ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROXY</th>
<th>PRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Christensen (Chair)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bismillah Kiani</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Prochnau</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delane Howie</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilish McKinlay</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed Larsen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Paches</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MINUTES (BC 2016-04)

2016-04/1 INTRODUCTION

2016-04/1a Call to Order

Meeting called to order at 18:01 (6:01 PM) by Councillor Christensen.

2016-04/1b Approval of Agenda
McKINLAY/HOWIE MOVE to approve the agenda
6/0/0
CARRIED

2016-04/1c Approval of Minutes

LARSEN/McKINLAY MOVE to approve the minutes. KIANI and HOWIE abstain
4/0/2
CARRIED

2016-04/1d Chair’s Business

2016-04/1d Attendance
Attendance was taken. Special guests of note included C.R.O. Donald Ademaj. Councillor Brophy was also in attendance.

2016-04/1d The Chief Returning Officer was introduced to the Committee.

2016-04/2 QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD

2016-04/2a ADEMAJ
Term starts May 4 for the CRO and will continue on until April of the following. Finally got his CRO e-mail account account. If the committee has any questions they can contact him.

Gov aWeek. ADEMAJ is hoping to run a session or two and to highlight a few important things about the By-election coming up. He is hoping to host a session or two during orientation including topics in: Bylaw’s how to run, nomination packages, so that students would be able to read and deal with them moving forward.

By-election - (Oct. 5 and Oct. 6) campaigning starts on September 27. Also looking at Jessica’s changes and a lot of what LARSEN sent him for potential Bylaw changes that he is looking at.
LARSEN
Outlined his goals for elections bylaws as listed in the late additions for this meeting. He spoke on how he believes there is a need for freedom for candidates to be adventurous and try new things without being punished. He also suggested the CRO not be a political actor and instead be more impartial. Furthermore, he indicated that his belief that the CRO should report to council more often.

LARSEN
Indicated need for clarification around classroom speeches.

ADEMAJ
Mentioned the importance of reporting to council more often. Perhaps September 30, January 30 and April 30 which would be 3 times more often than currently.

Spoke to the importance of bylaw and the guidelines it provides, especially where it is black and white so that he doesn’t need to make arbitrary decisions.

Suggested the committee address the fact that there is no currently written appeal time limit in Bylaw and that it is essentially set by the CRO himself.

ADEMAJ
Provided recommendations to the committee suggesting that Augustana candidates be exempt from having to print at SUB print. Suggested he would look at the process applied to class talks and adjust it accordingly for the given year. Also suggested the committee could play a role in administering the bylaws that guide this.

BROPHY
Questioned the malicious intent clauses in bylaw suggesting they were too arbitrary.

KIANI
Suggested that class talks should only be permitted during the 10 minute break period between classes.
LARSEN
Suggested there was too much over reach. Also suggested that fines should be in place for those who go overtime or into class time.

CHRISTENSEN
Suggested to LARSEN that he organize his suggestions by theme and bring them to the committee during its next meeting on June 30.

ADEMAJ
Posed the problem that BYLAW 2200 and 2300 limit where things can be printed; Suggested looking into emails from professors and last year council election process; Said that professor like the idea of candidates emailing them and talking to them before class. Mentioned that he would Love to hear other ideas.

BROPHY
Cited fear of making it political as opposed to making it non-political, a major step which may not be likely; Mentioned that people’s voice may not be heard by not printing off a few extra pages in sub print.

ADEMAJ
Suggested advertisement early on with voice of important candidates while following the rules of bylaws is required.

BROPHY
Indicated that he wants flexibility.

ADEMAJ
Offered cooperation.

KIANI
Mentioned that some professors don’t reply right away and you go to whoever replies first.

ADEMAJ
Said that he will join with any candidate who volunteers first but candidates should specify time in their correspondence.

KIANI
Suggested having classroom talk in the 10 min period.

LARSEN
Suggested calling people to hallway in that 10 min, so that they can speak during that time, and said that in the 10 min time it is possible to do so as it will be recess time
Mentioned that when he did it he actually read through the email and responses were 2:1 negative; a lot of people were upset about it; therefore there is no good win, and only 50-50 is good; Said that professors were more angry when students overwhelmed them with emails; and the other thing is that CRO office sending one email in which the candidates will speak in the 10 minutes that is not professor’s time

PROCHNAU
Agreed with LARSEN
Said that they are supposed to do that in the first 10 minutes before the class starts, and that he doesn’t see anything wrong with that

ADEMAJ
Said that classroom time is the time that professors think as their time, but some professors feel that it okay to speak during class

KIANI
Suggested sending email communicating disagreement
(Google doc was proposed)

ADEMAJ
Suggested having a consensus, during reading week, about who says yes and who does not and it can put into a bylaw
Mentioned that social media will be there, and because of it people say that their friends are running for certain things as they are exited about it;
Suggested that there has to be some sort of over-reaching bylaw about social media, otherwise it will be way too overbearing, and they may let the CRO dictate how it should be

HOWIE
Suggested verifying what it mans to clear your social media account

CHRISTENSEN
Questioned if there is a rational behind why they need to b checked

ADEMAJ
Suggested having a clear video
First Principles
1. Faculty associations are often the best representatives of undergraduate students within the individual faculties of the University of Alberta.
2. With proper consultation, faculty associations shall be allowed to advocate and represent the interests of their constituents as best as possible.
3. Barriers to the effective advocacy of faculty associations shall be removed from Bylaw 8100 to facilitate proper representation of students at a grassroots level.
4. Bylaw 8100 shall be amended to allow faculty associations to advocate for issues deemed important to their constituents, even if these issues contradict Students’ Union political policies, so long as appropriate procedural steps are completed, including a plebiscite or referendum.
5. Upon completing the proper consultative and procedural steps, faculty associations shall provide Students’ Council with a summary and presentation of these steps taken.
6. Students’ Council shall be allowed to discuss, debate, question, and approve/reject the advocacy effort based on presentation provided, procedural steps taken and any other metrics so deemed appropriate.
Mentioned that point 7 is blank due to a typo by Mercy and nothing else has changed; procedural steps are mentioned and all are in the working folder

LARSEN
Asked if Bylaw 8100 is in the one line

CHRISTENSEN
Said yes and called upon all to look into the working folder

ADEMAJ
Questioned: How about a hostile amendment?

McKINLAY
Said that she doesn’t want to be picky

**MOTION**

CHRISTENSEN/LARSEN MOVE to amend Bylaw 8100 to allow faculty associations additional autonomy as guided by these principles.
6/0/0
CARRIED

---

**2016-04/3b**  
Bill #1 - Vacancy Petitions - *Second Reading*

CHRISTENSEN
Went to the next agenda item (3b)
Mentioned the working folder, Bill #1 2nd reading, and said that everything in red is what he changed
Said that working the way down - bylaw 109 is what he changed
Mentioned that in Line 6 and Line 20, they should go point by point
Read out loud about email, faculty, identity requirement of the candidate and good academic standing, nomination requirement for by-elections
Mentioned that this is in bylaw 100
Said that 2200 is the exact election, 2300 is council election and this is neither so they will focus on the content in Bylaw 100.

LARSEN
Indicated that nomination package should exactly include all rules and there are no rules for collecting signatures
Said that person picking up vacancy petition must follow the same rules as in the nomination package

CHRISTENSEN
Said that it is number two and moved to number three and read loud

HOWIE
It's like you are in faculty of Campus Saint Jean and need certain number of signatures

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned that all are ok with number three and read loud about vacancy in faculties

HOWIE
Mentioned that if there are two open seats and two people in the petition they both succeed

LARSEN
Said that it is the "singular councillor"

CHRISTENSEN
Moved to number 6
Read aloud

KIANI
Suggested including something like a trigger so that if anything happens then it must be announced

CHRISTENSEN
Would be good to have a link in vacancy petition package with information with centralized area from where information can be obtained

PROCHNAU
Suggested emailing the CRO so that it can be picked up

CHRISTENSEN
Said that he thinks that it can be a PDF file rather than an online post

BROPHY
Mentioned that Online is easy to have
KIANI
Said that he thinks that everyone should have access to website

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned that he likes one spot for all recent updates and acknowledged that it is a great idea but people will miss it

HOWIE
Proposed checking website everyday

LARSEN
Said that it sounds like a great idea, as it will be CRO’s responsibility

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned that he sent Rebecca an email to update the website but it is still to be done, although she is good with DIE Board rulings
Said that after he started, there will be rules

LARSEN
Mentioned that there will be a seven day waiting period and when students think through is not a concern
Said that 18 people are not needed, and all should have the same access to the nomination package;
Suggested putting it in website and making sure it is there

CHRISTENSEN
Said that the first on this form will be a link to all that gets updated on this petition, and that will allow them to communicate to people

McKINLAY
Suggested having responsibility to go to the websites

CHRISTENSEN
Said that this would be like first come first serve
Went back to point #5, and mentioned that something needs to be changed

McKINLAY
Said that it has to be the same faculty

KIANI
Questioned if the same faculty is not enough and what happens if they see no other petition
CHRISTENSEN
The first valid vacancy petition for a given faculty will trigger a seven day deadline during which other potential candidates may also submit a vacancy petition, and after the seven day period no other petition will be accepted.

KIANI
Suggested phrasing the sentence as: “The first ballot vacancy petition for a given faculty will trigger a seven day grace period after which no other petition will be received.”

HOWIE
Suggested adding per seat, and to phrase the sentence as: The first ballot vacancy petition for a given faculty will trigger a seven day grace period after which no other petition will be received per vacant seat.

McKINLAY
Suggested to put “within the seven day period.”

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned and rewords based on the suggestion: Went to the next point.

HOWIE
Re-emphasized on adding “per seat”.

LARSEN
Read out the next one: Said that the petition triggers the 7 day waiting period.

CHRISTENSEN
Asked if people should be allowed to make the decision.

McKINLAY
Gave an example and said that if she took a month and if another person is required to do the same in 7 days then it is not good intent.

PROCHNAU
Said that he is not decided and requires time, and that if one needs to do one should be serious about it, and that he is all for it.
LARSEN
Suggested that he would say take it back

KIANI
Said that it is unfair that two people do the same amount of work but one gets unfairly penalized

LARSEN
Said that in reality it is most likely to get to the seven day number

CHRISTENSEN
Added that if a second person goes real hard then that person will have the advantage

HOWIE
Indicated that she is not for awarding people who put to the end

McKINLAY
Asked if they should keep it then
Mentioned that the wording is wrong

CHRISTENSEN
Said that the reason is that because Rebecca works only in business hours

McKINLAY
Said that if it is the last business day it will be Friday

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned that the bylaw committee can avoid that by putting everyday day as business day

LARSEN
Suggested saying something like "last operating hour"

CHRISTENSEN
Moved to point # 8
Read out loud
Mentioned that the Bylaw Committee can legislate and that some people did not show up in meeting and got lost

LARSEN
Said that anything that is amenable will come, and that for somebody qualified it is a 18 hour run
CHRISTENSEN
Moved to point # 9
Read aloud
Chose be arbitrarily
Said that it gives a 6 month term

HOWIE
Suggested putting the first bus day following the fall by-election

CHRISTENSEN
Said the he would like to have it on February 1 (first)

HOWIE
If there is no person for CSJ and he will have until mid-March, and then one can stay until Christmas by bringing it back, a month into second semester

CHRISTENSEN
Agreed with February 1st

PROCHNAU
Asked if it is everywhere with every bylaw

McKINLAY
Asked what will happen if a person wants to run and requires special accommodation, as a in case of a person with disability

KIANI
Agreed that McKINLAY asked the right question

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned about Open Studies students, who find it is impossible as they are not in any faculty because they are only enrolled classes but not full time students
Said that they can't be allowed but can submit a form

LARSEN
Said that nomination is from faculty and Open Studies students will have a sponsor, and five people will be needed

McKINLAY
Said that it is difficult to find five people as there is no FA
Suggested just using a form
CHRISTENSEN
Agreed with form and suggested coin flipping
Asked for wording it

HOWIE
Suggested A, B, C and not D

PROCHNAU
Mentioned that point D is like the previous ones

KIANI
Said that it can be everything except the nominators

CHRISTENSEN
Said that this is the advantage of FA appointment system, but there are challenges because if there are three people and tied then coin can’t be flipped
Read out loud
Phrased a sentence for bylaw to be applicable in the event of a tie
(Typed the sentence)

McKINLAY
Said if it is a separate website

CHRISTENSEN
Suggested that they should also specify that once the deadline is triggered and that it should be in the website

HOWIE
Said that it is covered

KIANI
Suggested going explaining that in the text

LARSEN
Suggested describing it in the councillors pages

CHRISTENSEN
Suggested that it should also say when to take it off,
Said that a few more changes were made in bylaw 2300

KIANI
Asked how about creating and maintaining
CHRISTENSEN
Asked everyone to look for glaring errors
Mentioned Bylaw 2100 section 16-8, and said that the Bylaw Committee can say that bylaw 100 is added

(Recommendation was for slates to fill these seats)

HOWIE
Suggested grammatical changes like inserting semicolon, etc.

CHRISTENSEN
Read out loud bylaw 120

LARSEN
Said that it will good to have "a copy of this bylaw", Bylaw 120
Suggested full stop, and to copy and paste

CHRISTENSEN
Asked everyone to see if citations are missing

Read out the Vacancy petition form for Open Studies students, which requires no signatures

KIANI
Said that it should be number three

HOWIE
Suggested going back and changing 8
Found that it was

CHRISTENSEN
Read out loud about vacant seat

HOWIE
Vacant seat is the seat only for the term

CHRISTENSEN
Read out loud about the event of tie

HOWIE
Suggested that link to webpage should be included in the package

LARSEN
Asked about regular updates and meaning

McKINLAY
Said that it is common sense

HOWIE
Asked about other relevant info

LARSEN
Should check that every morning about how many packages got submitted
Said that the number of packages will be updated within the seven day period
Asked if there is a "To go" after the semi colon in 10a

(People discuss loudly)

HOWIE
Changed 8
Should be "and" instead of "or"

CHRISTENSEN
Said that he will be using a Google Doc for this on the council Order Paper because he is concerned it won’t pass and doesn’t want to make a whole bunch of changes to the Wiki system and then have to undo it all.

McKINLAY
Mentioned that people are debating the principles but not the content

HOWIE
Asked if this reflects the Bylaw Committee’s first principles?

McKINLAY
Replied that when she say this, then hypothetically it is

LARSEN
First principle will be more exciting than this one

CHRISTENSEN
Mentioned that he will move a motion to pass the Second Reading at the June 28 Students’ Council meeting.
MOTION
CHRISTENSEN/PROCHNAU MOVE to approve the second reading of
Bill #1, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and
implement Vacancy Petitions as a mechanism to fill vacant
Students’ Council seats as outlined on Google Drive.

6/0/0
CARRIED

2016-04/4 INFORMATION ITEMS

2016-04/4a PROCHNAU
Agreed and affirmed to help with Bill #2 after Monday, when he
finishes his Gear Week presentation to ESS.

CHRISTENSEN
Said that the next meeting is on June 30, 2016 at 6 PM.

2016-04/5 ADJOURNMENT

2016-04/5a Next Meeting: June 30, 2016 @ 6:00 PM in SUB 6-06.

2016-04/5b HOWIE/KIANI MOVE to adjourn.

6/0/0
CARRIED

Meeting adjourned at 20:28 (8:28 PM).

SUMMARY OF MOTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOTION</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McKinlay/Howie MOVE to approve the</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move Details</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARSEN/McKINLAY move to approve the minutes. KIANI and HOWIE abstain.</td>
<td>4/0/2 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN/LARSEN MOVE to amend Bylaw 8100 to allow faculty associations additional autonomy as guided by these principles.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN/PROCHNAU MOVE to approve the second reading of Bill #1, on the recommendation of Bylaw Committee, and implement Vacancy Petitions as a mechanism to fill vacant Students’ Council seats as outlined on Google Drive.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOWIE/KIANI MOVE to adjourn the meeting at 8:28 PM.</td>
<td>6/0/0 - CARRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>