ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROXY</th>
<th>PRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justis Allard (Chair)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cody Bondarchuk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annie Duan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Thondson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Christensen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Patrick</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bo Zhang</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manpreet Grewal</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Flaman</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Cajina (CSJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin MacKinnon (CSJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MINUTES (BC 2015-10)

2015-10/1 INTRODUCTION

2015-10/1a Call to Order

Meeting called to order by ALLARD at 5.05 p.m.

2015-10/1b Approval of Agenda

ALLARD / CHRISTENSEN motioned to approve the agenda
7/0/0 CARRIED
2015-10/1c Approval of Minutes

CHRISTENSEN / THORNDSON motioned to approved the minutes
7/0/0 CARRIED

2015-10/1d Chair’s Business

None

2015-10/2 QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD

ALLARD
Said that from, October, 7 months remain
Things have taken longer
Said that:
He can create a calendar to outline priorities
Levi will represent his interests
It’s unofficial

CAJINA (guest, from Campus Saint John)
Asked what is the opinion about the role of the Bylaw Committee in the translation from English to French.
Said that he knows that there is a bylaw in SU that is asking for translation, from English to French, to be performed by professional translator.
Mentioned that there are students speaking French and English and can replace professional translators, and Bylaw committee can remove obstacles for SU.
Said that resolutions were passed last year, and they had bright students who had to meet English language requirement, and that it is unfair.
Said that SU should advocate for students who don’t adequately understand their personal interests and safety. SU should increasingly advocate for all students (both French and English), it and should advocate for services where there is a demand, for example, U of A interfaces should be available in both French and English

MACKINNON (guest, from Campus Saint John)
Said that Beartracks, offered in English only, can create obstacles for certain students.

CAJINA
Bilingualism as a Canadian value must be exercised by U of A.

PATRICK
Asked about where the claim pertaining to paid translator is found.

BONDARCHUK
Relied that it’s in operating policy.
CAJINA
Mentioned that it's all that he has and he tried his best to touch upon students’ personal levels.

MACKINNON
Mentioned that international students' financial burden is increased, and that non-English students are facing quite a few hurdles at the U of A, because they face these barriers.

ALLARD
Said that he wants to see Bylaw Committee move forward in line of these conversations, and said that he wants CSJ to be involved. Asked what is CSJ's dream and objective?

CAJINA
Mentioned that the objective is to get U of A to have communications to be in both, English and French. U of A as an institution markets itself as having a French campus, and therefore should act as such. In a bilingual campus students are able to take advantage of the duality. There should be no obstacles for students in U of A.

PATRICK
Said that he doesn’t understand what Bylaw’s role is in this, because executives are involved in operations. It is more of an operational and advocacy type

ALLARD
Replied that it is about language efficacy, and that they (Bylaw Committee) represent a group of councillors and can push for change. Cited an example of operating policy introducing a “bilingual scholarship”. Said that they can bring about bylaw for official SU communication, and that he thinks that there is some change, and that should be impetus for further change.

BONDARCHUK
Said that SU awards offer information for scholarships, and therefore he can request for a translator. Also said that any of the larger scale changes can come through policy.

ALLARD
Said that translating to French, can be another thing. Also said that language accessibility is a project, and it can be the beginning. Mentioned that this will be an ongoing discussion, and that they should stay in touch, and as a committee they should be moving forward. Said that they are bringing s lot of things forward, and will have discussion as a committee.
DUAN
Asked if anyone spoke to SU, and indicated that a presentation will be helpful.

ALLARD
Said that we will coordinate that with First Principles.
(Gives floor to Levi)

FLAMAN
Said that he had sent an email to all, and that he is trying to address a short coming with a councillor; and there the First Principle is in spotlight; and admission deadline are often before spring.
Said that candidates, who are not students, will leave if they don't register as students, because according to Bylaw, every councillor has to a student;
Raised the question that, by going to the under-grad advising office, candidates claim that they have application in a certain faculty but if they are not in their faculty yet, how do they understand what's best for that faculty.
Said that students who are nominating and inviting proxies should take that into account and should not vote and nominate you.

BONDARCHUK
Asked how can students learn about a candidate with whom they haven't taken any classes

FLAMAN
Replied that it's from other students

ALLARD
Said that he would include it in first principles that if they are in the faculty they should give up their seats

CHRISTENSEN
Said that when you get elected and you are planning to go to medicine, and you are in wait list if you don't get yourself in, then you represent medical students for three months without being one.

DUAN
Asked, even if there is a student and in the wait list, is it still given to no one in line and remains vacant

ALLARD
The seats remains vacant

CHRISTENSEN
While waiting for repose if should I relinquish my seat?
FLAMAN
Said that you should relinquish after you have a confirmation

CHRISTENSEN
Said that you continue seating in council until you get in or get out

ALLARD
Said that they should limit this conversation now because thus just a refocusing discussion

FLAMAN
Said that he can have the chat row opened.
Asked if would Bylaw 103 will be more feasible.

DUAN
Said that he thinks that there should be a no to that because there will be councillors waiting.

ALLARD
Said that they can have this conversation unofficially or it is in first principles because it also not time sensitive.

ALLARD
Asked if there are any other priorities.

BONDARCHUK
Said that it is for FAs that allow elections at different slots, and that there are councillors for SU elections, who run for people to run for ticket in one semester and do practicum in the next one

MACKINNON
Said that he had a situation like that, and had a proxy lined up.

DUAN
Said that for students who are intern and coop, it is not good.

BONDARCHUK
Said that it not nice to be councillors for 6 months only.

PATRICK
Said that you need a longer term.

ALLARD
Said that unless the proxy is elected, it is not advisable, and that there is a general confusion among voters when you vote for two people. For example, when you are voting for a Ben branded ticked, it can create confusion.
DUAN
Asked how that works in engineering, for those who have coop?
Said that they are talking about changing that because they have co-op program in science too.

BONDARCHUK
Asked that if it is good to change for some and not all.
Said that they should go for equity, rather.

ALLARD
Said that for such issue they can discuss with councillors.

CAJINA
Said that in Campus Saint John, they have agglomeration of different faculties and students, where students are able to take arts courses. When enrolled, issue arises when students register for all the courses in north campus and want to run for associations, they are precluded from doing so by virtue of being Campus Saint John students.

ALLARD
Said that it is as it requires them to proof that they have taken a few courses.

BONDARCHUK
Said that in Beartracks it should show the program and not the faculty.

ALLARD
Said he has a concern and it is an issue.

CHRISTENSEN
Said that there are a few more things in election, 13 hours after the infraction, which is something about Bylaw 8000.

ALLARD
Said that he will bring it up and some changes were made by Catherine and Rebecca in DG, and there are also a lot of smaller changes.

THRONDSON
Said that in election bylaws, he thinks the committee has done good work.

PATRICK
Said that he wants to give the president a bit more power, and that might reduce their ability to negotiate a few things; that potentially reduces their ability to do certain things; Another example of public policy, wherein law students want a market modifier, but is unclear.
ALLARD
Said that he thinks it is Bylaw 100, and it might be in political policy
Said that his initial thought is to change political policy instead of how it works with SU.

DUAN
Said that she is least knowledgeable about Bylaw and is open to suggestions that say might have.

ALLARD
Said that he has comments, and will bring all at once, that includes changes from last year.
Also said that he has a couple of bigger projects, and has a list, which he will send out to Bylaw Committee.
Expressed hope that they will make the proposed calendar and go from there.

(CAJINA and MACKINNON left the meeting)

2015-10/3
COMMITTEE BUSINESS

2015-10/3a

Item 3a
CHRISTENSEN / THRONDSON motioned to adapt Item 3a, i.e. Standing Orders – Final Copy
7//0/0 CARRIED

(BONDARCHUK left the meeting)

2015-10/3b

Item 3b

CHRISTENSEN / THRONDSON motioned to amend (as mentioned below) Bylaws 2400, 2200 and 2300 (Bill #11).
6//0/0 CARRIED

Bylaw 2400
Section 6

[Amend] – (1) The C.R.O. shall conduct balloting by any secure electronic means that provide precise, accurate results.

[Amend] (2) On the electronic ballot, there shall be a notice to voters that candidates are elected individually to each position, which shall also explain the balloting procedures.

Section 10 [Amend] The C.R.O. shall have secure access to the electronic ballots through the company providing the electronic ballot platform.
Section 11 [Rescind] There is no need for scrutinizers.

Section 12 [Amend] (a) The C.R.O. shall supervise the counting of ballots electronically, when necessary.

Section 13 [Amend] Rename from Recount to Audit.
1. The C.R.O. may conduct an audit of the results at any time with the support of the balloting company.
2. The C.R.O. shall conduct an audit if evidence or reports of electoral tampering have occurred and shall thoroughly investigate any reported tampering.
3. The C.R.O. shall post the results of any audit within 24 hours of its completion.

Bylaw 2200
Section 31 [Rescind] Point 2: During voting days, no campaign materials or campaign activities shall be within six (6) meters of any polling station.

Bylaw 2300
Section 23 [Rescind] Point 2 (as above)

2015-10/3c

Item 3 C
CHRISTENSEN / PATRICK motioned to amend (as shown below) Bylaws 2200 and 2300 (Bill #12)

6//0/0 CARRIED

Bylaw 2200
Section 31
[Add]
2. Candidates, or volunteers associated with their campaign, shall not:
   a. provide voters with an electronic device on the day of the election for the purpose of voting;
   b. solicit, touch, or otherwise handle a voter’s electronic device on the day of the election for the purpose of voting;
   c. solicit, steal, borrow, use or otherwise handle another students’ CCID or password for the purpose of voting.

Bylaw 2300
Section 23
[Add]
2. Candidates, or volunteers associated with their campaign, shall not:
   a. provide voters with an electronic device on the day of the election for the purpose of voting;
   b. solicit, touch, or otherwise handle a voter’s electronic device on the day of the election for the purpose of voting;
   c. solicit, steal, borrow, use or otherwise handle another students’ CCID or password for the purpose of voting.
(Committee discusses the first information item)

THRONDSON
(Discussion about banners)
Said that people aren’t supposed to camp out with their banners at their slots
Said that having been a volunteer he is sure that there is a better way for people to take it seriously and it had to said in Bylaw
Proposed that CRO should implement spots that are random and impartial though CRO can’t guarantee spots to all

PATRICK
Said that they should write a Bylaw to say that CRO had authority, but CRO is competent enough to put that together

THRONDSON
Said that last CRO emphasized on no camping but people just stayed and walked around, and, therefore, maybe CRO should strengthen the wording by stating that no body should be there

ALLARD
Said that they can talk to Building services for implementing this during election period, and that there are fixed locations for banners

DUAN
Said that spots can be allocated randomly, and that student groups should be in the spot that they take

CHRISTENSEN
Said that it is good have Google forms for that

ALLARD
Asked what about the possibility of one candidate applicant - many spots kind of arrangement?

DUAN
Said that it can be randomly assigned

CHRISTENSEN
(Agreed with DUAN)

DUAN
Said that it doesn’t apply to buildings but applies to banner spots only
ALLARD
Said that different buildings allow different number of banners, gives the example of CHEM, CAM, and SUB

PATRICK
 Asked how about setting dates for campaigners for gaming lottery for distributing spots - if one person wants them and come then he/she gets it

THRONDSON
 Said that, maybe it can happen after election, when a candidate shows up and goes to the building

ALLARD
Said that he is in favour of talking to building administration, and allocating spaces for banners
Said that he agreed that they need a better system but it is about the building regulations, for example CHEM east always has banners

DUAN
Said that it is free for all

ALLARD
Said that for camping out, people with bigger banners get more votes but that doesn’t account competency
Said that he has a few options which they can propose and the committee can include that in the principles

THRONDSON
Said that he will talk to Cody to move forward

(Committee discussed the second information item)

THRONDSON
Said that:
Candidate forms are required for students elections and having ability to have a form is get students to be elected to their councils;
CRO can have more than one form for the council;
There can be a debate where each candidate must speak for 2 minutes or so.
Candidate handbook must be there when you sign up, and each candidate should have opportunity to speak, without heckling, or interference.
That can come at 5 pm on Wednesday at Michael Horowitz

ALLARD
Said that it is expensive in Horowitz
Agreed to distributing forms, saying that all candidates shall be invited.
Questioned about affairs where both where candidates can answer questions.
Said that videos can be made for those who won't have opportunities to answer questions; some candidates don't like speaking (or have classes), and they should will have an option of submitting video.

CHRISTENSEN
Said that he feels that no medical or nursing students will come

THRONDSON
Said that one centralized location must be fixed for candidates to answer questions

ALLARD
Said that he agrees but thinks it is an extra process and that you always have the option of not going; it's optional for attendees but after you make it to the forum; the official process is also good to have

PATRICK
Asked how about uploading official videos of candidates

THRONDSON
Said that he hasn't thought of videos because he thinks that public speaking is essential for people to represent other people;

ALLARD
Said that he sees it as an option, and then the CRO can say that you have to prove that you have other commitments; it is still better than what there is now – there is no other way

THRONDSON
(agree with ALLARD about video and that all candidates will be invited)

2015-10/5  
ADJOURNMENT

2015-10/5a  
Next Meeting: Wednesday, after the next in SUB 6-06
ALLARD / THORNDSON motioned to adjourn the meeting, at 6.45 pm

6/0/0 CARRIED

2015-10/5b  
Meeting was adjourned at 6.45 p.m.
**SUMMARY OF MOTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOTION</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLARD / CHRISTENSEN motioned to approve the agenda</td>
<td>7/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN / THORNDSON motioned approve the minutes</td>
<td>7/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN / THORNDSON motioned to adapt Item 3a, i.e. Standing Orders – Final Copy</td>
<td>7/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN / THORNDSON motioned to amend to Bylaws 2400, 2200 and 2300 (Bill #11).</td>
<td>6/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN / PATRICK motioned to amend Bylaws 2200 and 2300 (Bill #12)</td>
<td>6/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLARD / THORNDSON motioned to adjourn the meeting</td>
<td>6/0/0 – CARRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>