.

PROCHNAU:
I don’t think we should be filling in the blanks for them. If they want the money, it’s their job to fill in the necessary details.

PACHES:
I don’t think it will work too well.

HOWIE:
What’s the harm of helping them out here?

LARSEN:
We’re guessing on wording right now which they can provide.

CHRISTENSEN:
As we’re here, let’s fill out as much as we can, and send it back. They even don’t say that it’s $0.48 per semester. They wrongly say that it’s $0.48 per year.

HOWIE:
In their wording, they mention people fleeing in fear of their countries. It should be people fleeing what’s happening in their countries. It may even be a natural disaster.

BANISTER:
What’s the definition of a refugee anyway?

HOWIE:
The one in Wikipedia is good. But, I don’t think putting the definition of a refugee is needed.
Why is it called WUSC in the first place? If it was called Student Refugee Fund, everything would be much clearer to students.

LARSEN:
Why does WUSC have to do this? Why can’t we directly fund a student?

PACHES:
It’s a big process to get the refugee student out of their situation, and into Canada. I've had conversations with Discover Governance on whether the question should be before or after the explanation paragraph.

PROCHNAU:
Bylaw says that they are the “Student Refugee Program”.

CHRISTENSEN:
Interestingly, if WUSC didn’t send us the question by the deadline, we would have had to write it ourselves anyway.

So, students cannot opt-out, the fee is assessed for Augustana and part-time students, and the fee only applies for the Fall and Winter semesters. The purpose and the fee per semester are included. We need the composition of the board.

EDITED QUESTION:
The World University Services of Canada (WUSC) through the Student Refugee Program has been helping bring refugee students to the University of Alberta for over 25 years. It helps these people access this life changing program. Through the power of education, we are able to change the world.

A fee of $0.48 per student assessed in the Fall and Winter semesters, supports the Student Refugee Fund which sponsors one refugee student every year at the University of Alberta.

The conditions for the fund shall include:
1. Students cannot opt out of this fee.
2. This fee shall be assessed to both Augustana and off-campus students, as defined by the University Calendar.
3. The board shall be composed of: [please include the general titles of those who sit on the board, e.g. 8 elected members and 1 Students’ Councillor]
4. At least one member of Students’ Council shall also sit on this board.

Do you support a $0.48 fee, for full-time and part-time students, in each of the Fall and Winter semesters for the Student Refugee Fund?

**MOTION:**

**PROCHNAU/LARSEN MOVE** to send the edited question above to the WUSC Board for clarification and addition of pertinent missing details.

6/0/0
CARRIED

2016-12/3d  DFU Referenda Question #3 - Campus Food Bank

**ORIGINALLY PROPOSED QUESTION:**

Do you support a $1.00 fee per student per semester to fund The Campus Food Bank (CFB)? The CFB is a charity dedicated to eliminating hunger on campus. It is open to all undergraduate students, graduate students, staff, recent alumni and their families/dependents.

If the referendum passes, the fee will replace the current funding provided by the Students’ Union to the CFB. The CFB believes that university is a place where one should hunger for knowledge, not food; providing food hamper packages based on the Canada Food Guide to over 2000 individuals on an annual basis.

The fee will be collected from full-time and part-time undergraduate students in the Fall and Winter semester; Augustana students will be exempt. Students will have the option to opt out online from the fee.

Funding areas:

- Operating costs (staff wages and supplies)
- Programming, events, outreach and awareness
- Fundraising events and food drives
- Volunteer program

Funding areas are determined and prioritized by the CFB’s staff and board. The board will consist of 7 to 12 members from the following categories:
• One Students’ Union Executive member or delegate appointed from Students’ Union Council
• One Graduate Students’ Association representative
• One volunteer representative (selected by the volunteer membership)
• 4 - 9 community members

PACHES:
This is a brand new D.F.U., and this is the question for the ballot. They will be making a motion to Council to be exempt from the petition. They want to get the question through, go to Council with it, and as for it to be petition exempt. They will have different reasons; the main one being CFB not having enough resources to go out and get a petition done. Their volunteers are swamped making packages for everyone.

CHRISTENSEN:
As long as they do a presentation, it’s fine. Can they make a presentation at next Council meeting so that we can vote on that?

LARSEN:
Council Standing Orders may be changed at the next meeting to allow for motions on presentations during the same meeting. The alternative is to make motions on presentations have a 2/3 majority. Anyway, it’s still to be announced.

CHRISTENSEN:
It’d be nice if they can give a presentation 2 weeks prior.

PACHES:
Section 10 of Bylaw 6100 is more pertinent in this scenario. The question has to be drafted by the Bylaw Committee, it should satisfy conditions listed under Section 3.5, and the Students’ Union should cease to provide services. Right now, CFB is a service provided by the Students’ Union.

LARSEN: How much funding do they currently receive from the Students’ Union?

PACHES:
Around $40,000. If the D. F. U is passed, their funding would solely be via the D.F.U. It would essentially equal out.

HOWIE:
So, what’s the purpose of this?

PACHES:
The main reason to move out is to have a more defined governance structure. In going to a D.F.U., there is a mandated structure on what the board should look like, established reporting procedures, and measures of transparency. The organization wants to have those rigorous measures so that there’s more consistency over the long run. So, this change is from a governance standpoint as opposed to a financial standpoint.

CHRISTENSEN:
They’ll be making more this way. It’s $1 per student per semester. They’re going to have around twice as much money.

PACHES:
Yes, they’ll go from $40,000 to around $60,000. They have serious capacity issues. This way, they can get more staff and train more volunteers.

CHRISTENSEN:
I feel it’s very reasonable.

HOWIE:
Is the opt-out on the Students’ Union website?

CHRISTENSEN:
It’s on the Students’ Union website. Health & Dental is done on the ihaveaplan website. Everything else is on the Students’ Union website.

HOWIE:
Who is a community member?

PACHES:
They focus on the University community. It’s a bit unclear though.

PROCHNAU:
Don’t they help international students?

MCKINLAY:
They do help them. It’s just that they mention that there are other options available for international students.

CHRISTENSEN:
So, they have the purpose of the fee, the amount per student per term, Composition of the board, provision to appoint a Students' Council member to the board. Moreover, the fee is collected in the Fall and Winter semesters, applicable to full-time and part-time time students while Augustana students are exempt. Does it say how the fee will be applied to off-campus students? If not, we should add it.

MCKINLAY:  
You can be an off-campus student and still access the services.

CHRISTENSEN:  
Does the Graduate Students' Association provide any money?

RAHMAN:  
They give a direct grant.

CHRISTENSEN:  
Do they provide an option to opt-out online as well? Good. We can approve this one now.  
**EDITED QUESTION:**  
The Campus Food Bank (CFB) is a charity dedicated to eliminating hunger on campus. It is open to all undergraduate students, graduate students, staff, recent alumni and their families/dependents.

If this referendum passes, the fee will replace the current funding provided by the Students’ Union to the CFB. The CFB believes that university is a place where one should hunger for knowledge, not food; providing food hamper packages based on the Canada Food Guide to over 2000 individuals on an annual basis.

Funding areas:
- Operating costs (staff wages and supplies)
- Programming, events, outreach and awareness
- Fundraising events and food drives
- Volunteer program

The conditions of the fund shall include:
1. The fee will be collected from full-time, part-time, and off-campus undergraduate students in the Fall and Winter semester;
2. Augustana students will be exempt.
3. Students will have the option to opt out online from the fee.
4. Funding areas are determined and prioritized by the CFB’s staff and board. The board will consist of 7 to 12 members from the following categories:
   a. One Students’ Union Executive member or delegate appointed from Students’ Union Council
   b. One Graduate Students’ Association representative
   c. One volunteer representative (selected by the volunteer membership)
   d. 4 - 9 community members

**Do you support a $1.00 fee per student, in the Fall and Winter semester, to fund the Campus Food Bank (CFB)?**

**MOTION:**
**PROCHNAU/MCKINLAY MOVE** to approve the edited Campus Food Bank DFU referenda question.

6/0/0
CARRIED

**2016-12/3e Editorial #15 - Bylaw 6100**

**HOWIE:**
Should it be the Students’ Council’s Bylaw Committee or the Students’ Union’s Bylaw Committee?

**RAHMAN:**
It should be the Students’ Union’s.

**CHRISTENSEN:**
We can leave it as it is. It really doesn't matter. We are just pulling stuff from this bylaw to draft the plebiscite questions. So, we're all aware of it.

**PACHES:**
There were some inconsistencies in the wording.

**CHRISTENSEN:**
You're adding Campus Saint Jean here in addition to Augustana aren't you? It wasn't there before. So, should it be there?

**PACHES:**
That's my question too. Is it editorial or not?

**CHRISTENSEN:**
It does change the meaning of the Bylaw.

PACHES:  
OK. Let’s remove it. Before we debate it further, we need further consultations on the technicalities behind it.

HOWIE:  
There’s no reason why we shouldn't be charged for services on this campus because there’re only 2 programs offered at Campus Saint Jean whose participants never take a class here. It’s very complicated. There are many students who are technically in 2 faculties.

CHRISTENSEN:  
You have changed the deadline from November 1 to November 15 under 4.3 haven’t you?

PACHES:  
I made the deadlines more consistent. November 15 is the deadline under 3.2 as well.

HOWIE:  
For the definitions, it should be the Students’ Union’s Bylaw Committee. I just checked.

**MOTION:**  
PACHES/HOWIE MOVE to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 6100 as listed on Google Drive.

6/0/0  
CARRIED

**2016-12/3f Editorial #16 - Bylaw 6200**

CHRISTENSEN:  
Are there any changes?

PACHES:  
Only some punctuation changes.

**MOTION:**  
PACHES/PROCHNAU MOVE to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 6200 as listed on Google Drive.

6/0/0  
CARRIED
Editorial #17 - Bylaw 8100

HOWIE:
The term “Residence Halls Association” has to be changed to Council of Residence Associations (C.O.R.A.). They needed to change because their membership changed. Before the name change, Lister was not included. For 4.3, is a Department Association a Student Representative Association?

LARSEN:
Yes.

CHRISTENSEN:
It has been defined by bylaw as such.

HOWIE:
OK. I guess this point may have been missed.

C.O.R.A. does not have a constitution. It has a terms of reference. That was changed. Under 6.4, it says “An association shall be responsible for the oversight of all Departmental Associations”. I feel that it should say a Faculty Association is responsible for the oversight. Due to how an Association is defined right now, it could very well be a Residence Association, which makes no sense.

Under 9.2.b, I don't see why an association would apply for probation.

CHRISTENSEN:
Sometimes they would apply for probation if the organization is in disarray.

MOTION:
HOWIE/MCKINLAY MOVE to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 8100 as listed on Google Drive.

5/0/0
CARRIED

Editorial #18 - Bylaw 2100

LARSEN:
This one was surprisingly clean, and only 3 small changes. We can go through the “and”s and remove them if you want, but I feel that they may be necessary.
CHRISTENSEN:
It should be fine.

MOTION:
LARSEN/HOWIE MOVE to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 2100 as listed on Google Drive.

5/0/0
CARRIED

2016-12/3i Editorial #19 - Bylaw 1500

CHRISTENSEN:
29.1 is particularly bad. It doesn’t make any sense. In addition, I’m not sure about the word “proscribe”.

HOWIE:
I agree. It’s missing some commas too.

CHRISTENSEN:
We can ask the D. I. E. (Discipline, Interpretation, and Enforcement) Board to interpret this clause for us. It will be hilarious because they will be interpreting the bylaw about itself.
We can use both “proscribe” and “prescribe” in the wording.

MOTION:
CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 1500 as listed on Google Drive.

5/0/0
CARRIED

2016-12/4 INFORMATION ITEMS

2016-12/4a The committee will begin the process for the UPASS Referendum question at the November 29, 2016 meeting.

2016-12/4b Proposed Winter Semester meeting schedule:
Tuesday, January 17, 2017 @ 6:00 PM
Tuesday, January 31, 2017 @ 6:00 PM
Monday, February 13, 2017 @ 6:00 PM
Tuesday, March 21, 2017 @ 6:00 PM

Amended Winter Semester meeting schedule:
Tuesday, January 17, 2017 @ 6:00 PM
Tuesday, January 31, 2017 @ 6:00 PM
Thursday, February 16, 2017 @ 6:00 PM
Tuesday, March 21, 2017 @ 6:00 PM

The final schedule will be approved at a subsequent Fall Semester meeting of the committee.

2016-12/5 **ADJOURNMENT**

2016-12/5a **Next Meeting:** Tuesday, November 1, 2016 @ 9:00 PM in Council Chamber, University Hall 2-100.

The members in attendance unanimously agreed to meet immediately following Students’ Council to finalize the approval of the DFU plebiscite questions.

2016-12/5b **LARSEN/HOWIE MOVE** to adjourn at 7:53 PM.

4/0/0
CARRIED

Meeting adjourned at 19:53 (7:53 PM).

**SUMMARY OF MOTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOTION</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOWIE/LARSEN MOVE to approve the agenda.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAHMAN/MCKINLAY MOVE to approve the minutes.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKINLAY/HOWIE MOVES to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 8200 as listed on Google Drive.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE to send the edited question above to the APIRG Board for clarification and addition of pertinent missing details.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCHNAU/LARSEN MOVE to send the edited question above to the WUSC Board for clarification and addition of pertinent missing details.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCHNAU/MCKINLAY MOVE to approve the edited Campus Food Bank DFU referenda question.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACHES/HOWIE MOVE</td>
<td>to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 6100 as listed on Google Drive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACHES/PROCHNAU MOVE</td>
<td>to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 6200 as listed on Google Drive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOWIE/MCKINLAY MOVE</td>
<td>to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 8100 as listed on Google Drive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARSEN/HOWIE MOVE</td>
<td>to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 2100 as listed on Google Drive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN/HOWIE MOVE</td>
<td>to approve the editorial changes to Bylaw 1500 as listed on Google Drive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARSEN/HOWIE MOVE</td>
<td>to adjourn at 7:53 PM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>