March 22nd, 2023
4:00 pm MST
Zoom/SUB 6-06

The University of Alberta and the University of Alberta Students’ Union occupy Indigenous land in amiskwaciwâskahikan (Beaver Hills House), on Treaty 6 territory. From time immemorial, the banks along the river valley have been known as the Pehonan, a meeting place for the nêhiyawak (Cree), the Niitsitapi (Blackfoot), Métis, Dënesųłiné (Dene), Ojibway/Saulteaux/Anishinaabe, Haudenosaunee and others. The University, the Students’ Union and much of the city are located on the unlawfully stolen land of the forcibly removed Papaschase Cree.

We acknowledge that sharing this land gives each of us the responsibility to research the historic contexts of Treaty 6, to reflect on our personal relationships to the land, the Nations we’ve named, and to our roles in upholding justice on this territory. Since they began, the Students’ Union and the University have benefited from historic and ongoing dispossession of land and resources from Indigenous Peoples. As a result, it is our responsibility to seek the restitution of this land and its resources. Finally, we seek to do better by working to make our learning, research, and governance align with the histories, languages, teachings, and cultures of First Nations, Métis, and Inuit Peoples in the land presently occupied by the Canadian state.

We encourage critical reflection by asking the following question. In relation to the territory on which you are situated, what role do you play in strengthening the resistance and resurgence of Indigenous students within your communities?

### ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROXY</th>
<th>PRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Julia Villoso, Chair</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paige Boyer</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackenzie Burnstick</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Choi</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leena El Jirari</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Flaman</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himasha Rao</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pien Stienbusch</td>
<td>Joannie Fogue</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivan Varela Del Rio</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audrey Johnson</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan Sawyer</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Griffiths</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINUTES (SCFC-2022-04)

2022-04/1 INTRODUCTION

2022-04/1a Call to Order
VILLOSO called the meeting to order at 4:11 PM.

2022-04/1b Approval of Agenda
BOYER/FLAMAN MOVE TO approve the agenda for the meeting.
CARRIED

2022-04/1c Approval of Minutes
TABELD.

2022-04/1d Chair's Business

2022-04/2 QUESTION/DISCUSSION PERIOD
BOYER: Questions if the Engineering group has replied back to the questions.
VILLOSO: No. Adds that Gateway also hasn’t responded.

2022-04/3 COMMITTEE BUSINESS

2022-04/3a SCF Green Fund Application #4: Q&A
BOYER: Thinks that many thoughts and details went into this project. 
VILLOSO: Reminds that Round 2 of Adjudication is not to determine the quality of the project but to see if the team will actually accomplish the project upon approval and if the project is feasible indeed.

COMMITTEE: Passes Round 2 of Adjudication with 5 yes and 1 no.

VILLOSO: States that for Round 3, the members would fill a chart before the next meeting to get the final scores.

2022-04/3b SCF Green Fund Application #5
VILLOSO: Questions the members if it aligns with the goals of SCFC to pass for Round 1 of Adjudication.

FLAMAN: Declines the economic aspect since the team has requested about 75% of the expenses through this application.

GRIFFITHS: Favours the economic aspect since they need more money, which is not a huge share and would be a good way to support them.
JOHNSON: Has questions on what the feature wall would be.

COMMITTEE: Passes the first round of adjudication unanimously with 6 yes.

VARELA: Thinks the printing cost is too high and needs more clarification on their plan to execute this.

BOYER: Guesses that the printing cost could be attributed to the artwork.

FLAMAN: States that Sustain SU already has an outdoor garden, so this idea seems like a duplication of the idea, and rather pairing up with Sustain SU would be beneficial. Adds that CFB is a DFU, so they can increase the money/DFU they collect.

JOHNSON: agrees with FLAMAN on duplication of the project. Concerned with the timeline as it is supposed to start on April 1st, but SCFC meets on April 5th next. Concerned if they have raw materials to start over and have volunteers bi-weekly all year round for upkeep, even when students aren’t on campus.

VILLOSO: Will send these questions discussed to the group for more clarification.

2022-04/3c

SCF Green Fund Application #6

FLAMAN: Disagrees with the environmental aspect.

VILLOSO: Reminds the members that we can also choose to grant “certain” parts of the project.

JOHNSON: States that waste management is an important aspect, and by funding this, we would ensure proper waste management.

PAIGE: Agrees as it has a campus-wide impact.

COMMITTEE: Passes Round 1 with 5 in favour and 1 against.

VARELA: Questions if they have partnerships or discussions with the faculty advisors for an agreement with them.

JOHNSON: Curious about the stock and specialised materials and if this is an organisational or waste-diverting technique.

BOYER: Concerned about the furniture amount and if it counts towards the $1500 allocation for the storage space and aesthetics. Adds that a detailed breakdown would be better.
FLAMAN: Questions if they have a detailed breakdown of the budget. Adds that it is hard to say if this project is feasible or not.

PAIGE: States that if there is more information on how much they want to spend on art and furniture, subtracting those numbers would be a yes.

VILLOSO: Will send the questions for clarification.

2022-04/3d

SCF Green Fund Application #7

VILLOSO: Informs that this group received funding two years ago from the Green Fund Application. Adds that there's no certain rule to disclose if they had received funding from us, so they can't apply again.

FLAMAN: Adds that it would be helpful to know how much funding they secured.

COMMITTEE: Passes Round 1 of Adjudication with all in favour.

JOHNSON: Curious about how they have the exact number of 1400 students they consulted.

BOYER: Thinks that it could be from the impressions from their social media blogs and handles.

FLAMAN: Wonders if the committee could introduce the team to Dish Zero since they deal with plates and take outs and have a partnership with them.

VILLOSO: It could be possible that they see Dish Zero as a competition. But the question is will this answer change our thought onwards funding them?

COMMITTEE: Passes Round 2 with all in favour.

VILLOSO: Asks the members if they are willing to meet again to approve all the pending applications. Adds that they will send a Lettuce Meet to be filled to determine the timing of an extra meeting.

2022-04/4

INFORMATION ITEMS

2022-04/4a

SCF Green Fund Application 2021/2022

2022-04/5

ADJOURNMENT

VILLOSO adjourned the meeting at 4:58 PM