Executive Committee

September 22, 2005

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by LETTNER at 10:38 pm

2. Approval of Agenda

JOHNSON/POWER MOVED THAT the agenda is approved with the following additions:

Discussion period
b. White Bands (Power)
c. Tables (Tobias)
d. ACUI (Smith)
e. PAC Presentation (Lettner)

VOTE ON MOTION 5/0/0 CARRIED

3. Approval of Minutes

KEHOE/POWER MOVED THAT the September 20, 2005 minutes be approved as tabled.

VOTE ON MOTION 5/0/0 CARRIED

4. Old Business

a. DFU’s

TOBIAS: Research should be finished with the next 10 days; an outline of the document will be completed 2 weeks after and then will move into an action campaign.

b. Academic Mechanics Proposal

Timeline was tabled and reviewed.

c. Council Retreat

Tabled to the next meeting

5. New Business

6. Discussion Period

a. Jim Tuman Sponsorship

This request should be directed to student groups. It is more appropriate to apply for a student group’s grant.
b. White Bands  
An anti-poverty group would like to sell white wristbands at the info desks. Concerns were raised that this could set a precedent. It was decided to encourage the group to use other avenues such as tables to sell the wristbands.

c. Tables  
Tobias updated the executive on recent table applications. CBC workers have applied for a table to get signatures on a petition. The best course of action is to allow them to have a table but ensure that all guidelines are adhered to strictly.

d. ACUI  
SMITH: the Association of College Unions International, a huge organization which the SU belongs, has just notified me that I have been nominated for position of president. This is a significant honour for the SU and myself but it is a significant time commitment as well. I feel it is too large a time commitment but I would appreciate exec. input. After discussion the executive support Smith’s decision not to accept the nomination.

e. Pac Presentation  
LETTNER: would like exec committee to review the process thus far, not very clear as to what council is expecting from us. POWER: council expects a good referendum question to be crafted. I don’t feel that students are being represented in the process. SMITH: we should be talking about terms in which we facilitate a discussion, not if the discussion happens. Either there is appropriate student involvement or it doesn’t happen. POWER: feel that the University is backing us in to a corner. LETTNER: this is a multilayer issue; BoG is not going to support this without student support. Council needs to set out the key principles that must be adhered to for the mandatory fee question to go forward.

Power and Lettner will work on this.

7. Reports  
8. Announcements  
9. Adjournment  
Meeting adjourned at 11:50 am